Application No: 2020/1456/P	Consultees Name:	Received: 07/05/2020 16:55:54	Comment: COMMNT	Printed on: 14/05/2020 09:10:05 Response: If am a resident of Haddo House who is deeply worried about the plans for three G5 masts would are being planned for our roof. We don't want these places here at all. It will affect the look of the block. We are a listed building as far as I know. I am also worried about the effect on the effect it will have on the local wildlife including bees and even our pets. Many people don't have very good health either. Please think again before you go ahead with this plan.
2020/1456/P		10/05/2020 09:20:07	ОВЈ	The building where the antennas would be in stay is set within a conservation area, The proximity to 4 schools could put children¿s health at risk - it¿s still unclear how new 5G technology affects us.
2020/1456/19		09/05/2020 12:42:49	OBI	Dear Planning Team, I am writing to register my complete objection to the proposal of building 3 5G masts on Haddo House. I am opposed to the plan for a number of reasons, in support of the residents concerned as well as other residents in the area and also because my daughter attends Parliament Hill Cliris school very nearby and I am concerned at the implications for the students' health issues. On a wider political point, I am concerned at the government's lack of consultation regarding 5g with its citizens and the greedy and capitalist approach to buying in systems without little concern for the human costs. I hope you take my objection seriously. Thanks for your time,
2020/1456/1		08/05/2020 23:47:20	OBJ	I object to the planning application for these 5G Masts because there needs to be an independent review of the safety of the 5G before any approval of this application. Not doing so would be a flagrant abuse of our basic human rights and an abuse of the duty of care towards the population who live, work and study in close proximity to these proposed masts. In the immediate vicinity there are 4 large schools namely Parliament Hill Girls School, William Ellis Boys School, Gospel Oak Junior School and La Saint Union Secondary School, These masts cannot be installed without an independent review on the effects to the health of our future generations. Health concerns most come before profit. An independent review is essential.
2020/1456/19		08/05/2020 12:57:13	COMMNT	I object to this development, for numerous reasons. I'm concerned about the health implications for residents of Haddo House. For private owners in the building, it has financial implications should they want/need to sell their property. I'm a near neighbour and long time admirer of Haddo House. The phone masts will detract from this attractive and achitecturally valuable building, which is a local landmark and part of the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area. Finally, the process for gathering comments on this application has been compromised. Online comments were not possible for the duration of the application. I have a screenshot (taken April 16, 2020) that dates the application 09-04-2020, directly beneath it says "Comments Until 25-03-2020". How could the comments deadline end before the application date? I emailed Camden Planning pointing out this error (and the fact that I could find nowhere to comment on the application). I have still not heard back from them about this matter - and it is only through my own diligence that I discovered comments were now open on this application - and the deadline closes in ONE day! (I write this Friday 08-05-2020). Quite how many this oversight prevented from registering their views, we don't know. What we can be certain of is that it has undoubtedly compromised a co-ordinated community response online to this application.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 14/05/2020 Response:	09:10:05
2020/1456/P	Consumees (value)	09/05/2020 13:42:49	OBJ	Objection submitted by Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Forum	
				Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Forum gives a voice to local people in planning matters. This is a proposal that has caused an unusually high level of opposition amongst the community.	
				The planning drawings show that the new equipment would be tall and bulky and will affect the clear lines of the building. They are larger than the two turrets that help to characterise the building and protrude noticeably above them.	
				Approving this application would be counter to the policies in the made Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan.	
				Policy DC1(a) introduces five special views that must be protected. View 2 is of from Chetwynd Road down towards Highgate Road, with Haddo House at the centre. The description in Appendix 1 states that ¿any development would be expected ¿ not to intrude into the skyline created by the view of Haddo House ¿.	
				Appendix 2 of the Plan includes Haddo House as an ¿Additional Heritage Assetz, describing it as ¿a fine example of a mid-1960s development of Council housing, with unusual features that give it distinctiveness and flair ¿. Appendix 2 links to Policy DC2(d), which seeks to ¿preserve or enhance the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area, historic buildings and buildings of architectural merit and their settings, by ¿ in the case of development affecting any of the locally listed and other heritage assets identified in Appendix 2, or their settings, only permitting development that is designed to a high standard ¿.	
				As a made (adopted) Neighbourhood Plan, these policies form part of the Camden Local Plan and have equal weight to other Local Plan policies.	
2020/1456/P		09/05/2020 12:42:52	OBJ	Dear Planning Team, I am writing to register my complete objection to the proposal of building 3 5G masts on Haddo House. I am opposed to the plan for a number of reasons, in suoport of the residents concerned as well as other residents in the area and also because my daughter attends Parliament Hill Girls school very nearby and I am concerned at the implications for the students' health issues. On a wider political point, I am concerned at the government is lack of consistent on reparations 5g with issues. On any other particular portion of the buying in systems without little concern for the human costs. I hope you take my objection seriously. Thanks for your time.	

