Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 08/06/2020 09:10:07 Response:
2020/1745/P		04/06/2020 10:30:58	OBJ	The property at 108 has already been extended considerably into the back garden. Work on this outbuilding had started illegally while these extensions were being completed. This work extended above the fence bordering the garden at 110 Fitzjohns, affecting both the character of the garden and light in the space.
				Adding an outbuilding will severely restrict the outdoor space in the next garden and will be extremely detrimental to the character of the area.
				The apparent intended use of the space as a gymnasium (see the plan/drawings, which include exercise equipment) indicates a potentially commercial use of the space.
2020/1745/P		02/06/2020 10:56:55	OBJ	This is not a minor alteration , it is an entirty new single storey building at the rear of a garden . The gardens in this part on Camden are not only an essential part of its aesthetic character , the garden in the city ,the green city, they are an essential tool of nature being the lungs of the city , air cleaners for us all , also contributiong to the bio-diversity that it is so important . Furthermore this application of course sets a precedent for other small buildings in all the other gardens on Fitzjohns Avenue Perhaps that is what Camden wants ? Destroy the lungs of the city with small buildings ? Refuse this application .
2020/1745/P		04/06/2020 08:37:29	OBJ	The documents accompanying this application fail to show the recent extension to this property. A large part of the garden is already covered by the addition of building at ground and first floor level. The drawings and plans submitted make the garden appear larger than it actually is. The addition of yet another structure looks like overdevelopment. Furthermore, the height of the proposed building at 2,800 m also seems excessive and could, at some point, result in the building being used for accommodation. I urge Camden to resfuse this application.