

Construction Impact Assessment

1 Hampshire Street, London

Report Ref: AQ1689

Date: October 2019

Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref: AQ1689



DOCUMENT CONTROL & DISCLAIMER

Title:

1 Hampshire Street, London: Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref:

AQ1689

Date Issued:

October 2019

Client Details:

Redtree (North London) Limited 44 Great Eastern Street

> London EC2A 3EP

Produced By:

GEM Air Quality Ltd

Union House 111 New Union Street Coventry CV1 2NT

Tel: 0800 689 4329

Email: info@gemairquality.co.uk
www.gemairquality.co.uk

Disclaimer:

GEM Air Quality Ltd completed this Report on the basis of a defined programme of work and terms and conditions agreed with the Client. All reasonable skill and care has been used in producing this report, taking into account the project objectives, the agreed scope of work, prevailing site conditions and the degree of manpower and resources allocated to the project.

GEM Air Quality Ltd accepts no responsibility to any parties whatsoever, following the issue of the Report, for any matters arising outside the agreed scope of the work.

This Report is issued in confidence to the Client and **GEM Air Quality Ltd** has no responsibility to any third parties to whom this Report may be circulated, in part or in full, and any such parties rely on the contents of the report solely at their own risk.



Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref: AQ1689



CONTENTS

| 1 In | TRODUCTION | 1 |
|----------|--|---|
| 1.1 | Scope | 1 |
| 2 PL | ANNING POLICY & GUIDANCE | 1 |
| 2.1 | Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) | 1 |
| 2.: | 1.1 Control of Dust and Emissions during Construction and Demolition | |
| 3 Cc | DNSTRUCTION PHASE | |
| 3.1 | Methodology | 2 |
| 3.2 | Construction Impact Assessment | |
| 4 M | ITIGATION MEASURES | |
| 4.1 | Construction Phase | 8 |
| Table 1 | LIST OF TABLES - Dust Emission Magnitude | Δ |
| | 2 – Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property | |
| | B – Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Impacts | |
| | I – Risk of Dust Impacts | |
| | 5 – Overall Sensitivity of the Surrounding Area | |
| Table 6 | 5 – Summary of Dust Risk | 6 |
| Table 7 | 7 – Mitigation of Construction Activities | 9 |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | |
| Figure | 1 – Dust Assessment Procedure | 3 |
| Figure : | 2 – Distance from the Proposed Development | 7 |



Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref: AQ1689



1 Introduction

1.1 Scope

GEM Air Quality Ltd has been commissioned to undertake a construction impact assessment for a proposed residential development located at 1 Hampshire Street in Camden, London.

2 PLANNING POLICY & GUIDANCE

2.1 Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG)

2.1.1 Control of Dust and Emissions during Construction and Demolition

The Greater London Authority (GLA) released the "Control of Dust and Emissions during Construction and Demolition" SPG in July 2014^1 . The guidance seeks to reduce emissions of dust and PM₁₀ from construction and demolition activities in London. It also aims to manage emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx) from construction and demolition machinery. The SPG:

- Provides more detailed guidance on the implementation of all relevant policies in the London Plan and the Mayor's Air Quality Strategy to neighbourhoods, boroughs, developers, architects, consultants and any other parties involved in any aspect of the demolition and construction process;
- Sets out the methodology for assessing the air quality impacts of construction and demolition in London; and
- Identifies good practice for mitigating and managing air quality impacts that is relevant and achievable, with the overarching aim of protecting public health and the environment.

The principles of the SPG apply to all developments in London as their associated construction and demolition activity may all contribute to poor air quality unless properly managed and mitigated.

