

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1762/T	Louise Lewis	25/04/2020 10:55:31	SUPC	<p>The works on T110 and T139, were supposed to have been done within three months, but the application has only just gone in, nearly a year later. T143, T142, T148, and T9, were supposed to be done within a year but all these proposed works now fall in the middle of the bird nesting and bat roosting season, when it is inadvisable to do tree works.</p> <p>The recommendations for T143, T142, T9, and T139 were to fell or monolith. It is unclear which the Cemetery proposes to do. We would prefer to mitigate the ecological harm and maximise biodiversity by retaining them as monoliths, for habitat value. It is specifically advised that T110 should be retained as a monolith.</p> <p>T144 was considered a low priority in the Tree Hazard Report so we would like to know on what grounds the Cemetery wish to fell this tree.</p> <p>This seems to be large number of trees to fell at one time. We would ask the Tree Officer to assess the cubic value of the felled trees, with a view to monitoring the total quantity over the quarter. We assess it as around half the 5 cubic metres that can be felled without a licence but we are not professional and cannot make an experienced estimate.</p> <p>Louise Lewis Highgate Neighbourhood Forum.</p>
