

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1796/P	Kujtim	23/05/2020 23:14:47	OBJ	<p>There is similar equipment on the roof of block Englefield that is very close to our building and is visible from our windows.</p> <p>If the application is to provide better coverage for Regent's Park than surely there are many locations that would be suitable within the park and would not raise any concerns or fears on the health side effects for the residents. Park visitors would not mind or have any concerns as they spend few hours on the park while we will be left with continuous concerns about the impact on our health due to continuous exposure. I would like to know if Camden is providing residents with relocation options if the application is approved.</p> <p>When speaking with neighbours from the surrounding blocks they are not aware of the application. I have spoken with more than 40 neighbours and they all have concerns and would like to object the application. They also noted that they have not asked for a better network coverage or 5G.</p> <p>Are we expected to read all the notices that we see on the way home or check the Camden website continually to be aware of what is going on in our neighbourhood. It seems that Camden has fulfilled its obligation with the two notices placed in the fences at Grasmere.</p>
2020/1796/P	Selam	24/05/2020 18:52:29	PETITNOBJ E	<p>Dear Camden council, are we a test for this new technology? Why put it on social housing? It should be on a business or an office building. What insurance do we have that their technology is safe? We don't need all these antennas what we need is peace and safety to live in, this is the building where kids live. Camden council I think our health comes first before any profit!</p> <p>(The research suggests that long-term exposure may pose health risks to the skin (e.g., melanoma), the eyes (e.g., ocular melanoma) and the testes (e.g., sterility). Since 5G is a new technology, there is no research on health effects, so we are 'flying blind' to quote a U.S. senator.) Effects include increased cancer risk, cellular stress, increase in harmful free radicals, genetic damages, structural and functional changes of the reproductive system, learning and memory deficits, neurological disorders, and negative impacts on general well-being in humans. Damage goes well beyond the human race, as there is growing evidence of harmful effects to both plant and animal life.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
-----------------	------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

2020/1796/P	Kujtim Kelmendi	22/05/2020 11:02:18	OBJ	
-------------	-----------------	---------------------	-----	--

Dear Jaspreet,

I am submitting my objection for the Planning Application 2020/1796/P.

First thing that needs mentioning is that there has been no communication from the applicant with the residents or from Camden. When discussing with neighbours they were not aware of the application or received any letters. It would be good to see how these requirements have been fulfilled properly by applicant or the Camden council.

We have received more detailed information on the work that was done on our block such as getting new lift, gas pipe changes or changing our entry doors. The new fire doors which then need to be changed again as the testing carried turned out not to meet the standards. There has been no assurance that new doors will meet the standards and that there will not be any further disruption. I have raised my concerns with the new fire door since it was fitted and I am still waiting for an update. It might be due to COVID-19 that there has been no update, although my concerns were raised since 20th of November 2019 and numerous emails have been sent.

Last job they have done in the building is tidied the TV Cables. I am not sure if they have finished work on this matter but looking at the image 1 and 2 attached the work looks incomplete.

This is the way everything is handled in our block. We always end up chasing for the corrections and work to be completed to the health and safety standards. I do not expect that this would be the case on the Camden Council office building.

As a long term resident and a homeowner I am very worried about the health effects.

Me and my family live on the 22nd floor, directly below where installation will take place and I am very worried of long term exposure to emissions from telecoms masts, the non-ionizing radiation, radio frequency electromagnetic fields that are emitted from telecom masts. It is unfair for residents of a council building to bear any potential risk. Other building such as office blocks or commercial buildings should be considered for this type of application. They would prove more suitable and not lead to any concerns for the young children and the elderly neighbours. World Health Organisation considers exposure to be a possible carcinogen and that more research is needed for the effects on the young children.

A lot of us have health issues as it is let alone having to worry for the effects of the masts as well. We are struggling to cope with the effects of COVID-19 and it not justifiable for Camden council to add this to our problems.

Residents of Grasmere are not scientists or work in the telecom industry and would appreciate if we could be made aware on what ground would such a planning application be refused.

33 residents out of 44 have signed a petition (copy attached) to object this application.

Grasmere is visible from Regents Park and from the surrounding streets. Apart from disrupting the lives of the residents, these 6 antennas, masts and cabinets on the rooftop will be a permanent reminder of how the Camden Council disregarded the concern and wishes of tenants and backed the telecom company. Residents would benefit more by having an extension to their flats or a garden in the roof instead of this planned application.