



London Borough of Camden

Victoria House

Meeting Post Application Meeting: Schedule 17 Lorry Route Application (2019/4700/HS2)

 $Date \hspace{1.5cm} 1.00pm - 3.00pm$

21st November 2019

Organiser Lucy Neal

Attendees				
Name	Position	Company		
John Nicholls	Project Manager	London Borough of Camden		
Ben Farrant	Planning officer	London Borough of Camden		
Adrian Malcom	Principal Transport Planner	London Borough of Camden		
Gordon Hamilton	Highways Manager	London Borough of Camden		
Claire Beedle	Consents Manager	SCS Railways for HS2 main works		
Lucy Neal	Town planning manager	SCS Railways for HS2 main works		
Aaron Renker	Highways Manager	SCS Railways for HS2 main works		



	Agenda/minutes			
Item	Topic	Who		
1.	Background (Summary)			
	16th Sept 2019 - Schedule 17 Lorry route request submitted			
	16th Oct – Post application meeting no. 1			
	 22nd Oct – An issues log titled 'Sch 17 LR post app meeting issues log Rev00-5' with HS2 responses documenting LB Camden LGV route issues (identified on 16th Oct post application meeting) submitted to LBC. 			
	23rd Oct – Email from A. Malcolm (LB Camden) with additional matters relating to the route for approval.			
	24th October – Post application meeting no. 2			
	• 5th Nov – Email response from L. Neal (SCS) to Email dated 23rd Oct.			
	• 21st Nov – Extended determination period to the 20th December agreed.			
	 21_{st} November - LB Camden comments relating to above issues log submitted to SCS. Document titled: Sch 17 LR post app meeting issues log Rev00-5 - Camden updates (1) 			
	21 _{st} November – LB Camden provide SCS with summary of objections / representations received by the council			
2.	Adelaide Road Worksite – alternative route			
	SCS:			
	 Described the LBC proposed alternative route (Option B), advised on route modifications required, high level pros / cons of the route and advised on TfL comments. 			
	 Action 2.1: L. Neal to email discussed material to A. Malcolm (post meeting note: material attached) 	L.Neal		
	 SCS confirmed that it still prefers Option A (routes submitted for approval) from a site logistics and safety perspective but will submit a new / amended application (to be advised) for the Adelaide worksite on the basis that the alternative (Option B) is agreed as the preferred route by LBC. 			
	 LBC did not confirm Option B as the preferred alternative. 			







	SCS confirmed that right in – left out of the worksite is not possible as there is not sufficient turning space within the site.	
	 Requested that SCS is aware of a cycle network earmarked for Adelaide Road in the future and other LB Camden initiatives in the 	
	area.Advised that a system of ongoing engagement with other	AR/JN
	developments in the area (e.g. Morrisons / Chalcot) is set up to enable the assessment of cumulative impacts on the highway.	Autori
3.	Euston Approaches Worksite (Access Points 1, 2 & 3)	
	SCS:	
	 Reiterated the use of access points 1, 2 and 3 and clarified the worksite constraints that necessitate the use of Access 1 (Stanhope Street) 	
	 Action 3.1: LBC requested a Logistics Statement summarising the following: 	SCS
	 How these access points will be used for the duration of the project (including a timeline) 	
	 Clarify how the use of Access point 1 is to be minimised. 	
	 Set out why access point 1 needs to be used (and for how long), clearly identifying the worksite constraints that require the use of this access point e.g. access to works north of GTB, during HRB closure etc 	
4.	Right turn onto Hampstead Road	
	SCS:	
	 Reiterated that Schedule 4 consent for the right hand turn is at design stage and is subject to TfL approval. 	
	 Action 4.1: LBC requested a Logistics Statement summarising the following: 	
	 Demonstrate that the requirement for said right hand turn coincides with the peak LGV numbers and confirm that there is enough time to secure a schedule 4 approval. 	
		SCS
5.	Left turn onto Euston Road	
	LBC:	
	I	







	LBC proposed an alternative i.e. the use of the Osnaburgh loop instead of the Grafton Loop	
	 Action 5.1: SCS to assess if this is possible? Alternatively, can the Vehicle Management System be used to limit LGV numbers using the Grafton Loop? 	SCS
6.	General	
	 LBC explained its comments contained in the issues log (Document titled: Sch 17 LR post app meeting issues log Rev00-5 - Camden updates (1) 	
	o Action: SCS to review document and respond (document attached including responses. Document titled: Sch 17 Issues Log 261119 SCS)	SCS
Next Meeting	4 December 2019	







