Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 01/05/2020 09:10:05 Response:
2020/1456/P	Annie Sayer	30/04/2020 13:08:09	COMMNT	I wish to object to this planning application for the installation of 3 antennas and 3 cabinets (Application No: 2020/1456/P) on the grounds that there is increasing evidence that there is a public health risk with 5G network installations. Scientific research has shown that high frequency levels damage both human cells and DNA and while 1 - 4G networks that we use at the moment utilize high frequencies, we know that 5G levels of high frequency are considerably greater than those currently in use. Scientific research has shown that mobile phone radiation produces glucose in the brain which is linked to dementia. This is an escalating problem and very expensive to manage. A 2010 interphone study showed that the use of mobile phones and the high frequency they produce doubles the risk of brain cancer after 10 years. It has also been shown to reduce fertility. With the use of 5G, these problems will intensify and dramatically increase instances of both cancer and dementia and many other aspects of our life and health that have not yet been studied. In the end this is likely to cost the nation far more money than 5g will generate. I understand the need Councils have to find money, but is money more important than the health of the population? I think that the current Covid 19 situation is showing us that without a healthy nation there is no economy. I hope the Council will take a responsible, wider and longer term look at the roll out of 5G and decide to reject this application on the grounds of public health. I don't think any of us would choose to have 5G antenna on the roof of our houses, if we had the choice. How then can the Council decide to put antenna etc on the rooves of houses of council tennants, who can object, but can't decide for themselves, in the end someone else makes that decision for them.