

Dear Sirs,

Re the above planning application, I make this submission as Company Secretary of Belsize Mews (Residents) Limited, a company formed to manage and represent the interests of the 12 shareholders and freeholders of the properties within Belsize Mews.

In addition to the specific objections recently submitted by individual property owners, I am writing to reiterate the collective objection of all 12 freeholders, and this objection is therefore submitted both jointly on behalf of all freeholders and severally by those individual freeholders

undersigned.

Yours faithfully



David R N Scaife
Director & Company Secretary

No 1, Belsize Mews – Elizabeth Tay

No 4, Belsize Mews – Nima Tayebi

No 5, Belsize Mews – Michael Harve

No 7, Belsize Mews – David Scaife

No 8, Belsize Mews – Jane Chapman





Dear Sirs,

I reside at No 7 Belsize Mews, NW3 5AT. As a property owner, and as Company Secretary of Belsize Mews (Residents) Limited, the business which manages the 12 Mews properties on behalf of the freeholders, I want to express my strong objection to the development represented by this planning application.

I recall that a similar application was raised last year, and rejected, and given that this application seems very similar in nature, I see no reason why it should be approved.

The proposed extension would invade the privacy of a number of the Mews property owners, including me, as it would overlook various aspects of our properties – in my case, the top floor bedroom and balcony.

Furthermore, it would increase noise levels in the Mews, which will affect the quality of life of all the residents. Given the nature of the proposed bi-folding doors and dining space, noise will carry directly from this dining space to other properties, particularly when windows are opened in the summer. This was not addressed in the noise impact assessment completed in January 2020.

The noise issues are exacerbated by the fact that the proposal relocates the heat pump condenser plant from the restaurant below, bringing them closer to properties within the Mews (specifically No 1). This is an acute issue as most of the properties in the Mews have single glazed windows.


I note also that the application makes no mention of screening for aesthetic considerations, and I feel strongly that the development would adversely impact the aesthetic integrity of houses in the immediate vicinity, and Belsize Mews directly.

Finally, the application makes no mention of how light pollution from the external balcony will be mitigated.

Yours faithfully,

David Scaife

Comments made by: David Scaife of 7 Belsize Mews



Preferred method of contact: e-mail

Comment type: OBJECTION