The Control of Dust and Emissions during Construction and Demolition SPG. Greater London Authority, July 2014



Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref: AQ1689



3 Construction Phase

3.1 Methodology

Based on the "Control of Dust and Emissions during Construction and Demolition" SPG discussed in the previous section, the main air quality impacts that may arise during construction activities are:

- Dust deposition, resulting in the soiling of surfaces;
- Visible dust plumes, which are evidence of dust emissions;
- Elevated PM₁₀ concentrations, as a result of dust generating activities on site; and
- An increase in concentrations or airborne particles and nitrogen dioxide due to exhaust emissions from diesel powered vehicles and equipment on site.

In relation to the most likely impacts, the guidance states the following:

"The most common impacts are dust soiling and increased ambient PM_{10} concentrations due to dust arising from activities on the site. Dust soiling will arise from the deposition of particulate matter in all size fractions.

Experience of assessing the exhaust emissions from on-site plant (also known as non-road mobile machinery or NRMM) and site traffic suggests that they are unlikely to make a significant impact on local air quality, and in the vast majority of cases they will not need to be quantitatively assessed".

The guidance continues by providing an assessment procedure. This includes sub-dividing construction activities into four types to reflect their different potential impacts. These are as follows:

- Demolition;
- Earthworks;
- Construction; and
- Track out.


With regards to the proposed development the potential for dust emissions is assessed for each activity that is likely to take place. The assessment procedure assumes no mitigation measures are applied. The conditions with no mitigation thus form the baseline or "donothing" situation for a construction site. The assessment procedure uses the steps provided



Report Ref: AQ1689



Figure 1 – Dust Assessment Procedure





Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref: AQ1689



The risk of dust arising in sufficient quantities to cause annoyance and/or health and/or ecological impacts should be determined using four risk categories: negligible, low, medium and high risk. A development is allocated to a risk category based on two factors:

- the scale and nature of the works, which determines the potential dust emission magnitude as small, medium or large (see Table 1); and
- the sensitivity of the area to dust impacts, which is defined as low, medium or high sensitivity (see Tables 2 and 3).

These two factors are combined to determine the risk of dust impacts with no mitigation applied (see Table 4). The risk category assigned to the development can be different for each of the four potential activities (demolition, earthworks, construction and trackout).

Table 1 – Dust Emission Magnitude

| | 1 | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Activity | Dust Emission Class | | | | | |
| 7.00.000 | Large | Medium | Small | | | |
| Demolition | Total building volume >50,000 m³, potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), on-site crushing and screening, demolition activities >20 m above ground level | Total building volume 20,000 – 50 000m³, potentially dusty construction material, demolition activities 10-20 m above ground level | Total building volume <20,000 m³, construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber), demolition activities <10m above ground, demolition during wetter months | | | |
| Earthworks | Total site area >10,000 m², potentially dusty soil type (e.g. clay, which will be prone to suspension when dry due to small particle size), >10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds >8 m in height, total material moved >100,000 tonnes | Total site area 2,500 – 10,000 m², moderately dusty soil type (e.g. silt), 5-10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds 4 m - 8 m in height, total material moved 20,000 tonnes – 100,000 tonnes | Total site area <2,500 m², soil type with large grain size (e.g. sand), <5 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds <4 m in height, total material moved <10,000 tonnes, earthworks during wetter months | | | |
| Construction | Total building volume >100,000 m³, piling, on site concrete batching; sandblasting | Total building volume 25,000 m3 – 100,000 m³, potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), piling, on site concrete batching | Total building volume <25,000 m³, construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber) | | | |
| Track out | >50 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, potentially dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length >100 m | 10 – 50 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, moderately dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length 50m – 100 m; | <10 HDV (>3.5t) trips in any one day, surface material with low potential for dust release, unpaved road length <50 m. | | | |



Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref: AQ1689



Table 2 – Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property

| Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|--------|--------|------|--|
| Receptor | Number of | Distance from the Source (m) | | | | |
| Sensitivity | Receptors | <20 | <50 | <100 | <350 | |
| | >100 | High | High | Medium | Low | |
| High | 10-100 | High | Medium | Low | Low | |
| | 1-10 | Medium | Low | Low | Low | |
| Medium | >1 | Medium | Low | Low | Low | |
| Low | >1 | Low | Low | Low | Low | |

