

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/1035/P	Mr Stuart March	03/04/2020 14:47:11	OBJ	<p>I object as the lessee of Flat 3, 105 Priory Road that the proposed extension due to its bulk is sited upon land the applicant does not own and upon which rights of way are granted to myself and other lessees.</p> <p>I have a lease plan clearly showing my rights of way upon which the applicant is asking for permission to build but I can find no way to attach it to this objection.</p>
2020/1035/P	Chris Knights	04/04/2020 23:32:22	COMMNT	<p>Having previously reached out to the owners of the 1st floor flat we have today spoken to the tenants and run through their concerns outlined in the response which broadly cover; timelines, garden access, security and noise.</p> <p>Timelines - Under no circumstances will any building work start during this current Coronavirus shutdown/WFH period. The intention would be to start sometime in 2021 at the earliest and give as much notice to all owners and tenants as possible.</p> <p>Garden Access - Access will be maintained throughout the works for all tenants. A partition will also allow for access to the rear communal garden away from view of any tradesman.</p> <p>Security - The main entrance will not be required by the builders during the project. Our existing front door accessed through the main entrance will be removed and converted into a wall. All access will be from our private garden as well as the new entrance planned for the side of the property.</p> <p>Noise - Obviously all building projects will create some noise but all Camden guidelines will be followed to minimise this, including fully adhering to when the builders are allowed to work at the property.</p>
2020/1035/P	Chris Knights	04/04/2020 23:59:23	COMMNT	<p>Response to (CRASH) comments</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to read our application and provide constructive comments, they are greatly appreciated. We note your concern around the garage style door that was outlined in our proposal and your view that this may not be in keeping with the traditional style of the original house. We would certainly be in favour of adopting a traditional style door. Whilst it would mean a different style to our neighbour at 103 we are set much further back from the front of the house and so would agree a traditional door would be better keeping with the conversation area look and feel whilst still being subservient enough to the buildings main front door. Should Camden allow us to change this we will happily do so.</p>