



Sent: 10 June 2020 10:05

To: PlanningCommittee <PlanningCommittee@camden.gov.uk>

Subject: Objection to planning application for tree removal 2020/1599/T

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Hello,

I would like to object to the application for tree removal at 91 Canfield Gardens.

I understand no decision has been made on this. I was unable to object previously due to being away from the property during lockdown.

Subsidence at 94 Greencroft Gardens is used as the basis for the application, however no evidence has been provided that the tree is indeed responsible for subsidence, nor that the removal of the tree is the only solution to rectifying the subsidence currently under investigation at 94 Greencroft Gardens.

Building works were carried out in 2018 including excavation of a basement at the adjacent property of 96 Greencroft (94 Greencroft Garden is semi-detached forming a building with 96 Greencroft Gardens). There has been no evidence to rule out these building works as the cause of subsidence.

The tree is one of the biggest in the area and is what makes this area so attractive and pleasant to live in. All the residents greatly enjoy its presence and the wildlife that it supports.

I hope this can still be considered in your consultation.

--

Kindest regards

