

Vine House, London NW3 1AB

Basement Impact Assessment
Audit

For

London Borough of Camden

Project Number: 13398-12
Revision: F2

June 2020

Campbell Reith Hill LLP
15 Bermondsey Square
London
SE1 3UN

T: +44 (0)20 7340 1700
E: london@campbellreith.com
W: www.campbellreith.com

Document History and Status

Revision	Date	Purpose/Status	File Ref	Author	Check	Review
D1	1 May 2020	Comment	KBemb13398-12-010520-D1 Vine House.doc	KB	EMB	EMB
F1	5 June 2020	For Planning	KBemb13398-12-120620-F1 Vine House.doc	KB	EMB	EMB
F2	9 June 2020	For Planning	KBemb13398-12-090620-F2 Vine House.doc	KB	EMB	EMB

This document has been prepared in accordance with the scope of Campbell Reith Hill LLP's (CampbellReith) appointment with its client and is subject to the terms of the appointment. It is addressed to and for the sole use and reliance of CampbellReith's client. CampbellReith accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes, stated in the document, for which it was prepared and provided. No person other than the client may copy (in whole or in part) use or rely on the contents of this document, without the prior written permission of Campbell Reith Hill LLP. Any advice, opinions, or recommendations within this document should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole. The contents of this document are not to be construed as providing legal, business or tax advice or opinion.

© Campbell Reith Hill LLP 2020

Document Details

Last saved	09/06/2020 17:20
Path	KBemb13398-12-090620-F2 Vine House.doc
Author	K Barker MSci FGS
Project Partner	E M Brown, BSc MSc CGeol FGS
Project Number	13398-12
Project Name	Vine House, London NW3 1AB
Planning Reference	2020/0601/P

Contents

1.0 Non-technical summary 1
2.0 Introduction 2
3.0 Basement Impact Assessment Audit Check List..... 4
4.0 Discussion 7
5.0 Conclusions 10

Appendix

- Appendix 1: Residents' Consultation Comments
- Appendix 2: Audit Query Tracker
- Appendix 3: Supplementary Supporting Documents

1.0 NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

- 1.1. CampbellReith was instructed by London Borough of Camden, (LBC) to carry out an audit on the Basement Impact Assessment submitted as part of the Planning Submission documentation for Vine House, London NW3 1AB (planning reference 2020/0601/P). The basement is considered to fall within Category B as defined by the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2. The Audit reviewed the Basement Impact Assessment for potential impact on land stability and local ground and surface water conditions arising from basement development in accordance with LBC's policies and technical procedures.
- 1.3. CampbellReith was able to access LBC's Planning Portal and gain access to the latest revision of submitted documentation and reviewed it against an agreed audit check list.
- 1.4. The Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) has been carried out in two parts; the hydrogeology, land stability and ground movement assessments were undertaken by Maund Geo-Consulting and the hydrology assessment and structural appraisal were undertaken by Croft Structural Engineers. The individuals who completed each part of the BIA are considered to hold suitable qualifications.
- 1.5. It is accepted that the surrounding slopes to the development site are stable.
- 1.6. It is accepted that the proposed basement will have no adverse impact on the wider hydrology or hydrogeology of the area.
- 1.7. The assessment in the revised submission indicates ground movements resulting from the proposed basement will result in a maximum damage category 1 (Very slight).
- 1.8. A movement monitoring strategy during excavation and construction is presented and should be revised to include monitoring of the Grade II listed host property. Trigger levels are suggested and final values should be agreed as part of the party wall award.
- 1.9. Based on the revised submission, the proposal adheres to the requirements of the CPG Basements.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

2.1. CampbellReith was instructed by London Borough of Camden (LBC) on 30 March 2020 to carry out a Category B Audit on the Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) submitted as part of the Planning Submission documentation for Vine House, London NW3 1AB.

2.2. The Audit was carried out in accordance with the Terms of Reference set by LBC. It reviewed the Basement Impact Assessment for potential impact on land stability and local ground and surface water conditions arising from basement development.

2.3. A BIA is required for all planning applications with basements in Camden in general accordance with policies and technical procedures contained within

- Camden Local Plan 2017 - Policy A5 Basements.
- Camden Planning Guidance: Basements. March 2018
- Guidance for Subterranean Development (GSD). Issue 01. November 2010. Ove Arup & Partners.

