Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 13/05/2020 09:10:06  Response:
2020/1456/P	cristina ribeiro	06/05/2020 22:03:02	ОВЈ	properties value are being affected negatively when near telecom/5g masts so having one above our block will devalue our flat and Camden reputations. My flat was recently valued at 550K by the mortgage lender so I would like to be entitle to compensation if buyers are then put off by the hideous and potential heath hazards that will show up on the local search if I ever decide to sell my flat. will Camden or the telecoms company be responsible for the shortfall ?
2020/1456/P	Mr Westbury	08/05/2020 23:47:20	ОВЈ	I object to the planning application for these 5G Masts because there needs to be an independent review of the safety of the 5G before any approval of this application. Not doing so would be a flagrant abuse of our basic human rights and an abuse of the duty of care towards the population who live, work and study in close proximity to these proposed masts. In the immediate vicinity there are 4 large schools namely Parliament Hill Girls School, William Ellis Boys School, Gospel Oak Junior School and La Saint Union Secondary School. These masts cannot be installed without an independent review on the effects to the health of our future generations. Health concerns most come before profit. An independent review is essential.
2020/1456/P	Jason Gormley	08/05/2020 12:57:13	COMMNT	I object to this development, for numerous reasons. I'm concerned about the health implications for residents of Haddo House. For private owners in the building, it has financial implications should they want/need to sell their property. I'm a near neighbour and long time admirer of Haddo House. The phone masts will detract from this attractive and achitecturally valuable building, which is a local landmark and part of the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area. Finally, the process for gathering comments on this application has been compromised. Online comments were not possible for the duration of the application. I have a screenshot (taken April 16, 2020) that dates the application 09-04-2020, directly beneath it says "Comments Until 25-03-2020". How could the comments deadline end before the application date? I emailed Camden Planning pointing out this error (and the fact that I could find nowhere to comment on the application). I have still not heard back from them about this matter - and it is only through my own diligence that I discovered comments were now open on this application - and the deadline closes in ONE day! (I write this Friday 08-05-2020). Quite how many this oversight prevented from registering their views, we don't know. What we can be certain of is that it has undoubtedly compromised a co-ordinated community response online to this application.

				Printed on: 13/05/2020
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
Application No: 2020/1456/P	Consultees Name: Katie Bourn, Chair Highate Road Esate TRA	Received: 08/05/2020 23:21:52		The residents of Highgate Road Estate would like to register their strong objection to planning application 2020/1456/P.  The TRA would like to begin by requesting an extension on the 9th May deadline for comments until after the end of C-19 lockdown. The application was made on March 23rd, coinciding exactly with start of the UK's lockdown. Under normal conditions meetings would have been held, contact would have been made with residents by door-to-door visits. Social distancing limitations have made these impossible.  We make our objection for the following reasons:  Visual Impact  Haddo House is a well-regarded piece of architecture and a much loved local landmark. It is part of the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area and the following statement, concerning the view from Chetwynd Road, can be found in the Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan
				'Any development would be expected to conform to the prevailing pattern of terraces and not intrude into the skyline created by the view of Haddo House'  A key feature of the building's design is the symmetry of its front projection and this will be completely disturbed by the proposed addition of 3 masts. The problem is not just the height of the masts but their bulk and awkward positioning on the roof. A photo looking at this view of the building has been separately emailed for consideration.  The alteration of the view of the North West wall, a vertical scar caused by trunking and cable tray, is not mentioned in Telefonica's application but will be significant. Additionally, this trunking and ground level base cabinet are completely omitted from photomontage pictures.  The plans only consider views of the building from street level but, in reality, Haddo House can be also be seen from several thousand windows in the surrounding area and many of these are high up giving a clear view of the rooftop.  It should be recognised that any shrouding of the masts provided by tree cover would only reduce the impact for half the year.
				Health and Safety Considerations  The roof of Haddo is easily accessible to the public - in recent months teenagers have been found on the roof several times and a young person spent several hours up their contemplating suicide. We have all heard how the installation of 5G masts have been shown to attract vandals and they could easily reach the equipment up there, putting themselves at risk of falling and fatal injury. The roof of Haddo is unsuitable on these health and safety grounds alone.  We have noticed that a fire alarm is included in the plans. This suggests that the installation presents an increased fire risk. Is the risk one of combustion, arson or something else?  The long-term health impacts of exposure to EMF are not fully understood. The best that anyone can say is that they are unlikely to cause negative health effects but research is ongoing and, everyone agrees, necessary. Cornerstone remove the language of uncertainty from their description of health risks, giving an inaccurate picture of the current level of understanding.  Sound  The information on sound emissions needs clarification. From 'No sound will be emitted from the base station'
				and 'due to the location of the equipment on the rooftop there will be no noise impact to residents' are conflicting statements. The proposed location for the rooftop equipment cabinets is immediately next to the balcony of no 40; any sound would be audible from there and could not be tolerated. Can the applicant confirm that there are no mechanical components inside the equipment cabinets and that sound emissions would register at 0 decibels?

