Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1456/P	Jehane Markham	29/04/2020 14:03:24	OBJ	Dear Camden Council, Though not a resident of Haddo House, I live in the neighbourhood and vehemently oppose the installation 5G cabinets and antennas. It is simply not true to say, that there is conclusive evidence that there are no health risks to 5G as the GPDO try to make out from their outdated sources. See: Extract from Toxicolgy Letters 323 (2020) Contents available at ScienceDirect. Adverse health effects of 5G mobile networking technology under real-life conditions. Also, displaying incontrovertible scientific evidence in a very complex issue, Dr Devra Devis at a conference given in February in Tel Aviv. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-AeSoC6la9c If you wish to be acquainted with the full facts, you must watch this. Please do not let the need for funds obscure the need to go forward into a healthier less radiated future, even if it means slower connections and weaker signals. Human health is worth more than big business. Wuhan was covered in 5G masts.
2020/1456/P	Georgia Georgiou	28/04/2020 17:48:32	OBJ	As a local resident in the Dartmouth Park area I object for the following reasons: 1. Haddo House is within the Dartmouth Park conservation area and anymore additions to the roof will diminish its beauty, which is highly visible from street level and the surrounding roads. I often admire the view when I walk down Chetwynd Road. The last thing we need is an ugly eyesore and may I add the graffiti that remains is also very ugly to look at. 2. I am definitely not in favour of 5G, you seem determined to supply us with faster and more, why can¿t we just be happy with what we have? I¿m not convinced by the scientists reassurances and I have read many articles of which both humans and nature have been affected. Do not impose this upon us!
2020/1456/P	L Pitfield	28/04/2020 21:46:43	PETITNSUPP	To whom it may concern . I am complaining about the ugly 5G masts .being erected in Camden . On Haddo house roof . I know there has been , no tests - to say they are safe . (If you have information regarding any test results proving that ,all this is safe please send me it .) We are being bombarded with radio type frequencies .this has to stop !, people should have the right to refuse . Accept their right to refuse , by not installing them . If you do install them , can Camden residents hold you liable for any damages to their health . Or their pets health. Are you being forced to roll this out ?
2020/1456/P	Pinal	26/04/2020 16:32:27	OBJ	I totally object to these antennas going up on this building. Not only will it be an eyesore, but the government also has not yet conducted a full investigation into the adverse effects of this technology on the people and wildlife around.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1456/P	Samantha Watson	26/04/2020 07:07:25	OBJ	I¿m writing with the intentions of notifying the committee of my objections of the installation of the above stated items. I object to this work going ahead for a number or reasons. The first being that I live on the 6th floor and the roof is directly above my room. As there has not yet been sufficent research to identify potential health risks I feel it would irresponsible to potentially put the health of residents in damager for financial gain. I currently suffer from an auto-immune condition and am conscious and concerned about any impact this may further have on my health. My other main reason for objection is because the roof at Haddo currently requires works in order to make it fit for purpose. I currently have water leaking into my property and issues with mould due to the roof. Camden should focus their attention on making sure homes are up to standard before carrying out works that are likely to cause more problems for the current ageing structure. My final objection that I would like to be noted is that Haddo House is a very unique structure and it is my belief that we should preserving the architecture of such a historical building.