2.4. The BIA should demonstrate that schemes:

- a) maintain the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties;
- b) avoid adversely affecting drainage and run off or causing other damage to the water environment;
- c) avoid cumulative impacts upon structural stability or the water environment in the local area;

and evaluate the impacts of the proposed basement considering the issues of hydrology, hydrogeology and land stability via the process described by the GSD and to make recommendations for the detailed design.

2.5. LBC's Audit Instruction described the planning proposal as *"Excavation to extend the current basement to match footprint of ground floor"*.

The Audit Instruction also confirmed Vine House involved, or was a neighbour to, listed buildings.

2.6. CampbellReith accessed LBC's Planning Portal on 27 March 2020 and gained access to the following relevant documents for audit purposes:

- Basement Impact Assessment (Maud BIA), Maud Geo-Consulting, ref. MGC-BIA-19-34-V3, dated 23 March 2020.

- Basement Impact Assessment (Croft BIA), Croft Structural Engineers, ref. 191025, dated 11 December 2019.
- Flood Risk Assessment (FRA), Croft Structural Engineers, ref. 191025, dated 12 December 2019.
- Existing Floor Plans drawing by Hertford Planning Service, reference 13441-S002, dated 26 June 2018.
- Proposed Basement Floor Plan & Section drawing by Hertford Planning Service, reference 13441-P009-C, dated 3 February 2020.
- Heritage Statement, Archangel Heritage, ref 0347, dated January 2020.
- Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA), Tree Sense, ref. GOS_VHHS_AIA_001, dated 21 June 2019.
- Addendum to Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Sense, ref. GOS_VHHS_AIA_001_ADD, dated 18 December 2019.

2.7. The following additional documents were provided to CampbellReith in May 2020 in response to the initial audit report and the queries summarised in Appendix 2:

- Basement Impact Assessment (Maund BIA), Maund Geo-Consulting, ref. MGC-BIA-19-34-V4, dated 27 May 2020.
- Revised drawings by Croft Structural Engineers, SL-100 rev 1, SL-50 rev 2, TW-100 rev 1, TW-200 first issue.
- Planning consultation response from Hampstead Conservation Area Advisory Committee.

3.0 BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUDIT CHECK LIST

Item	Yes/No/NA	Comment
Are BIA Author(s) credentials satisfactory?	Yes	
Is data required by Cl.233 of the GSD presented?	Yes	
Does the description of the proposed development include all aspects of temporary and permanent works which might impact upon geology, hydrogeology and hydrology?	Yes	
Are suitable plan/maps included?	Yes	
Do the plans/maps show the whole of the relevant area of study and do they show it in sufficient detail?	Yes	
Land Stability Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?	Yes	
Hydrogeology Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?	Yes	
Hydrology Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?	Yes	
Is a conceptual model presented?	Yes	
Land Stability Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?	Yes	

Item	Yes/No/NA	Comment
Hydrogeology Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?	Yes	
Hydrology Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?	Yes	
Is factual ground investigation data provided?	Yes	
Is monitoring data presented?	Yes	Two rounds of groundwater monitoring carried out.
Is the ground investigation informed by a desk study?	Yes	
Has a site walkover been undertaken?	Yes	
Is the presence/absence of adjacent or nearby basements confirmed?	No	
Is a geotechnical interpretation presented?	Yes	
Does the geotechnical interpretation include information on retaining wall design?	Yes	Section 5.3 of the Maund BIA.
Are reports on other investigations required by screening and scoping presented?	Yes	Flood Risk Assessment has been presented.
Are the baseline conditions described, based on the GSD?	Yes	
Do the base line conditions consider adjacent or nearby basements?	Yes	
Is an Impact Assessment provided?	Yes	
Are estimates of ground movement and structural impact presented?	Yes	

Item	Yes/No/NA	Comment
Is the Impact Assessment appropriate to the matters identified by screen and scoping?	Yes	
Has the need for mitigation been considered and are appropriate mitigation methods incorporated in the scheme?	Yes	
Has the need for monitoring during construction been considered?	Yes	Croft BIA.
Have the residual (after mitigation) impacts been clearly identified?	Yes	
Has the scheme demonstrated that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties and infrastructure will be maintained?	Yes	
Has the scheme avoided adversely affecting drainage and run-off or causing other damage to the water environment?	Yes	
Has the scheme avoided cumulative impacts upon structural stability or the water environment in the local area?	Yes	
Does report state that damage to surrounding buildings will be no worse than Burland Category 1?	Yes	
Are non-technical summaries provided?	Yes	