09:10:06

Opening the doors to further development?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 13/05/2020 09:10:06  Response:
				Section 5.0 in the document Cornerstone General Background Information tells us that 'Telefonica have implemented a number of measures to identify and maximise site-sharing' this tell us that an installation of 3 masts now might mean plans for more soon after. It would be difficult to decline a planning request to add further equipment to an existing scheme.  Alternative location  While Haddo House might be the preferred location, residents believe that a search for an alternative, non-residential property has not been properly exhausted.  Intention to develop the roof - Solar Panels  Members of the residents association have repeatedly expressed the wish to start a community energy project to install solar panels on the roof, as evidenced in meeting minutes. The roof is flat and unshaded making it a perfect site for photovoltaics. This green development should take precedent as it is in line with Camden's green action plan.
2020/1456/P	Jo Sedley Rawlence	06/05/2020 11:16:06	COMMNT	The jury is still out on the health and safety of 5G. The frequency 5G operates on gives me cause for concern and research so far into the health impacts definitely warrants caution. My children go to the school on Gordon House Road. They are already exposed to severe pollution and an absurd amount of EMF traffic. We do not need 5G - we do not need faster internet. I wholeheartedly object to this.  How often are the decisions over our health and the environment ongoing to be defined by corporate entities rather than the communities they effect?
2020/1456/P	Nicola Tomei	07/05/2020 19:19:51	OBJ	I object to the planning application to place a mast on the roof of Haddo House. I am a nearby householder on Highgate West Hill so would not be affected directly by the mast other than it is possible ugly visibility. What I do object to is the ignoring of residents concerns that have not been addressed in any way. The residents do not want a mast imposed on them. The consultation process is deeply flawed given that it has come during lockdown with notices hidden behind bins. I am deeply disappointed that Camden, one of the best run councils, can behave in such an almost dishonest way.
2020/1456/P	Linda Holmes	07/05/2020 16:55:54	COMMNT	I I am a resident of Haddo House who is deeply worried about the plans for three G5 masts would are being planned for our roof. We don't want these places here at all. It will affect the look of the block. We are a listed building as far as I know. I am also worried about the effect on the effect it will have on the local wildlife including bees and even our pets. Many people don't have very good health either. Please think again before you go ahead with this plan.
2020/1456/P	Se¿n Mahon	07/05/2020 16:55:37	OBJ	Concerns over health, disruption to daily life, the view/eyesore element to this and expense that this can cause. As a resident of the block, I formally wish to object to the installation of all these elements as listed above in the description.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 13/05/2020 09:10:06  Response:
2020/1456/P	Julia	06/05/2020 20:09:41	OBJ	I object to the planning application to place a mast on Haddo House.
2020/1430/1	Julia	00/03/2020 20:09:41		As a resident, I am dismayed that both the applicants and Camden have ignored the concerns of the residents. In particular, the consultation process led by Camden has been most unsatisfactory ¿ the preferred outcome (placing of the masts) has always been framed as a foregone conclusion.  There has been universal concern about the proposal amongst residents and a petition against the mast, signed by representatives of all 40 households of Haddo House, was submitted to Camden in Autumn 2019. Residents wide-ranging concerns focus on:  ¿ Unknown health implications  ¿ Equity and transparency in the choice of location  ¿ Visual impact on an important local building  ¿ Poor consultation  Camden has never acknowledged or responded to our concerns, and the application was submitted regardless.  Finally, this round of ¿consultation¿ began after the lockdown, with notifications hidden behind recycling bins ¿ as shown in other submissions to this application.  I am aware of other installations in the area that have been declined due to similar concerns and firmly believe
				this planning application should also be rejected.
