Chana, Jaspreet

From: Sent: To:		
Subject:		

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Miss Chana,

Can my points below be added to my prior comments or will this count as another objection.

I am a leaseholder and I strongly oppose the plans to install telecom masts on the roof of Grasmere on the following grounds;

Health Implications

I am primarily concerned with the health implications. Having read every website referenced the message seems to be that there are unlikely to be adverse health effects but the research is still needed into the long-term effects of exposure especially on the young children.

installation will take place and I am very worried of long term exposure to emissions from telecoms masts, the non-ionizing radiation, radio frequency electromagnetic fields that are emitted from telecom masts. Camden councillors have made the judgement that, for the financial reward offered, they might grant the application but for my family and three children under the age of three these question marks are to significant to be completely comfortable with a mast 3 metres above our beds.

I have organised a petitions signed by 33 residents and they all feel the same.

World Health Organisation considers exposure to be a possible carcinogen and that more research is needed for the effects on the young children.

I am very concerned and would like to know if there is an option of relocating. I have been a resident in the building for almost 20 years and would very much appreciate advise on this matter or what are my options as a leaseholder of a Camden Council.

Matter of Choice

The wording of the consultation letter, planning application and subsequent emails, gives the impression that the installation is going ahead and so residents have been left with the feeling that as tenants or leaseholders in a Council owned building, they are expected to just put up with it.

Sound

I am very concerned for the noise that would be generated as we get the strength of the wind from Regent's Park and it is very noise without any equipment on the roof, after placing antennas, dishes and masts the noise will only increase. Me and my family where installation will take place. I already have sleeping problems and as well as high cholesterol for which I am in continues consultation with my GP practice. If the masts and antennas are placed in the roof this would only add to my existing concerns.

Location of Masts

I believe that proposed location was not chosen by the network operator because it works best in terms of network coverage but because the building is owned by a Council and that residents will have little impact on the decision. There is similar equipment on the roof of Englefield building that is very close to our building.

If the application is to provide better coverage for Regent's Park than there are many location that would be more suitable within the park and would not raise any concerns on the health side effects. Park visitors would not mine or have any concerns as they spend few hours on the park while we will be left with continues concerns about the impact on our health due to continues exposure.

Consultation

We find that consultation lacking in range. Only two notices has been placed on the garden fence around each entry to the Grasmere building. The other building residents are not aware of this application. Additionally, many of the residents are elderly or for other reason do not have access to the internet so will not be able to respond to a consultation or make an objection where the only method is by email or Camden portal, neither will they be able to access any referenced articles.

Please confirm receipt of this email.

Kind Regards, Kujtim Kelmendi