4.0 DISCUSSION

- 4.1. The Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) has been carried out in two parts; the hydrogeology, land stability and ground movement assessments were undertaken by Maund Geo-Consulting and the hydrology assessment and structural appraisal were undertaken by Croft Structural Engineers. The individuals who completed each part of the BIA are considered to hold suitable qualifications.
- 4.2. The BIAs identify that the property is a Grade II listed building. The property is also within the Hampstead Conservation Area.
- 4.3. The proposed development comprises the extension of an existing basement to occupy the whole footprint of the existing building. The maximum excavation depth is given as 3.50m.
- 4.4. It is accepted that there are no slope stability concerns regarding the proposed development.
- 4.5. The existing and proposed drawings for the scheme indicate that the single storey boot room and greenhouse extending from the east side of the side of the property will be widened as part of the development, with the basement development underlying the footprint of the whole building as well as the widened boot room and greenhouse. This is not discussed in either BIA but the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) suggests this extension has already been secured under a separate planning application, granted on 17 October 2019.
- 4.6. A site investigation was carried out at the site and is presented in the Maund BIA. Ground conditions comprise Made Ground to a maximum depth of 2.10m, below which lies Bagshot Formation to at least 8m depth.
- 4.7. The site is underlain by a Secondary A Aquifer within the Bagshot Formation soils. Groundwater was not encountered during the site investigation, or either of the two monitoring visits in a standpipe installed to 5m below ground level. As such it is accepted that the proposed basement will not adversely impact the hydrogeology of the area.
- 4.8. The site is identified as being in a critical drainage area and a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) has been undertaken. The FRA identifies a low to negligible risk of flooding at the property from rivers and seas, or from surface water. The building will be formed within the footprint of the existing and consented building, therefore it will not have any impact on the existing drainage network. As such it is accepted that the development will have no adverse impact on the hydrology of the area.
- 4.9. The Maund BIA identifies three trees that need to be removed, based on the recommendations of the arboricultural survey. It has been confirmed that only one of these trees is close enough to the development to be of concern. The BIA confirms the ground conditions to comprise

predominantly granular soil with a low heave potential and a negligible risk of shrink-swell movement.

- 4.10. Soil parameters are derived from the site investigation and are presented in Table 5.3 of the Maund BIA. The revised BIA submission presents values that reflect the strength data acquired during the site investigation.
- 4.11. The soil parameters within the text of the report assume a granular material, as indicated in the site investigation. The structural calculations presented in Appendix A of the Croft BIA use different parameters, however it is noted that the parameters used in these structural calculations are more conservative than those identified in the BIA text.
- 4.12. Section 6 of the Maund BIA presents a ground movement assessment. The net loadings presented in Table 6.1 allow for the removal of the 3.5m depth of soil, which equates to 60kPa. It has been confirmed in correspondence with Croft and Maund that the load from the concrete underpins has been accounted for in the wall loads provided by Croft.
- 4.13. An assessment of the vertical ground movements resulting from the basement development has been carried out using PDisp software. Given the granular nature of the soil, drained conditions have been assumed for the assessment and heave resulting from unloading is considered to be negligible. The net loads presented in Section 6 have been applied at ground level, therefore the Made Ground horizon and its associated soil parameters, which are not present at the founding level of the basement, will have been considered in the analysis. It is accepted that the inclusion of the Made Ground in the PDisp analysis is conservative.
- 4.14. The revised Maund BIA submission now includes suitable clarifications, data and calculations to support the outputs presented in Figures 10.1 to 10.3 and 11.1 to 11.3.
- 4.15. The revised BIA submission assesses ground movement impacting the nearest neighbouring property as well as the host property itself, due to the Grade II listed status of the building. The damage category assessment presented in Section 11 confirms damage no greater than Category 1 (Very Slight).
- 4.16. Revised drawings from Croft, which are presented in Appendix A of the revised Maund BIA, present a temporary works sequence for the basement under the main house which involves the use of trench sheeting to support excavation sides and propping the underpins against a central mound of soil as they are excavated. The boot room and greenhouse area on the east side of the property is too narrow for a sufficient soil mound to be retained to prop against, therefore the excavation of the basement in this area will be undertaken in full width bays with sacrificial trench sheeting and propping used to ensure stability.