2020/1456/P	Diana Findlay	06/05/2020 14:01:40	OBJ	I completely oppose to this for health reasons for myself and for my fellow residents
				I do not want these masts until In depth further studies have been done into the effect the masts and exposure to 5g have on human bodies and brains
				Until I see proof that no effect is caused these masts should not be installed
2020/1456/P	Grace Livingstone	07/05/2020 11:58:44	OBJ	I am strongly opposed to this application.
				I am very concerned that the wishes of the residents in the block have been ignored and that petition by 60 residents against the installation of masts was not taken into account. I don't think that it was a genuine consultation. I live in a nearby council block and I am very worried that the concerns of residents are not being listened to.
				I think more research into the long-term health risks is required before 5g masts are put on residential blocks.  And they certainly should not be installed in cases where the residents object.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 13/05/2020 09:10:06 <b>Response:</b>
2020/1456/P	Nicola Tomei	07/05/2020 19:19:52	ОВЈ	I object to the planning application to place a mast on the roof of Haddo House.  I am a nearby householder on Highgate West Hill so would not be affected directly by the mast other than it is possible ugly visibility. What I do object to is the ignoring of residents concerns that have not been addressed in any way. The residents do not want a mast imposed on them. The consultation process is deeply flawed given that it has come during lockdown with notices hidden behind bins.  I am deeply disappointed that Camden, one of the best run councils, can behave in such an almost dishonest way.
2020/1456/P	rejane padron	06/05/2020 21:53:11	OBJ	residents of flat 21 are very sensitive to EMF and suffer badly with headaches and insomnia . We switch our electronics off every night to be able to sleep a bit better. The thought of having one massive mast above our heads is stressing me out and being very detrimental to my well being .  a) it's unfair that the more vulnerable, lower wagers, council flat residents are being put under this stress and private tenants are not. Nobody can confirm that there is zero risk of negative health effects of long-term, close and constant exposure to the emissions, including 5G - research is not conclusive. Is it human to ask residents of council blocks to unfairly bear the risk in favour of more well off people? one does not see big masts above Hampstead mansions so where are our equality rights as citizens? b) other UK boroughs and many other countries have already declined the installation of 5G and the fact that the proposal already state that we have one nearby, it defeats the purpose of this installation. c) Due to our unique sensitivity to electronics/emf emission devices, I would like to have my flat painted with anti emf paint and fitted with anti emf equipment to protect me from excess exposure 24hrs a day, the telecom company and camden to be responsible/accountable for all the anti emf installation expenses and any health related issues that I or any of the residents might suffer as a consequence of this application. European laws already protect their citizen in that manner and our concerns should not be ignored d) our block is located within a conservation area and the hideous masts goes against every visual pollution law there is in this country, our coverage is already good enough and should not pollute our environment e) we are already under such stress over this lockdown/pandemic situation and this is not essential works to be submitted or installed when our health and well being are already a lot of pressure.
2020/1456/P	Regina	07/05/2020 04:47:06	OBJ	Safety of our children has not been established
2020/1456/P	Giovanna Iozzi	09/05/2020 12:42:52	OBJ	Dear Planning Team, I am writing to register my complete objection to the proposal of building 3 5G masts on Haddo House. I am opposed to the plan for a number of reasons, in support of the residents concerned as well as other residents in the area and also because my daughter attends Parliament Hill Girls school very nearby and I am concerned at the implications for the students' health issues. On a wider political point, I am concerned at the government's lack of consultation regarding 5g with its citizens and the greedy and capitalist approach to buying in systems without little concern for the human costs. I hope you take my objection seriously. Thanks for your time, Giovanna lozzi 07935 233 063

