

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1796P		18/05/2020 16:01:29	OBJ	<p>1. Unless I am reading this incorrectly, it states there was no Site-Specific Pre-Application consultation with the local authority, and this was because Camden advised that a fee of £989.02 was required to provide formal advice. It appears that a decision was made by the Applicant to proceed WITHOUT formal advice straight to the prior approval stage. This gives me cause for concern.</p> <p>2. Community consultation (also page 2) - The rating of the site is AMBER, not GREEN. This section also clearly states that a pre-application letter was sent to all 44 residents of Grasmere on 16th March 2020. I did not receive this, and from my understanding, neither did my neighbours. I feel this is a breach as it is clear that the Applicant has NOT carried out sufficient due diligence.</p> <p>3. School/College (also page 2) - This states that a number of schools are within close proximity to Grasmere, in particular, Netley Primary School/Centre for Autism at just 177m away. It states that letters regarding pre-application were sent to all schools in the area on 16th March 2020, but as yet no response has been received. I feel that given the current Covid-19 Coronavirus Lockdown, most schools would be in a difficult position to respond to the letter if indeed they ever received it. Given that some of the children who attend Netley Primary School/Centre for Autism indicate a number of them are even more vulnerable. I would be interested to know if the parents of these children were sent any notification of this planning.</p> <p>4. The proposed site (Grasmere) has been selected as the proposed site for the area, which covers both across Regents Park and towards Euston Railway Station. As to how that selection came forth is still not clear, since there are other equal height buildings on the estate. In fact, since height seems to be a criteria, there are at least 3 other buildings that are taller than Grasmere. Have they been considered?</p> <p>Whilst none of the residents are against the progress of communications, having these antennae etc on our roof is not what we want. There are associated health risks with 5G, this is being reported more frequently. Residents who live on the uppermost floors are more prone to this exposure of radiation, but in fact, all residents will have increased exposure.</p> <p>I think given the fact the Applicant chose NOT to pay a small amount of money for formal advice, and rates the site of Grasmere as AMBER not GREEN should give us all cause for concern. I strongly object.</p>