

Muthoora, Leela

From: Anthony Stoll [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 April 2020 15:20
To: Muthoora, Leela
Subject: Re: 2020/0560/A 85 Jamestown Road London NW1 7DB

Categories: CASES

[REDACTED]

Dear Leela Muthoora,

I would like to oppose the granting of this application. I do not know if the planning laws allow automatic refusal of planning permission for a building already in receipt of an enforcement order until the conditions of the enforcement have been met. If this is within the law, then the application should be refused. If the laws does not allow for this per se I still am of the opinion that the planning permission should not be granted on the basis that to allow it would make a mockery of the enforcement order. As to the awnings and lights they detract from the streetscape. The lights are especially intrusive because of their bulk. If the lettering on the windows is on the inside then I believe it does not need planning permission.

Yours etc.

Anthony Stoll

Anthony Stoll
11a St. Martin's Almshouses,
Bayham Street,
London NW1 0BD
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Muthoora, Leela

From: jayne mitchell [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 April 2020 23:49
To: Muthoora, Leela
Subject: application no 2020/0560/A, 85 Jamestown Road, NW1
Categories: CASES

[REDACTED]

Dear Ms Muthoora,

Please forgive me for writing to you directly, but I am unable to comment on this application on the Camden planning portal.

I live in Gllbey House, directly opposite this building on the corner of Jamestown Road and Oval Road, and have over the past few years raised several concerns regarding the work that has been done.

I would like to OBJECT to this application overall. In detail

1. Whilst I have no serious objections to the awnings themselves, they are not in the style of the building, nor do they serve any purpose except as an advertisement. This is a work-around of the rules that should not be allowed. If awnings are required for the purposes of reducing light inside the cafe then let them be without advertising. This is a residential street, not the High Street.
2. The lights are just ugly. There is no need for any lights on this corner, they do not enhance the building, or the streetscape. Plus I have concerns about even more light pollution in a densely populated residential area.

Whilst writing I would like to make a couple of further observations

3. There are existing enforcement notices regarding works to this building, none of which have been addressed by the applicant. I would strongly recommend that this application is therefore put to one side until the applicant has remedied all the outstanding issues with the building. Apart from anything else, the applicants track record for actually doing what has been approved must be doubted.
4. The drawings which accompany this application are not in line with the previously approved applications, in particular with regard to the fenestration on the upper levels. I have a concern that this has been done quite deliberately by the applicant in the hope that any approval granted now will, by inference, give approval to the rest of the building. Again, I strongly urge you not to proceed with this application until such time as further reference is made to the outstanding remedial issues.

I should be happy to provide you with any further information you might require, as well as photos should you need them.

I look forward to hearing from you regarding these comments

It's best wishes

Jayne Mitchell
60 Glibey House