Printed on: 13/05/2020 09:10:06

**Application No: Consultees Name:** Received: **Comment:** 2020/1456/P Victor Ribeiro COMMNT Here's my objection: 08/05/2020 18:44:06

Response:

As a resident of Haddo House, my family and I object on the following grounds:

1. Our building forms part of the Dartmouth Park conservation area and is a point of interest to many locals and 'passers by'. I have many times observed our building being admired for its interesting architectural structure. It attracts much attention, even the local youths have managed to access the roof a number of times, graffitiing the roof turrets, (an accident waiting to happen). The graffiti has remained for the best part of a year, it is a complete and utter eye sore and I dread to imagine what more of a hideous sight it would be with a scattering of electrical apparatus. The apparatus will create visual clutter and diminish what is a beautiful skyline. It will be a constant reminder of how Camden holds the opinions and feelings of its residents in such disregard.

Dartmouth Park Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Statement Adopted 22 January 2009 acknowledges our building as a place of interest and is visible from ground level, as well as the surrounding buildings, homes and roads.

- 7.46 Chetwynd Road (east): (edited) From York Rise the street is densely developed but as the slope of the hill lessens the scale changes to larger semi detached and some detached houses with wider plot widths. The impact of the steeply rising street makes the roofscape highly visible. Looking west from the top there are clear views of the road and roofscape of Chetwynd Road (West) stretching down the hill towards Haddo House, which forms an important architectural component of this view.
- 2. However much the telecoms company would try to persuade us of the safety of such technologies, their opinion is biased. They may say there is not enough evidence to show its harmful to health, but I would like to say there is not enough evidence to say that it's safe. My neighbours and I do not wish to be guinea pigs. There is much evidence to show that it is particularly harmful to the developing brains of children (we are surrounded by 4 schools) not to mention the 20 children living in Haddo House. The WHO have deemed it as a known carcinogen.
- 3. I am shocked that we are being treated in such a Draconian way at a time where I thought democracy ruled, 60 residents signed a petition objecting to these masts. Since September, Camden and Waldons have imposed upon us much worry and anxiety, they have sneakily submitted their application whilst we are distracted with the current CoVid19 crisis, knowing too well that we cannot canvas our neighbours because we are adhering to the social distancing rules. Camden's own Planning Guidance: Planning for health and wellbeing March 2018 states that:
- 1.22 Health and wellbeing are, to a large extent, determined by the environment in which we live. Transport, housing, education, income, working conditions, unemployment, air quality, green space, climate change and social and community networks can have a greater influence on our healthcare provision or genetics. Many of these determinants of health can be shaped by the planning system.

I ask you the representative of Camden, to stand by your words! It's our environment and our beliefs about it that influence our genetics and our genetic response. Stress has been proven to be a precursor to disease (please see: Dr Bruce H. Lipton PHD - The biology of belief). Camden, why are you ignoring us and our

