


Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1332/P	[REDACTED]	18/06/2020 15:21:29	SUPPRT	Please ignore the ignorant anti-5G brigade, we need this improved telecommunications infrastructure in our area, given the dismal existing coverage and the increased moves towards the populace working from home. I fully support this application, and hopefully it encourages many more like it.
2020/1332/P	[REDACTED]	18/06/2020 10:39:05	COMMENT	Hi,  Given that there are children nurseries and schools in the vicinity of the proposed site, it is imperative to closely consider and share the evidence considered by the Council on the matter of potential negative health effects of radiation from the masts on the children who will be within the vicinity of the masts. We would like any evidence considered and the outcome of consideration to be publicly available.
2020/1332/P	[REDACTED]	17/06/2020 20:19:33	OBJ	My objections are There is not sufficient evidence of non risk for human safety. The church is listed building and It's a conservation area with families, schools near by. I object to the installation of those antennas also for the safety of my kids.
2020/1332/P	[REDACTED]	18/06/2020 12:50:04	OBJ	We have just found out that they are planning to install a 5G Mast. We are concerned for the children and the health of the most vulnerable should be considered. In addition, it is in a conservation area an the Church is listed and the Council should help to preserve.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1332P		17/06/2020 18:45:55	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this planning application which states than antennas are to be installed as part of a 5G upgrade.</p> <p>There has been little consultation with the residents and the schools in the area.</p> <p>It is unfair that this planning application has been requested during lockdown when people are in their homes and concerned with day to day living and surviving with their attention is elsewhere.</p> <p>The schools are not fully open, parents are not engaged with other local people and this all seems a little bit sneaky to apply for this 5G upgrade now.</p> <p>Planning departments used to send out letter to all local residents who might be affected by applications. Were these stopped to save money? The least Camden could do it to have an efficiently running planning search tool in place, instead of the hard to navigate confusing programme on their website that they have now.</p> <p>If people have requested planning alerts who live within 500 meters of the location of the installation then they should receive those alerts. They should not have to go out during this lockdown and search for planning applications tied to a post which is in such a place that nobody can see it. Poor show Camden. Try harder next time!</p> <p>This church has a hall attached in which it houses a nursery school for children between 2 years 6 months and 6 years. This is, therefore, a sensitive site. These children are in the most vulnerable age group in relation to electromagnetic fields generated by these antennae.</p> <p>In addition, there is also a Primary school on the next corner and a second school nearby. This area in which the church is situated is also a conservation area.</p> <p>there are particular implications for children and electro-sensitive people.</p> <p>!The body and nervous system are still developing into the teenage years. Therefore, as a precaution, the UK Chief Medical Officers advise that children and young people under 16 should be encouraged to use mobile phones for essential purposes only, and to keep calls short!-NHS: Mobile phones and base stations Health advice on using mobile phones France, Russia, Finland, India, Israel and the Council of Europe (non-EU) have advised or acted to restrict mobile phone use by school children Source -Wireless technologies in schools, Wifii schools.org uk, 2011</p> <p>This is taken from a Guardian article:  <a href="https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/jul/14/mobile-phones-cancer-inconvenient-truths">https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/jul/14/mobile-phones-cancer-inconvenient-truths</a></p> <p>!There is a catch, though: the Internet of Things will require augmenting today's 4G technology with 5G technology, thus (massively increasing) the general populations exposure to radiation, according to a petition signed by 236 scientists worldwide who have published more than 2,000 peer-reviewed studies and represent (a significant portion of the credentialled scientists in the radiation research field), according to Joel Moskowitz, the director of the Center for Family and Community Health at the University of California, Berkeley, who helped circulate the petition. Nevertheless, like mobiles, 5G technology is on the verge of being introduced without pre-market safety testing.</p> <p>Lack of definitive proof that a technology is harmful does not mean the technology is safe, yet the wireless industry has succeeded in selling this logical fallacy to the world. The upshot is that, over the past 30 years, billions of people around the world have been subjected to a public-health experiment: use a mobile phone today, find out later if it causes genetic damage or cancer. Meanwhile, the industry has obstructed a full understanding of the science and news organisations have failed to inform the public about what scientists really think. In other words, this public health experiment has been conducted without the informed consent of its subjects, even as the industry keeps its thumb on the scale!</p> <p>Camden has a duty of care to ensure that all its citizens are kept safe. We will not give our permission to continue with this gross experiment.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1332/P	[REDACTED]	17/06/2020 18:01:48	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this application of additional 5G mast to be installed at St Marys Vicarage,134 Abbey Road,NW6 4SN</p> <p>This site is within a conservation area and as such would be in breach of the conservation laws. I live in a position in which I am directly facing the site where the 5g antennas would be erected and I find them most unpleasant to look at.</p> <p>There is insufficient testing for 5G masts to prove that the radio frequency radiation and electromagnetic field will not be harmful to our health.</p>
2020/1332/P	[REDACTED]	16/06/2020 16:20:40	COMMENT	<p>This site is within our conservation area and not in keeping with the guidelines of such . It is unsightly and spoils the character of St Marys and healthy trees will be removed , This is not acceptable. Its location will place Hampstead in the epicenter of 3 5G masts namely Chalk Farm and Jack Straws Castle and Abbey Road . This will increase the intensity of non-ionising electro-magnetic radiation 3 fold and there is no measurement for this . Such radiation is totally incompatible with the natural electro-magnetic field of the human body and cells . Enough scientific research shows the consequences. The quality of life and health of all residents in Hampstead will be greatly impacted as mine and others already is walking in the High street. This will have long term repercussions. This is not acceptable. Putting up small antennas which radiate harmful frequencies directly into peoples homes without their consent is a criminal assault . The public should be more informed</p>