2020/1456/P	Alessandro Moro	25/04/2020 22:19:53	OBJNOT	Strongly object to this senseless planning application. The masts will damage the appearance of the building, a very important local piece of modern architecture. At the same time, it will clutter the skyline and the views towards the Heath, it will impact the character of the area. It is a conservation area, it is an important building and the planning should take into account the visual impact. As a side note, Camden Council should take much better care of the estate it manages, Haddo House has been covered in graffiti, many local residents have complained a lot about it. Despite having the facade redone recently, with the erection of a scaffolding, the graffiti were not removed (to the dismay of the residents that were promised otherwise). Remove the graffiti, clean the area and do your job in preserving the local architecture rather than putting forward needless and harmful planning applications.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1456/P	Foulla Pashkaj	25/04/2020 09:28:09	OBJ	As a resident of Haddo House, my family and I object on the following grounds: 1. Our building forms part of the Dartmouth Park conservation area and is a point of interest to many locals and 'passers by'. I have many times observed our building being admired for its interesting architectural structure. It attracts much attention, even the local youths have managed to access the roof a number of times, graffitiing the roof turrets, (an accident waiting to happen). The graffiti has remained for the best part of a year, it is a complete and utter eye sore and I dread to imagine what more of a hideous sight it would be with a scattering of electrical apparatus. The apparatus will create visual clutter and diminish what is a beautiful skyline. It will be a constant reminder of how Camden holds the opinions and feelings of its residents in such disregard. Dartmouth Park Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Statement Adopted 22 January 2009 acknowledges our building as a place of interest and is visible from ground level, as well as the surrounding buildings, homes and roads. 7.46 Chetwynd Road (east): (edited) From York Rise the street is densely developed but as the slope of the hill lessens the scale changes to larger semi detached and some detached houses with wider plot widths. The impact of the steeply rising street makes the roofscape highly visible. Looking west from the top there are clear views of the road and roofscape of Chetwynd Road (West) stretching down the hill towards Haddo House, which forms an important architectural component of this view. 2. However much the telecoms company would try to persuade us of the safety of such technologies, their opinion is biased. They may say there is not enough evidence to show its harmful to health, but I would like to say there is not enough evidence to say that it's safe. My neighbours and I do not wish to be guinea pigs. There is much evidence to show that it is particularly harmful to the developing brains of children (we are surrounded by 4 schools) not to mention the 20 children living in Haddo House. The WHO have deemed it as a known carcinogen. 3. I am shocked that we are being treated in such a Draconian way at a time where I thought democracy ruled, 60 residents signed a petition objecting to these masts. Since September, Camden and Waldons have imposed upon us much worry and anxiety, they have sneakily submitted their application whilst we are distracted with the current CoVid19 crisis, knowing too well that we cannot canvas our neighbours because we are adhering to the social distancing rules. Camden's own Planning Guidance: Planning for health and wellbeing March 2018 states that: 1.22 Health and wellbeing are, to a large extent, determined by the environment in which we live. Transport, housing, education, income, working conditions, unemployment, air quality, green space, climate change and social and community networks can have a greater influence on our healthcare provision or genetics. Many of these determinants of health can be shaped by the planning system. I ask you the representative of Camden, to stand by your words! It's our environment and our beliefs about it that influence our genetics and our genetic response. Stress has been proven to be a precursor to disease (please see: Dr Bruce H. Lipton PHD - The biology of belief). Camden, why are you ignoring us and our wishes?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				4. We live on a very busy, noisy junction and the very possibility of anymore noise fills us with dread.