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response: wishes?  4. We live on a very busy, noisy junction and the very possibility of anymore noise fills us with dread.
2020/1456/P	Angela Kline	07/05/2020 10:47:29	OBJ	Dear Camden Council,
				As a leaseholder I am objecting to the proposed planning of the 5G masts being installed at Haddo House due to the fact that Haddo House is in a conservation area and is a very iconic building and having three masts stuck on the top of it will massively detract from its aesthetics, especially as you can't even install your own satellite dishes for this very reason, so I find it ironic that this is even being proposed, Haddo House can be seen from different parts of London and having these masts will just be an eyesore. The top of Haddo House can be seen from street level and these masts, cabinets, conduit and wiring will also be highly visible on an iconic building in a conservation area that is also of social and architectural importance.
				The controversy surrounding the safety of 5G masts should also be taken into consideration as having three of them so close to residents is of great concern, although data showing the safety of these masts has been submitted by Waldon Telecom Limited this is a biased study and there is still no concrete evidence to suggest the absolute safety of these masts particularly as other European countries have decided against using them. By installing 5G at Haddo House you are potentially putting residents health and well being at risk. Other proposed sites to install these (I don't think they should be installed anywhere) could potentially be office blocks or commercial buildings where there is likely to be a slightly lower risk to residence.
				How this proposal had even got to this stage of the process is beyond me, how any planning officer or councillor can actually see this as a good idea and a great addition to a conservation area that is highly populated I will never know. In addition to this I have noted the notice of proposal was strapped to the railings behind the big communal bins where conveniently no one can see it.
2020/1456/P	Giovanna Iozzi	09/05/2020 12:42:49	OBJ	Dear Planning Team, I am writing to register my complete objection to the proposal of building 3 5G masts on Haddo House. I am opposed to the plan for a number of reasons, in support of the residents concerned as well as other residents in the area and also because my daughter attends Parliament Hill Girls school very nearby and I am concerned at the implications for the students' health issues. On a wider political point, I am concerned at the government's lack of consultation regarding 5g with its citizens and the greedy and capitalist approach to buying in systems without little concern for the human costs. I hope you take my objection seriously. Thanks for your time, Giovanna lozzi 07935 233 063
2020/1456/P	Ana M S Villas	10/05/2020 09:20:07	OBJ	The building where the antennas would be in stay is set within a conservation area, The proximity to 4 schools could put children¿s health at risk - it¿s still unclear how new 5G technology affects us.

Printed on: 13/05/2020

09:10:06

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 13/05/2020 09:10:06  Response:
2020/1456/P	Ben Castell	09/05/2020 13:42:49	OBJ	Objection submitted by Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Forum
				Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Forum gives a voice to local people in planning matters. This is a proposal that has caused an unusually high level of opposition amongst the community.
				The planning drawings show that the new equipment would be tall and bulky and will affect the clear lines of the building. They are larger than the two turrets that help to characterise the building and protrude noticeably above them.
				Approving this application would be counter to the policies in the made Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan.
				Policy DC1(a) introduces five special views that must be protected. View 2 is of from Chetwynd Road down towards Highgate Road, with Haddo House at the centre. The description in Appendix 1 states that ¿any development would be expected ¿ not to intrude into the skyline created by the view of Haddo House¿.
				Appendix 2 of the Plan includes Haddo House as an ¿Additional Heritage Asset¿, describing it as ¿a fine example of a mid-1960s development of Council housing, with unusual features that give it distinctiveness and flair¿. Appendix 2 links to Policy DC2(d), which seeks to ¿preserve or enhance the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area, historic buildings and buildings of architectural merit and their settings, by ¿ in the case of development affecting any of the locally listed and other heritage assets identified in Appendix 2, or their settings, only permitting development that is designed to a high standard¿.
				As a made (adopted) Neighbourhood Plan, these policies form part of the Camden Local Plan and have equal weight to other Local Plan policies.
2020/1456/P	Diane	07/05/2020 22:51:20	OBJ	I object to any 5g mast going up in the Gordon house road, Highgate road, habbo house are. I do not want my children and others children¿s health out at risk. There are 4 schools in this are and it¿s a very built up residential area with a large community. There is not enough known about the affects on people¿s health and I¿m totally against 5g.
2020/1456/P	Tim Jervis	06/05/2020 21:40:53	SUPPRT	I am writing in favour of the application. 5G is an excellent improvement to our vital communications infrastructure. It will provide faster internet connections using less energy. It will improve the audio quality of phone calls and enhance the opportunity for video communications. All these services have been even more important to us during the lockdown and will continue to increase in importance beyond. 5G will also reduce the need for physical cabling for broadband connections at home and at work. The external appearance of the equipment is typical of that already distributed through our city. The technology is safe.
2020/1456/P	Claud Bruzbartiene	06/05/2020 13:52:47	COMMNT	No ! No! No! Resident nearby seriously objects to any 5g masts !