Printed on: 14/05/2020 09:10:05

Application No

Consultees Name:

Received:

08/05/2020 18:44:06 COMMNT

Response

Here's my objection

As a resident of Haddo House, my family and I object on the following grounds:

1. Our building forms part of the Dartmouth Park conservation area and is a point of interest to many locals and passers by). I have many times observed our building being admired for its interesting architectural structure. It attracts much attention, even the local youths have managed to access the roof a number of times, graffitling the roof turrets, (an accident waiting to happen). The graffitling has remained for the best part of a year, it is a complete and utter eye sore and I dread to imagine what more of a hideous sight it would be with a scattering of electrical apparatus. The apparatus will create visual clutter and diminish what is a beautiful skyline. It will be a constant reminder of how Camden holds the opinions and feelings of its residents in such disregard.

Dartmouth Park Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Statement Adopted 22 January 2009 acknowledges our building as a place of interest and is visible from ground level, as well as the surrounding buildings, homes and roads.

- 7.48 Chetwynd Road (east): (edited) From York Rise the street is densely developed but as the slope of the hill lessens the scale changes to larger semi detached and some detached houses with wider plot widths. The impact of the steeply rising street makes the roofscape highly visible. Looking west from the top there are clear views of the road and roofscape of Chetwynd Road (West) stretching down the hill towards Haddo House, which forms an important architectural component of this view.
- 2. However much the telecoms company would try to persuade us of the safety of such technologies, their opinion is biased. They may say there is not enough evidence to show its harmful to health, but I would like to say there is not enough evidence to say that its safe. My neighbours and I do not wish to be guinea pigs. There is much evidence to show that it is particularly harmful to the developing brains of children (we are surrounded by 4 schools) not to mention the 20 children living in Haddo House. The WHO have deemed it as a known carrionaen.
- 3.1 am shocked that we are being treated in such a Draconian way at a time where I thought democracy ruled, 60 residents signed a petition objecting to these masts. Since September, Camden and Waldons have imposed upon us much worry and anxiety, they have sneadily submitted their application whilst we are distracted with the current CoVid19 crisis, knowing too well that we cannot canvas our neighbours because we are adhering to the social distancing rules. Camdenis own Planning Guidance: Planning for health and wellbeing March 2018 states that:
- 1.22 Health and wellbeing are, to a large extent, determined by the environment in which we live. Transport, housing, education, income, working conditions, unemployment, air quality, green space, climate change and social and community networks can have a greater influence on our healthcare provision or genetics. Many of these determinants of health can be shaped by the planning system.

I ask you the representative of Camden, to stand by your words! It's our environment and our beliefs about it that influence our genetics and our genetic response. Stress has been proven to be a precursor to disease (please see Dr Bruce H. Lipton PHD - The biology of belief) Camden, why are you ignoring us and our

Page 14 of 24

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response: wishes?

4. We live on a very busy, noisy junction and the very possibility of anymore noise fills us with dread.