- 4.17. A monitoring strategy has been provided in the Croft BIA and identifies the need for movement monitoring along the boundary wall with the neighbouring property High Close, during excavation and construction. Monitoring of the Grade II listed host property should also be included. Trigger values for this monitoring are suggested in the Croft BIA but should be agreed as part of the Party Wall Award.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

- 5.1. The Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) has been carried out in two parts; the hydrogeology, land stability and ground movement assessments were undertaken by Maund Geo-Consulting and the hydrology assessment and structural appraisal were undertaken by Croft Structural Engineers. The individuals who completed each part of the BIA are considered to hold suitable qualifications.
- 5.2. The BIA has confirmed that the proposed basement will be founded within the Bagshot Formation.
- 5.3. It is accepted that the surrounding slopes to the development site are stable.
- 5.4. It is accepted that the proposed basement will have no adverse impact on the wider hydrology or hydrogeology of the area.
- 5.5. The revised submissions provide suitable data to support the conclusions of the Ground Movement Assessment and associated Damage Category. The assessment indicates ground movements will result in a maximum damage category 1 (Very slight).
- 5.6. The revised submissions provide suitable detail regarding the temporary works and construction sequence in the boot room/greenhouse area.
- 5.7. A movement monitoring strategy during excavation and construction is presented and should be revised to include monitoring of the Grade II listed host property. Trigger levels are suggested and final values should be agreed as part of the party wall award.
- 5.8. Based on the revised submission, the proposal adheres to the requirements of the CPG Basements.

Appendix 1: Residents' Consultation Comments

Residents' Consultation Comments

Surname	Address	Date	Issue raised	Response
Malet-Bates (Hampstead Conservation Area Advisory Committee)	N/A	29/05/20	Basement impacting/diverting groundwater flow. Stability of Bagshot formation.	A site investigation and two rounds of groundwater monitoring were carried out to inform the BIA. These identified no groundwater during drilling to a depth of 8m, with subsequent monitoring to 4.95m depth returning 'dry' conditions. Based on the maximum excavation depth of 3.5m for the basement development it is accepted that groundwater level and flow will not be impacted by the development. This has been suitably addressed in the revised BIA submission and drawings, which show temporary propping arrangements for the basement construction.

Appendix 2: Audit Query Tracker

Audit Query Tracker

Query No	Subject	Query	Status	Date closed out
1	Stability	Further consideration of the impact of removing trees is required.	Closed	28/05/2020
2	Stability	Soil parameters should be presented consistently and should reflect the findings of the site investigation.	Closed	28/05/2020
3	Stability	Calculations and supporting data is required to justify the conclusions of the ground movement assessment and damage category analysis.	Closed	28/05/2020
4	Stability	Due to the Grade II listed status of the property, a damage assessment should be provided for the listed building.	Closed	28/05/2020
5	Stability	The temporary works and construction sequence for underpinning in the boot room/greenhouse area requires clarification. Further information regarding how stability within the sand will be maintained through the underpinning and propping work is required.	Closed	28/05/2020

Appendix 3: Supplementary Supporting Documents

E-mail confirmation regarding loadings



RE: BIA for Vine House [Camden Application No. 2020/0601/P] [CR Project
Number: 13398-12] - BIA Appendices E & F
Geoff Watson to: Julian Maund, KatharineBarker@campbellreith.com 03/06/2020
17:40
Cc: "julia", "Rachel.English@camden.gov.uk"

Dear Julian,

Thank for speaking to me just now about the query on the loading.

Dear Kat

I understand that you wished to have extra clarification on the loadings given on our drawing SL-50, which is also included in the part of the BIA produced by MCG.

I can confirm that the dead loads given include the weight of the foundations.

Kind regards

Geoff Watson
Structural Engineer



Clock Shop Mews, Rear of 60 Saxon Rd, SE25 5EH
T: 020 8684 4744

D: 020 3763 2895
M: 07985 160448

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

London

15 Bermondsey Square
London
SE1 3UN

T: +44 (0)20 7340 1700
E: london@campbellreith.com

Birmingham

Chantry House
High Street, Coleshill
Birmingham B46 3BP

T: +44 (0)1675 467 484
E: birmingham@campbellreith.com

Surrey

Raven House
29 Linkfield Lane, Redhill
Surrey RH1 1SS

T: +44 (0)1737 784 500
E: surrey@campbellreith.com

Manchester

No. 1 Marsden Street
Manchester
M2 1HW

T: +44 (0)161 819 3060
E: manchester@campbellreith.com

Bristol

Wessex House
Pixash Lane, Keynsham
Bristol BS31 1TP

T: +44 (0)117 916 1066
E: bristol@campbellreith.com

Campbell Reith Hill LLP. Registered in England & Wales. Limited Liability Partnership No OC300082
A list of Members is available at our Registered Office at: 15 Bermondsey Square, London, SE1 3UN
VAT No 974 8892 43