

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1649/P	Azeem Ahmad	26/05/2020 20:28:56	SUPC	<p>I am fortunate enough to be able to view the roof of Albion House from my bedroom. Although I would rather not have to see antennas on that roof it is better that they are placed there than on Matilda Apartments which is completely residential (see other application which I have objected too).</p> <p>I noticed from the pre-planning advice that comments were made regarding how ugly these antennas are and that more care should be taken in the design of these antennas. No comment has been made by the applicant regarding this and my support is conditional that the antennas are designed in a way that is in line with conservation areas that they are visible from. Designing these antennas to be more visually appealing now will support many future applications for these antennas.</p>
2020/1649/P	Matt Martys	26/05/2020 14:40:36	OBJ	<p>Firstly, I am submitting a formal complaint as the application is misleading as it states the address is 1 St Giles High St, when the site location clearly shows that is Matilda Apartments, 4 Earnshaw St, which is a residential building of 53 flats.</p> <p>As a resident of Matilda Apartments, I am also objecting to this application for the following reasons: (1) I am on the top floor and my flat will be under the platform. Whilst the risks of living under such masts are inconclusive, I am very clear that I wish to take no risks and as such I do not want to live under this mast. (2) The soundproofing between my ceiling and the roof is very poor. There is already too much traffic on the roof, the noise of which disturbs me regularly. I do not want this to be added to, even fractionally. (3) The mast will be an distracting eyesore on top of a distinctive classic building designed by the famous architect Renzo Piano. I completely disagree with introducing this.</p>