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1456/P	Foulla Pashkaj	25/04/2020 07:25:11	OBJ	As a resident of Haddo House, my family and I object on the following grounds: 1. Our building forms part of the Dartmouth Parm conservation area and is a point of interest to many locals and 'passers by'. I have many times observed our building being admired for its interesting architectural structure. It attracts much attention, even the local youths have managed to access the roof a number of times, graffitiing the roof turrets, (an accident waiting to happen). The graffiti has remained for the best part of a year, it is a complete and utter eye sore and I dread to imagine what more of a hideous site it would be with a scattering of electrical apparatus. The apparatus will create visual clutter and diminish what is a beautiful skyline. It will be a constant reminder of how Camden holds the opinions and feelings of its residents in such disregard. Dartmouth Park Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Statement Adopted 22 January 2009 acknowledges our building as a place of interest and is visible from ground level, as well as the surrounding buildings / homes and roads. 7.46 Chetwynd Road (east): (edited) From York Rise the street is densely developed but as the slope of the hill lessens the scale changes to larger semi detached and some detached houses with wider plot widths. The impact of the steeply rising street makes the roofscape highly visible. Looking west from the top there are clear views of the road and roofscape of Chetwynd Road (West) stretching down the hill towards Haddo House, which forms an important architectural component of this view. 2. However much the telecoms company would try to persuade us of the safety of such technologies, their opinion is biased. They may say there is not enough evidence to show its harmful to health, but I would like to say there is not enough evidence to say that it's safe. My neighbours and I do wish to be guinea pigs. There is much evidence to show that it is particularly harmful to the developing brains of children (we are surrounded by 4 schools) not to mention the 20 children living in Haddo House. The WHO have deemed it as a known carcinogen. 3. I am shocked that we are being treated in such a Draconian way at a time where I thought democracy ruled, 60 residents signed a petition objecting to these masts. Since September, Camden and Waldons have imposed upon us much worry and anxiety, they have sneakily submitted their application whilst we are distracted with the current CoVid19 crisis, knowing too well that we cannot canvas our neighbours because we are adhering to the social distancing rules. Camden's own Planning Guidance: Planning for health and wellbeing March 2018 states that: 1.22 Health and wellbeing are, to a large extent, determined by the environment in which we live. Transport, housing, education, income, working conditions, unemployment, air quality, green space, climate change and social and community networks can have a greater influence on our healthcare provision or genetics. Many of these determinants of health can be shaped by the planning system. I ask you the representative of Camden, to stand by your words! It's our environment and our beliefs about it that influence our genetics and our genetic response, stress has been proven to be a precursor to disease (please see: Dr Bruce H. Lipton PHD - The biology of belief). Camden, why are you ignoring us and our wishes?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				4. We live on a very busy, noisy junction and the very possibility of anymore noise fills us with dread.
2020/1456/P	Vincent Van Pelt	24/04/2020 18:38:03	OBJ	Dear Council, I live almost directly opposite Haddo house at 1 Grove Terrace, NW5 1PH and I am writing to express my opposition to this proposed installation. I object on two grounds: 1 - Haddo House is a local landmark and well-regarded piece of architecture. It is visible from my neighbourhood at street level and also directly out of the windows of my home. These masts would become a permanent aspect of my view, cluttering the skyline and serving as an ever-present reminder of the encroachment of technology and large corporations in mine (anyd everyone's) lives). 2 - Question marks still exist over the health effects of long-term exposure to emissions from telecoms masts - the non-ionizing radiation, radio frequency electromagnetic fields or RF EMF) that is emitted from telecoms masts. The WHO classifies the exposure as a ¿possible carcinogen¿ and I do not want to to be in such close proximity to such. While I understand that location on masts on council owned properties generates needed revenue for the council I hope that the concerns and needs of the borough residents will be the primary concern here. Please contact me if there is any opportunity for me to do more to voice my opposition. Thank you for your consideration. Regards, Vincent Van Pelt
2020/1456/P	anne-frederique dujon	23/04/2020 10:32:06	APP	I strongly believe that the installation of such devices will add to the visual clutter and it is not in alignment with maintaining the conservation area. Therefore I am totally against installing these devices on Haddo house.