Table 3 – Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Impacts

| Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Effects | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Receptor | Annual Mean PM ₁₀ | Number of | Distance from the Source (m) | | | | |
| Sensitivity | Concentration | Receptors | <20 | <50 | <100 | <200 | <350 |
| | | >100 | High | High | High | Medium | Low |
| | >32 μg/m³ | 10-100 | High | High | Medium | Low | Low |
| | | 1-10 | High | Medium | Low | Low | Low |
| | 28-32 μg/m³ | >100 | High | High | Medium | Low | Low |
| | | 10-100 | High | Medium | Low | Low | Low |
| Hiah | | 1-10 | High | Medium | Low | Low | Low |
| High | 24-28 μg/m³ | >100 | High | Medium | Low | Low | Low |
| | | 10-100 | High | Medium | Low | Low | Low |
| | | 1-10 | Medium | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| | <24 μg/m³ | >100 | Medium | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| | | 10-100 | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| | | 1-10 | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| Medium | - | >10 | High | Medium | Low | Low | Low |
| iviedium | - | 1-10 | Medium | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| Low | - | >1 | Low | Low | Low | Low | Low |

Table 4 – Risk of Dust Impacts

| Construction | Sensitivity of | Dust Emission Magnitude | | | |
|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| Activity | Area | Large | Medium | Small | |
| | High | High Risk | Medium Risk | Medium Risk | |
| Demolition | Medium | High Risk | Medium Risk | Low Risk | |
| | Low | Medium Risk | Low Risk | Negligible | |
| | High | High Risk | Medium Risk | Low Risk | |
| Earthworks | Medium | Medium Risk | Medium Risk | Low Risk | |
| | Low | Low Risk | Low Risk | Negligible | |
| | High | High Risk | Medium Risk | Low Risk | |
| Construction | Medium | Medium Risk | Medium Risk | Low Risk | |
| | Low | Low Risk | Low Risk | Negligible | |
| | High | High Risk | Low Risk | Low Risk | |
| Track out | Medium | Medium Risk | Low Risk | Negligible | |
| | Low | Low Risk | Low Risk | Negligible | |



Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref: AQ1689



3.2 Construction Impact Assessment

The assessment of construction activities has focused on demolition, earthworks, construction and track out activities at the site. Using the criteria provided in Table 7 the dust emission magnitude for each activity is as follows:

- Demolition = Small;
- Earthworks = Small;
- Construction = Small; and
- Track out = Small.

The sensitivity of the surrounding area to dust soiling and human health (Table 5) is then defined based on the criteria in Table 4, which includes the number of highly sensitive receptors that fall within a certain distance of the proposed construction phase (see Figure 2). With there being fewer than 100 highly sensitive receptors within 50 metres of the development site the overall sensitivity of the surrounding area is considered medium for dust soiling and low for human health. This also considers the background PM_{10} concentration derived from Defra². These 1 km x 1 km grid resolution background maps are derived from a base year of 2017. Background concentrations of PM_{10} derived from Defra are 19.1 μ g/m³ in 2017 in the vicinity of the proposed development.

Table 5 – Overall Sensitivity of the Surrounding Area

| Detential Impact | Sensitivity of the Surrounding Area | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------|--|
| Potential Impact | Demolition | Earthworks | Construction | Trackout | |
| Dust Soiling | Medium | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| Human Health | Low | Low | Low | Low | |

The dust emission magnitudes and sensitivity of the surrounding area are combined to determine the risk of dust impacts with no mitigation applied. These are summarised in Table 6.

