Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/2436/T		(9)06°2020 07.57.32	OBJ	The felling of these four trees for no particular reason should be refused Refuse this application. One of the great joys of Camden is that although it is a busy metropolitan area it has an abundance of trees. Everyone now knows that trees are not only of aesthetic use, thus contributing to our mental well being, they are also wital tools in improving the air quality in the city and also an important weapon in the fight against dimate change. To its credit Camden has a vigorous policy of tree planting in the borough , however there is little point in planting new trees to increase the tree population if constantly existing trees are being felled. Of course there are circumstances in which a tree should be felled , if it is diseased or its roots are seriously endangering a structure are two obvious ones. Other kinds of objections can be easily remedied by judicious and expert oruning . However every attempt must be made to preserve Camden, a existing stock where possible in both private and public space. Thus I would argue that in this case the reasons for felling are not convincing and that the application for felling should be refused with a recommendation for professional pruning.
2020/2436/T		09/06/2020 07:57:31	OBJ	The felling of these four trees for no particular reason should be refused Refuse this application. One of the great joys of Camden is that although it is a busy metropolitan area it has an abundance of trees. Everyone now knows that trees are not only of assisthet use, thus contributing to our mental well being, they are also wital tools in improving the air quality in the city and also an important weapon in the fight against climate change. To its credit Camden has a vigorous policy of tree planting in the borough, however there is little point in planting new trees to increase the tree population if constantly existing trees are being felled. Of course there are circumstances in which a tree should be felled, if its diseased or its roots are seriously endangering a structure are two obvious ones. Other kinds of objections can be easily remedied by judicious and expert pruning. However every attempt must be made to preserve Camden¿s existing stock where possible in both private and public space. Thus I would argue that in this case the reasons for felling are not convincing and that the application for felling should be refused with a recommendation for professional pruning.

Printed on: 09/06/2020 09:10:04