
From: Leonard Hawkins [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 May 2020 16:31
To: Henry, Kate
Cc: Horatio Waller
Subject: 2020/0964/P - St Mary The Virgin Church - Documents
Attachments: RE St. Mary the Virgin Primrose Hill proposal to install solar panels on the south pitch of the nave roof..txt; RE: St. Mary the Virgin, Primrose Hill: proposal to install solar panels on the south pitch of the nave roof.; Feasibility Study of Renewable Energy Technologies_St Mary the Virgin Primrose Hill_April 2020.pdf; 1115-12_PL.004_St Mary the Virgin Primrose Hill_Proposed South Elevation_RevisionA.pdf; 2020. 7009 St Marys church Primrose Hill- submain relocation.pdf; 7009-01 - Existing_.pdf; 7009-02 - Proposed .pdf

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Kate,

Attached herewith:

1. Feasibility study of renewable energy technologies;
2. Existing and proposed plans for cabling, as well as a document from CES Electrical specifying the cabling proposal;
3. An email from Zoe Shaw confirming DAC support for the proposals; and
4. The plan showing the 2 row scheme, no longer marked indicative.

The feasibility study explains why the Church reached the decision to install solar panels on the southern roof elevation, which followed consideration and implementation of steps to minimise energy usage to the greatest possible extent, and consideration of alternative means of sourcing clean power on the site that were discounted as suitable. In addition it explains why the 2 row option is the minimum size of solar PV scheme that will meet the principal objective of the development which is to meet the Church's energy needs.

The plans and specification in respect of the cabling were sent to you earlier, but are re-sent here for convenience. You will recall our discussion that this aspect of the scheme should be viewed as a positive benefit to the heritage interest of the Church and Conservation Area, as the Church would be obscuring the existing cable that Antonia perceives as visually harmful. That should, as discussed, help tip the balance further towards a grant of permission.

Given that the scheme now includes an aspect of cabling, it might be prudent for the description of development in your decision letter, if you decide to permit the scheme, to refer to the cabling. Can we suggest the following description of development:

Installation of photo-voltaic technology on the nave roof (south elevation) of St Mary the Virgin Primrose Hill and associated supporting equipment, including the re-siting of sub-main cabling

And that you condition the cable plans, as well as the plan (attached) showing the two rows permitted.

We hope the email from Zoe Shaw is sufficient to provide an indication of DAC support behind the scheme. Zoe has unfortunately not had an opportunity yet to prepare a formal letter, however we will forward this (if necessary) in

due course when received. As you will recall, the DAC supported the previous much larger scheme that was refused planning permission, and so it is not surprising that they are behind this smaller proposal.

Do let me know if you require anything else or you would like to speak by phone.

Kind regards,

Leonard

Leonard Hawkins
MRDA
Architects and Conservation Consultants

3 King Street Cloisters, Clifton Walk, London W6 0GY
Tel: [REDACTED]