| From: | Aimee Squires <asquires@savills.com></asquires@savills.com> |
|----------|---|
| Sent: | 09 April 2020 15:18 |
| То: | Peres Da Costa, David |
| Cc: | Bell, Nick |
| Subject: | RE: 26 Netherhall Gardens - 2019/1515/P |

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. If in any doubt contact <u>InformationSecurityTeam@camden.gov.uk</u> please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear David,

Please see attached updated tree documents which respond to your comments in relation to the protection of T2. The Tree Protection Plan has been amended to show the entire RPA of T2 within the garden protected by a Construction Exclusion Zone. The report has also been updated to reflect this. The consultant is very confident that this tree will be adequately protected during construction. All aspects of the tree documents remain as previously submitted.

I have spoken to our tree consultant regarding the location of off-site trees. T2 has been plotted accurately. The consultants have now visited the site several times and used a TriPulse laser system to plot the tree. This has been checked. They believe the location is accurate.

Furthermore, in regards to the objections from neighbours on the subject of trees:

- Despite the comments from neighbours, we note that the tree consultant appointed by neighbours have not offered an alternative plotting of T2 or the Magnolia tree in the rear garden of 24A.
- The Magnolia tree in the rear garden of 24A Netherhall Gardens has also been plotted accurately. Furthermore, this tree is located within and well behind the RPA of T2, meaning it is located further away from the proposed development and therefore is not going to be impacted by the development.
- The neighbours refer to the first appeal (which concluded that there was insufficient information to justify the loss of the trees, but not that it was unacceptable to remove the trees), but does not refer to the second application/appeal where it was agreed by the Council that the removal of T6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 was acceptable. In response to neighbours comments regarding privacy and screening, we have proposed replacement planting along the boundary comprising a hedge of Lawson Cypress. Coupled with planting along the front boundary, this will re-provide greenery along the side boundary as well as to the streetscape.

For these reasons, we believe that all matters regarding trees has been resolved.

Can you please let me know where we stand with all other matters as set out below? Will we be able to proceed to a decision?

I would also appreciate an update on the legal agreement, I believe the agreement is with the Council's solicitors.

I hope you are well given the circumstances. Have a nice Easter.

Many thanks, Aimee