				Printed on: 01/05/2020
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1728/T	Nicky Wilden	24/04/2020 12:53:47	OBJ	Town and Country Planning Acts 1990 (as amended) Intended Works to Trees in a Conservation Area
				Objection to Application reference 2020/1728/T Address 25 Elsworthy Road NW3 3BT
				Please note my objection to the above application, made by the owner of 11 Elsworthy Terrace to enable a reduction on the costs of his proposed development (planning application submitted reference 2020/0974/P) and on which strong objections have been made.
				The proposal to remove 3 trees in a Conservation Area seems to directly contravene Government guidance on works to protected trees and the Elsworthy Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Strategy.
				The Arboricultural Impact Assessment submitted as part of the application sets out clearly that the trees can be left in place with no harm being caused or impact on the proposed development providing correct construction management practices are implemented. It also does not demonstrate that the trees are causing significant damage to any existing structures.
				Paragraph 069 of Guidance on Works to Trees Subject to Tree Protection Orders dictates "Applicants should support claims that trees are damaging lighter structures and surfaces, such as garden walls, drains, paving and drives, by providing technical evidence from a relevant engineer, building/drainage surveyor or other appropriate expert." Such technical evidence has not been included in the application. Indeed the evidence seems to imply that this is clearly not the case.
				I would also refer to guidance paragraph 090 "if the authority believes that some loss or damage is foreseeable, it should not grant consent automatically. It should take this factor into account alongside other key considerations, such as the amenity value of the tree and the justification for the proposed works, before reaching its final decision."
				Trees are a crucial amenity, particularly in a Conservation Area, and an important feature that Camden Council policies have previously strongly supported. The removal of 3 trees in a line of trees, particularly so close to Primrose Hill, would have a serious detrimental impact on the enjoyment of the public of views from Primrose Hill, would create noise and light disturbance to neighbours (the trees act as an effective barrier) and have a general negative environmental impact.
				In the light of the loss of amenity without any justification for removal in terms of development impact or evidence showing likelihood of damage to any existing structure the removal of the trees in a Conservation Area and subject to a TPO cannot be justified.

09:10:05

				Printed on: 01/05/2020 09:10:05
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1728/T	David Gowers	29/04/2020 08:47:14	OBJ	I object most strongly to the felling of 3 very old Lime trees, just so the Applicant can build something bigger in his garden than his shed.
				These trees are home to many birds and other animals/insects and to destroy their habitat, just because the Applicant does not want them there; goes against everything Camden Council promote for the environment of this borough.
				The Arboricultural report makes clear that there are other options and these are not to just destroy the trees. However, the Applicant thinks that the only option is to fell the trees and that is not true.
				Many trees in Camden are against boundary walls; however, that does not mean they all need to be felled. What you do is adapt any works to incorporate the trees and not the other way round.
				The trees were there before this application and should be there after this application.
				I ask the Housing Committee to reject this planning permission if it means felling 3 Lime trees because the applicant does not want them there.