

to the radiation from the masts. My understanding that there is no definitive evidence at this stage that 4G and 5G telecommunications masts do not cause potentially serious health issues for children and adults living in close proximity to them.

**3. Alternative Development**

As NETCS and Cornerstone are aware, the freeholders of 25-25 Hampstead High Street (incorporated as 25/26 HHS Ltd), of whom I am one, have long been planning to develop a roof terrace at the property for the benefit of the residents. This is clearly incompatible with the siting of telecommunications equipment on the roof. The application for planning for this terrace was unfortunately delayed by the illness of our architect but is to be submitted imminently.

**4. Lack of Clarity on ICNIRP Exclusion Zone**


As I understand it, the ICNIRP Guidelines set out the need for exclusion zones around a mast. My flat is immediately beneath the proposed site for the masts, which could mean that the exclusion zone extends into my living space. NETCS and Cornerstone have so far failed to answer health and safety questions around exclusion zones and ICNIRP compliance raised by 25/26 HHS's legal adviser, leaving me unsure as to whether the proposed development will render my home uninhabitable.

**5. Unsightly Development in a Conservation Area**

The height of the roof of the building at 25-26 Hampstead High Street means that the modern telecommunications equipment would be visible from considerable distances away. This would not be in keeping with the historic character and appearance of the Hampstead Conservation Area.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter and I hope that you will take these points into account when making your decision on this application.

Yours faithfully

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the signature area. A thin horizontal line extends from the right side of the box across the page.