

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/0989/P	Lindsey	02/04/2020 13:10:08	OBJ	I highly object to this proposal as it will be detrimental to the over all view and image of the property. There are no proposed measures to keep this line of vision tidy and in keeping with its original design. I also disagree with the leaseholders making money through this scheme which ultimately effects the occupants and other local residents.
2020/0989/P	R N E SMITH	02/04/2020 16:00:20	OBJ	I wish to oppose this planning application on the following grounds: The proposed aerials will detract from the appearance of the building which has a coherent design for which the aerials are completely inappropriate. The aerials will adversely affect the views from the adjoining Conservation Area and the Royal Park and Primrose Hill. The aerials will include equipment manufactured by Huawei which is regarded by the US government as a threat to National Security. The British government currently regards this threat as manageable, but this is likely to form part of the forthcoming UK/US trade negotiations and this assessment may be revised. This equipment should not be installed at least until after the negotiations have been concluded.
2020/0989/P	Luey Cottrell	01/04/2020 15:52:09	COMMNT	I object to the proposal - I am concerned about the visual impact, both from St George's Terrace and the Hill, and the health risk, particularly in relation to children in surrounding houses and the local school. Primrose Hill is one of the best and most visited panoramic views of London and the drawings show a sizeable installation which would be highly visible. They couldn't have picked a worse place.
2020/0989/P	Ziba Sarikhani	01/04/2020 17:54:33	COMMNT	As resident of Primrose Hill, I strongly object to the above planning application on the grounds that it poses a huge risk to public health. Please lets not ignore this for the sake of profit and convenience. There are close to 6,000 scientific, peer-reviewed EMF research papers showing strong correlation between electromagnetic radiation and a host of diseases and disorders including cancer, cardiovascular abnormalities, neurological disorders, digestive problems, dermatitis, reproductive failure, certain types of diabetes, as well as behavioral and learning disabilities and many others. The landmark study from the National Toxicology Program of the US Department of Health and Human Services concluded that there is clear evidence that radiation from cell phones causes cancer [12] And in 2011 the World Health Organization (WHO) classified radio frequency radiation as a possible 2B carcinogen specifically citing the increased risk for glioma, a malignant type of brain cancer. NB The danger is not just cancer, there are a host of diseases and disorders associated with over-exposure to electromagnetic radiation. (Source Lloyd Burrell)

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020-0989-P	Maggie Rodford	01/04/2020 20:41:25	OBJ	<p>I live at the north end of St. George's Terrace. I most strongly object to the proposed erection of this equipment on the roof of Hillview for a number of reasons.</p> <p>The health risks associated with this type of installation have still not been definitely clarified and researched. There are many reports of people living close to masts/antennas etc falling ill with various forms of cancers and other long term debilitating diseases. I have personal knowledge of a father who lost his daughter to cancer who was absolutely certain that she became ill as they lived underneath a telephonic equipment base.</p> <p>The installation would look extremely ugly. Primrose Hill is a unique place in London. Local residents, Londoners and visitors to the are in normal times seen gathered at the top of the hill admiring the truly unique vista. What a blight on the landscape this installation would be when looking to the east from the top.</p> <p>The surrounding neighbourhood is a conservation area. This proposal has nothing to recommend it to the spirit conservation.</p>
2020-0989-P	Mark Ebbinghaus	02/04/2020 11:49:55	COMMENT	<p>[REDACTED] we firmly object to the application.</p> <p>The grounds for the objection are as follows;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Unsightly /spoiling the view from St George's Terrace a conservation area2) Health and safety regarding transmission and radio waves on health <p>Regards</p> <p>Ngaia Fitzhardinge and Mark Ebbinghaus</p>