

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1105/P	Anton	24/05/2020 23:22:19	OBJ	Rebuilding these apartments to more expensive smaller apartments is ridiculous. Pushing out the people that have helped build this community for years so investors can make money. Looking at the building opposite it doesn't look like anyone lives there, the councils priorities should be the residents living and paying tax here not the companies wanting to benefit off them.
2020/1105/P	Sarah Cleary	22/05/2020 15:06:32	COMNOT	<p>The first point I would like to make is we have only today (22nd May) been informed about this. I own 1C Greenland street, as well as 1 Greenland Street, however we have had no notice of this planning application posted to us. Given the impact of the proposals on my property and the requirement for a party wall agreement to be put in place, I would ask that the consultation period is extended to allow sufficient time to give the proposals due consideration.</p> <p>Initial comments are that the proposal of filling in the gap at third floor level in principle makes sense, as it will improve the street scape of Greenland Street. However, the selection of materials is paramount to ensure it is in keeping with the character of the street and the Camden Town Conservation area. In this regard, I do feel uncomfortable about the appearance of the fourth floor and think further thought needs to be taken as to use of materials and its height and massing.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1105/P	William Cornforth	23/05/2020 23:39:47	OBJ	<p>I object to the planning application made in the current form due to the proposals being a degradation of the quality of housing and quality of life, as well as its detrimental impact on the character of this historic area.</p> <p>So much new housing in London is of poor space and light standards and this redevelopment of a historic site brings the level down to the cheap modern builds of last decade.</p> <p>Specifically, the daylight and sunlight levels are brought down from an already low level, and more significantly the proposals don't offer a high enough number of dual aspect units. This drastically lowers the living quality.</p> <p>To address the accusations made in the proposal about the current units being of poor quality, I can say as a resident that the units and shared space is of excellent build quality and changing the internal structure as proposed would mean it is no longer a suitable accommodation for myself.</p> <p>If Camden council were able to maintain a level of decently sized rental accommodations at an affordable price then this would not be a serious issue, however due to housing scarcity and selfishness of new-build developers this is not the case so I urge anyone reviewing the proposals to consider the housing needs of their residents.</p> <p>The residents use the small outside space on the north east, which forms part of the fire exit, as a beautiful garden with potted plants, and this raises the beneficial utility of having that space unoccupied for all units.</p> <p>I am concerned that on the same block Charles Dickens called home, there will be a unsightly extension from the street level that ruins the break in building heights. Additionally it is not in keeping with the architectural style of the building and is a flagrant disregard of the conservation area's appraisal and management strategy guidance, which is a shame because there would be so many options for making the exterior of the extension respectful to the architectural style. Furthermore, personally I find the design unattractive.</p> <p>I also agree with other concerns raised by fellow residents. Additionally I am concerned that if this development goes ahead then I will have problems finding alternative accommodation while having to limit my movements and contact in any future lockdown situation, and given the completely unnecessary nature of the proposed development, and its detrimental impact on residents, I would request that no permission is granted until we can be sure of there being relative safety for vulnerable residents having to vacate for a slim margin money-making endeavour.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1105/P	David Wenk	24/05/2020 23:50:40	OBJ	<p>I object to the planning application as filed. I have lived in this property for over twenty years, my entire life in London, and would be rendered homeless if these plans are approved. Also affected in this flat would be two other residents of several years' standing, both of whom are professionals earning respectable salaries. All of us would be negatively affected by the passage of this planning application.</p> <p>Although proper maintenance of the block has been studiously neglected by successive landlords over the years, the building as it stands is nonetheless a rare surviving original example of a large, well-proportioned Victorian-era building. The interior layout remains the same as when the building was constructed in 1889. This is most unusual for Camden High Street and planners should consider this aspect when assessing the current plans to change the interiors and significantly shrink the living quarters.</p> <p>Additionally, by building up two storeys over the current roof of Flat 1, there absolutely will be a detrimental affect on natural light to surrounding residents, notably in addresses to the north on the east side of Camden High Street, and in Greenland Street.</p> <p>I urge you take into account the negative affect of these plans on well-established, long-term residents of the building and surrounds, and to reject the current proposal. Thank you.</p>
2020/1105/P	Chris Cleary	22/05/2020 15:51:13	COMNOT	<p>The first point I would like to make is we have only today (22nd May) been informed about this. I own 1A Greenland Street, as well as 1 Greenland Street, however, we have had no notice of this planning application posted to us. Given the impact of the proposals on my property and the requirement for a party wall agreement to be put in place, I would ask that the consultation period is extended to allow sufficient time to give the proposals due consideration.</p> <p>Initial comments are that the proposal of filling in the gap at third floor level in principle makes sense, as it will improve the street scape of Greenland Street. However, the selection of materials is paramount to ensure it is in keeping with the character of the street and the Camden Town Conservation area. In this regard, I do feel uncomfortable about the appearance of the third and fourth floor and think further thought needs to be taken as to use of materials and its height and massing. (In particular the Iron cladding)</p> <p>Also, I would be concerned that noise pollution from the building work could affect our current tenants and cause them to relocate.</p>