2020/1456/P	Ursula Weiss	26/04/2020 12:05:39	OBJ	I object to the installation due to the fact that Haddo House is part of the Dartmouth Park conservation area under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 on the 1st February 1992. Haddo House is considered a fine example of a mid-1960s development of council housing, with unusual features that give it distinctiveness and flair, including the translucent service towers that glow when lit. Its appearance should be preserved.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1456/P	Carl Deathe	24/04/2020 19:19:15	OBJ	The proposed installation is wholly inappropriate within the designated Dartmouth Park Conservation area, and at odds with Camden's duties: "Camden has a duty under the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 (section 69 and 72) to designate as conservation areas any ¿areas of special architectural or historic interest, the character or historic interest of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance¿ and pay special attention to the preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of those areas." This proposal does not conserve historic interest or special architecture, nor does it enhance the character or appearance in this conservation area.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1456/P	Linda Bourn	29/04/2020 17:01:19	COMMNT	This application was lodged less than 2 days after the stay-at -home order. It needs to be deferred. The extraordinary circumstances of the UK lockdown make this an inappropriate time for the community to come together to consider this planning application. Your tenants and other residents will need to discuss the application. It must not be assumed that communication can take place on Zoom or a similar platform because , as mentioned by others, many residents do not have access to the internet. Some will need help in draughting their comments. Lockdown will prevent this. The following comments refer to planning document 'Industry Site Specific Supplementary Information and Design and Access Statement'. Pages 1-3 Community Consultation • The pre application consultation letter could only be responded to by email. This is not an inclusive means of gathering views. • It is stated that a response was provided to all objectors. This is incorrect. I received no reply to my email sent to Peter Maynard on 30th November 2019. • '6 residents' responses were received'. A petition of more than 60 of the estate's residents was lodged with the Council. For those without email, this was the most accessible way of registering their objections and their responses must be counted. Pages 4-5 Listed Building Concerns • 'The building is not listed' However the estate is regarded as a modernist treasure. It features in the Camden entry for 'A Guide to Modernism in Metroland' which celebrates Modernist and Art Deco buildings. • It is included in the North London Guide by architectural historian Sir Nikolaus Pevsner. Pages 4-5 Technical Justifications and section 8.0 on the General Background Information document: Legal Cases • Waldon provides several examples of successful legal cases brought against Councils which 'may be helpful'. I urge Camden to come to its own conclusions. Page 5-6 Health Impact • The 'Further guidance factsheet' concludes that there is no convincing evidence that mobile phone technologies cause adverse effects on human health. It is a logical fallacy to then assume that the technologies are therefore safe. Does the Council really wish to subject its unwilling tenants to an experiment? They have not agreed to become a tech company's guinea pigs. Pages 23-24 Summary • This asserts that the application warrants support and that there are no 'material considerations' that indicate otherwise. Are your tenant's views going to be dismissed so casually?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				Please register my objection to this planning application.
2020/1456/P	Ben C	26/04/2020 09:25:21	OBJ	In response to the application to install 5G mast stations, i strongly object. The WHO & ICNIRP https://www.icnirp.org/en/frequencies/radiofrequency/index.html quotes human cell damage from 5G to be 'unlikely', but until its proven why place the tenants of haddo at immediate risk. We know of advice to limit the exposure to wifi & mobile phone use so to install the highest potency, shortest wavelength transmitters just meters above the top floor residents would expose them to the most powerful radiation 24/7. Alternate sites above commercial premises would surely be more suitable and limit exposure to human life.
2020/1456/P	Katja Renner	25/04/2020 15:49:41	COMMNT	I hereby object this planning application on the basis of health and security. There is scientific proof that this kind of antennas have negativ effects on the health of humans living in close proximity
2020/1456/P	Paul Sparrow	29/04/2020 12:01:56	OBJ	Putting aside recent 'conspiracy theories' regarding 5g, I believe that there are serious concerns regarding the safety of this technology. There exist a plethora of arguments for and against it's introduction into our lives. The crucial consideration must be, beyond doubt: Is 5g safe for humans, animals and the general environment? This has not been established. Therefore further research must be carried out, locally, nationally and globally to clarify this fundamental concern. Meanwhile, Camden Council should not consider agreeing to any applications to install 5g equipment including masts, anywhere in the borough. Please accept my objection to this application.
