

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|
| 2020/1456/P | | 22/04/2020 10:35:55 | PETITNOBJ E | Local residents strongly oppose the plans for the installation of the telecommunications masts on the roof of Haddo House. 60 local residents signed a petition to object these plans and this petition was delivered to Camden Council. Residents feel that they have been ignored; it appears that the Council are pushing these plans through regardless of the objections and concerns raised by the local residents. |
| 2020/1456/P | | 22/04/2020 19:51:35 | OBJ | We are home owners direct opposite haddo house and have Question marks still existing over the health effects and long-term exposure to emissions from telecoms masts - the non-ionizing radiation, radio frequency electromagnetic fields or RF EMF) that is emitted from telecoms masts. |
| 2020/1456/P | | 22/04/2020 15:44:36 | OBJ | I am writing to object to the proposal to instal 3 new telecom masts on the roof of Haddo House. As a long-term resident who has lived immediately opposite Had House since 1989, it seems to me that this proposal is totally misjudged. First, there has been no explanation of how these new masts would benefit our neighbourhood. At a time when Council cuts have made significant inroads into the quality of people's lives in the form of reduced rubbish collections, increased council tax, and threatened closure of nurseries and primary schools, at the very minimum the Council has a moral obligation to spell out exactly what advantages these masts would bring to the neighbourhood. It also has an obligation to spell out the costs involved and how these will be passed on to local council tax payers Second, Haddo House is a local landmark and well-regarded piece of architecture, visible from many places at street level and from hundreds of windows in surrounding streets. Apart from disrupting the lives of the residents, these masts would clutter the skyline, and would be an unpleasant and permanent reminder of how a telecoms company have been backed by a Labour Council to disregard the wishes of council tenants and leaseholders. Third, there are many fears about the health effects of long-term exposure to emissions from telecoms masts. It is totally unfair to ask the residents of a of a council building to bear any potential risk. Finally, it seems to me that if the Council supports this proposal it would be just further evidence of the Council's willingness to use a local neighbourhood as a site for the advantage and profits of big business. |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2020/1456/P | | 21/04/2020 16:59:47 | OBJ | <p>I wish to register my objection to this application.</p> <p>My _____ and their parents live on the 6th and 7th floor of Haddo House, directly below that part of the building where it is proposed to erect the 5G mast.</p> <p>There remain doubts about the health impacts of the radiation and electro-magnetic fields emitted from telecoms masts and the World Health Organisation considers exposure to be a possible carcinogen.</p> <p>Residents of Haddo House object to the proposal to erect the masts.</p> <p>It is inequitable that masts should be located on local authority buildings, a majority of whose occupants are local authority tenants.</p> <p>The masts will damage the appearance of an important and well-regarded piece of local architecture.</p> <p>The proceeds of the policy of allowing local authority buildings to be the location for telecom masts will in any case be lower than was envisaged when the policy was approved in 2015 due to a change in planning law.</p> |

| | | | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------|--------|--|
| 2020/1456/P | | 22/04/2020 06:10:45 | OBJNOT | <p>I, my family and the residents of Grove End House strongly object to the installation of telecom masts on Haddo House Highgate Road. I wrote a strongly worded objection at the time of the consultation that was not properly addressed and we are all dismayed that this has progressed so far. The proposal is strongly opposed by them residents of Haddo House and surrounding properties. We live directly opposite The building and will be adversely affected by this proposal. The normalisation of such ugly, intrusive and possibly injurious technology on the rooftops of a much loved and admired local building should be resisted by the council. We should be creating gardens on rooftops and supporting wildlife and a harmonious relationship with nature. We don't need more technology and ugly, aggressive telecoms masts that emit non-ionizing radiation and radio frequency electromagnetic fields or RF EMF). We call on the council to oppose this scheme and properly respect the needs of people living locally rather than now to pressure from powerful telecoms companies.</p> |
|-------------|--|---------------------|--------|--|

| | | | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------|--------|--|
| 2020/1456/P | | 22/04/2020 20:48:50 | COMMNT | <p>AS A RESIDENT OF HADDO HOUSE I AM DEEPLYWORRIED CONCERNED by the plans to house three 3 g5 masta on top of our homes. We have never asked for this to happen. If we were consulted we would have No. A lot of us have health issues as it is let alone having the worry of the masts as well. We are struggling to cope with the effect of cov19 at present.it is very unfair of you to add this to our problems.</p> |
|-------------|--|---------------------|--------|--|