Table 6 – Summary of Dust Risk

| Potential Impact | Risk | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|--|--|
| Potential impact | Demolition | Earthworks | Construction | Trackout | | |
| Dust Soiling | Low Risk | Low Risk | Low Risk | Negligible | | |
| Human Health | Negligible | Negligible | Negligible | Negligible | | |

It should also be noted that the likelihood of an adverse impact occurring is correlated to wind speed and wind direction. As such, unfavourable wind speeds and wind directions must occur

² http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-maps?year=2017





Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref: AQ1689



at the same time as a dust generating activity in order to generate an adverse impact. The overall impacts also assume that the dust generating activities are occurring over the entirety of the site meaning that as an activity moves further away from a potential receptor the magnitude and significance of the impact will be further reduced.

50 metres 20 metres

Figure 2 – Distance from the Proposed Development



Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref: AQ1689



4 MITIGATION MEASURES

4.1 Construction Phase

A qualitative assessment of dust levels associated with the proposed development has been carried out. The impact of dust soiling and PM_{10} can be reduced to negligible through appropriate mitigation measures, which are listed in Table 7 and are applicable to a low risk site. Implementation of these Best Practice Measures will help reduce the impact of the construction activities.

With these mitigation measures enforced, the likelihood of nuisance dust episodes occurring at those receptors adjacent to the development are considered low. Notwithstanding this, the developer should take into account the potential impact of air quality and dust on occupational exposure standards (in order to minimise worker exposure) and breaches of air quality objectives that may occur outside the site boundary. Monitoring is not recommended at this stage, however, continuous visual assessment of the site should be undertaken and a complaints log maintained in order to determine the origin of a particular dust nuisance. Keeping an accurate and up to date complaints log will isolate particular site activities to a nuisance dust episode and help prevent it from reoccurring in the future.



Construction Impact Assessment

Report Ref: AQ1689



Table 7 – Mitigation of Construction Activities

| Construction Activity | Mitigation Measures |
|---|---|
| Communications | Display the name and contact details of person(s) accountable for air quality and dust |
| | issues on the site boundary. This may be the environment manager/engineer or the site |
| | manager. |
| | Display the head or regional office contact information. |
| Site Management | Record all dust and air quality complaints, identify cause(s), take appropriate measures |
| _ | to reduce emissions in a timely manner, and record the measures taken. |
| | Make a complaints log available to the local authority when asked. |
| | Record any exceptional incidents that cause dust and air quality pollutant emissions, |
| | either on or off the site, and the action taken to resolve the situation is recorded in the |
| | log book. |
| Monitoring | Carry out regular site inspections to monitor compliance with air quality and dust control |
| | procedures, record inspection results, and make an inspection log available to the local |
| | authority when asked. |
| | Increase the frequency of site inspections by those accountable for dust and air quality |
| | pollutant emissions issues when activities with a high potential to produce dust and |
| | emissions and dust are being carried out, and during prolonged dry or windy conditions. |
| Preparing and Plan site layout: machinery and dust causing activities should be located and | |
| maintaining the site | receptors. |
| | Erect solid screens or barriers around dust activities or the site boundary that are, at |
| | least, as high as any stockpiles on site. |
| | Avoid site runoff of water or mud. |
| Operating | Ensure all non-road mobile machinery (NRMM) comply with the relevant standards. |
| vehicle/machinery | Ensure all vehicles switch off engines when stationary – no idling vehicles. |
| | Avoid the use of diesel or petrol powered generators and use mains electricity or battery |
| | powered equipment where possible. |
| Operations | Only use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust |
| | suppression techniques such as water sprays or local extraction, e.g. suitable local |
| | exhaust ventilation systems. |
| | Ensure an adequate water supply on the site for effective dust/particulate matter |
| | mitigation (using recycled water where possible). |
| | Use enclosed chutes, conveyors and covered skips. |
| | Minimise drop heights from conveyors, loading shovels, hoppers and other loading or |
| | handling equipment and use fine water sprays on such equipment wherever appropriate. |
| Waste Management | Reuse and recycle waste to reduce dust from waste materials |
| | Avoid bonfires and burning of waste materials. |