2020/1456/P	Frances Singer	28/04/2020 22:25:10	OBJ	Objection to: 1) Physical and sensory disruption of & intrusion into the every day life & well being of the Haddo House residents & surrounding neighbourhood population. Objection based on objective scientific critique that ¿laboratory experiments are not designed to identify more severe adverse side effects¿ and they do not replicate ¿real life operating environments such as the impact of the pulsing and modulation of the carrier signal¿ Evidence source: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S037842742030028X?via%3Dihub
2020/1456/P	Laura Serghe	25/04/2020 07:43:34	PETITNOBJE	Too little is known about the long-term effects of 5G and I think it is being rolled out far too quickly. I object to masts being installed on Haddo House, NW5. Potentially risking people¿s lives for improved reception is simply not worth it. The scientific findings that support the possibility of 5G affecting people and the environment that is currently available regarding this matter must be taken into consideration. Many other European companies such as France and Greece are being far more cautious in erecting 5G masts. We need more information on its long-term effects. It is for this reason that I object.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1456/P	Katja Renner	25/04/2020 15:49:39	COMMNT	I hereby object this planning application on the basis of health and security. There is scientific proof that this kind of antennas have negativ effects on the health of humans living in close proximity
2020/1456/P	Alison Duker	28/04/2020 20:23:35	OBJ	I object to this planning application on Haddo House as it is a well admired building in Dartmouth Park conservation area and there are possible health risks that are associated to 5G (and I'm not talking CoronaVirus conspiracy theories here). 5G signals have been found to disrupt birdlife and nature, as well as human - but it has been ignored.. We already have 4g. We do not need 5g. There isn't a requirement for faster downloads or uploads to mobile networks, there is enough speed coming from our wifi networks. 5G WILL NOT increase our quality of life, not now nor in the future. Not only is it damaging to physical health, it is also damaging to our pockets. As someone who has worked with mobile operators, I can categorically say that this is not 'progress', it is a marketing ploy/financial strategy by mobile operators to push consumers to feel the need to purchase more very expensive handsets, increase their monthly bills all to make us more fixated with our mini handheld computers - thus increasing their profits, to what end? Stand up to these obvious abuse of your council tax paying residents. Please listen to us. We are in very straightened times, and this is the last thing that we need in our community or on our homes. The residents of Haddo House seemingly have no choice and have live with your decisions, despite being overwhelming against this proposal. Please show some care and attention to those who live within the environs, be bold. Refuse this and other 5G masts (and additional mobile masts fulls stop), and show that the borough can run brilliantly with all the masts that we currently have.. we have enough, let us breathe. Best wishes,
2020/1456/P	Celia Michaels-Evans	29/04/2020 11:18:17	OBJ	I object to the installation of these masts as it is still unclear if there are dangers to human health &/or the environment.
2020/1456/P	J. Fairbairn	23/04/2020 21:43:50	OBJ	There is so much uncertainty for health reasons over these mast especially being put on residential blocks apart from them spoiling the look and view of the building being in a prominant position and a conservation area.
2020/1456/P	Dr. C. Broomfield	23/04/2020 14:39:42	OBJ	The visual disfigurement and the potential health problems make this development unsuitable for Haddo House.
2020/1456/P	Maureen Hurley	23/04/2020 14:31:20	COMMNT	I object to the about planned installation for phone masts. My husband and I are in our 80's and our health isn't that good. There are a lot of people living in this estate who are elderly and have health issues. This is absolutely absurd and this is because council tenants once again are treated as 2nd class citizens, therefore their health isn't important to Camden Council.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1456/P	Eve Thompson	28/04/2020 22:04:14	COMMNT	We the residents near Highgatw Road hereby make strong objections to the installation of 5G masts being erected on/within Haddo building, Highgate Road and strongly object also to 5G throughout Camden! It is a scientific fact that the high Radiation levels emted from 5G poses a serious health hazard! Camden Council owe a "duty of care" to the residents. To knowingly allow a serious health hazard constitutes a breach of the of law, it is negligence. It is a scientific fact that excessive Radiation levels caused by 5G causes serious health issues and leading to death! The planning application should be denied to safeguard the inhabitence of Canden. Illness, human suffering and fatalities WILL occur if Canden Council allow the planning application for 5G. to go ahead. It will be immoral and criminal to knowingly cause human suffering and fatalities!
2020/1456/P	Alex Michie	29/04/2020 12:14:10	OBJ	The haddo building is beautiful architectural exanmple of social housing that is practical but also gorgelus and enhances the area, and should be a listed building and a shining example to the UK. Putting masts on top of it would be a travesty and wouldn't be countenanced on other architectural gems in the area (le swap school, Kenwood house). Don't do this!
2020/1456/P	Shazia Shafiq	28/04/2020 23:51:06	OBJ	I do not agree with these 5g masts.