Printed on: 13/05/2020 **Application No: Consultees Name:** Received: Comment: Response: 2020/1456/P Wendy Binmore 07/05/2020 09:51:53 OBJ I am objecting to this application as a leaseholder of Haddo House. The masts will be seen at street level and will detract from the aesthetics of the building. The wiring, conduit, cabinets and masts will all be seen at street level on a building which is in a conservation area. Conservations areas are there to protect the location from harmful changes that impact the appearance of the area. These masts will negatively impact the appearance of Haddo House which is in a prominent position within the conservation area. I would also like to object to the positioning of the Planning Notice as it had been erected on the railings behind the large recycling bins where no one would see it. Previously, planning notices have always been put up in the stairwell in the entry of Haddo House so it is of concern that there appears to be a lack of transparency regarding this planning application. The funding the council will receive by allowing these masts to be installed does not outweigh the harm to the character of the building. Haddo House is an iconic building which can be seen from various locations around London, particularly from the top of Dartmouth Park Hill. These masts will negatively impact that street view that includes Haddo House as they will also be seen from miles around. Even at street level in near proximity to the building, the masts will be seen. There are grave concerns regarding the safety of the 5G masts and various countries, including countries within the EU have already decided to ban them due to safety concerns. I feel not enough is known about the strength and power of 5G to be sure the masts are safe enough to be placed right next to, or on top of a residents property. Other tower blocks have successfully managed to reject planning applications of a similar nature and I strongly urge you to do the same for this application, particularly as the block is of architectural importance, and it is within a conservation area. As a leaseholder, I am also concerned that the installation of the masts will reduce the value of the property and potentially make it much harder to sell on should I choose to move. The masts have a very negative reputation and people are fearful of them, no one is going to want to live in a block that has 3 of them attached to them.

summary, Haddo House is an iconic building in a conservation area that can be seen from various viewpoints such as Dartmouth Park Hill and Parliament Hill. These masts will negatively impact the character and appearance of the building and will have a negative impact on the conservation area.

I cant understand why the council is not identifying other high rise buildings for the location of these masts, such as office blocks, which would have far less of an impact than that of a residential block. It is unfair that

residents have to 'put up' with these planning applications purely because they are council tenants.

Due to the above reasons, I strongly object to this planning application and urge you to do the same. in

09:10:06

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 13/05/2020 09:10:06  Response:
2020/1456/P	Stephenson	07/05/2020 07:42:26	INT	It is clear from all the scientific evidence cited in this petition - see eg the Observer article ¿An Inconvenient Truth About Cancer and Mobile Phones¿ - that there is a serious risk of damage to health, particularly to children, from 4G and therefore inevitably 5G radiation. The proposed site of these masts is in close proximity to four large state schools. It is simply not a safe or wise decision to expose so many thousands of children, at a vulnerable stage of their development, to such greater radiation when a link between 3 and 4 G has already been been found with a higher rate of brain tumours and other cancers as well as damage to DNA in adults.
2020/1456/P	Millie	07/05/2020 06:24:13	OBJ	I strongly object to these masts/antennas. Haddo House is a landmark and should not be used to as a base for them. It is also too close to 3 schools to have masts like this.
2020/1456/P	Rani Shergill	06/05/2020 20:55:55	COMMNT	I am very concerned about these plans because of the proximity of these masts to Parliament Hill School which my daughter attends. There are serious health implications which need to be looked into further due to risk to our young children. I am not satisfied this has been investigated adequately. These masts should not be near any schools.