From:

Sent: 03 May 2020 13:12

To: Planning

Subject: Re: Comments on 2020/1456/P have been received by the council.

Attachments: Council Planning Notice.jpg

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

I recently posted the response below regarding this planning application: 2020/1456/P

I did not understand the choice of type of comment so I've just worked out that I should have chosen 'OBJ' rather than 'comment' your means

Could you amend this for me please?

I notice that several other comments which are objections are also incorrectly categorised. It's not obvious what to pick.

I'd also like to comment that I would not have know about this planning application had my daughter not been a Haddo House resident.

I walked around the area looking for the yellow planning notices which are your means of alerting the community. I found one-hidden behind the recycling bins at the front of Haddo House. It was not visible to anyone.

I am attaching a photograph of it's original position. I moved it to a part of the railings where it can be seen and told my street (Glenhurst Avenue) about the application. Surely this is the duty of the Council? As a result of this omission, people now have very little time to respond.

Regards

On Wed, 29 Apr 2020 at 17:01, planning@camden.gov.uk wrote:

This application was lodged less than 2 days after the stay-at -home order.

It needs to be deferred. The extraordinary circumstances of the UK lockdown make this an inappropriate time for the community to come together to consider this planning application.

Your tenants and other residents will need to discuss the application. It must not be assumed that communication can take place on Zoom or a similar platform because, as mentioned by others, many residents do not have access to the internet. Some will need help in draughting their comments. Lockdown will prevent this.

The following comments refer to planning document 'Industry Site Specific Supplementary Information and Design and Access Statement'.

Pages 1-3 Community Consultation

• The pre application consultation letter could only be responded to by email. This is not an inclusive

means of gathering views.

- It is stated that a response was provided to all objectors. This is incorrect. I received no reply to my email sent to Peter Maynard on 30th November 2019.
- '6 residents' responses were received'. A petition of more than 60 of the estate's residents was lodged with the Council. For those without email, this was the most accessible way of registering their objections and their responses must be counted.

Pages 4-5 Listed Building Concerns

- 'The building is not listed' However the estate is regarded as a modernist treasure. It features in the Camden entry for 'A Guide to Modernism in Metroland' which celebrates Modernist and Art Deco buildings.
- It is included in the North London Guide by architectural historian Sir Nikolaus Pevsner.

Pages 4-5 Technical Justifications and section 8.0 on the General Background Information document: Legal Cases

• Waldon provides several examples of successful legal cases brought against Councils which 'may be helpful'. I urge Camden to come to its own conclusions.

Page 5-6 Health Impact

• The 'Further guidance factsheet' concludes that there is no convincing evidence that mobile phone technologies cause adverse effects on human health.

It is a logical fallacy to then assume that the technologies are therefore safe. Does the Council really wish to subject its unwilling tenants to an experiment? They have not agreed to become a tech company's guinea pigs.

Pages 23-24 Summary

• This asserts that the application warrants support and that there are no 'material considerations' that indicate otherwise. Are your tenant's views going to be dismissed so casually?

Please register my objection to this planning application.

Comment Type is Comment Made