

[REDACTED]

From: Fieldsend, Sofie
Sent: 01 April 2020 17:37
To: Planning
Subject: FW: 2019/5995/ 3 and 3a Frogna Gardens

From: John Malet-Bates [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 April 2020 17:29
To: Fieldsend, Sofie <Sofie.Fieldsend@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: John Malet-Bates [REDACTED]
Subject: 2019/5995/ 3 and 3a Frogna Gardens

[REDACTED]

Dear Sofie,
Apologies for this – previous email was also late and rather garbled.

HCAAC Objects to aspects of this proposal.

London and Camden policy is and should aim at retention of flats wherever possible. Attention has been drawn to the Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan objection to amalgamation.

Rear rooflights appear excessive compared with the roof area. Rooflights are greatly efficient not requiring larger areas also increasing light pollution.

Proposed solar panels shown on drawings should be reduced away from edges of the roof plane. We would expect a lesser array to be needed for the proposed house, or flats if retained as is preferred according to established policy. The balance appears to be aimed at maximising income from the grid, although the balance remaining from a reduced array after satisfying the building's needs should also be more than adequate for the applicant's reasonable desires.

Applications for solar panels should require analysis of need and benefit

No report on the tree proposed for felling – planning history of such is now no precedent for felling.

Development must take account of and protect existing trees for which there are various solutions for root protection.

Owners should be encouraged to maintain and protect their trees.

The DAS refers to a planning history re trees but is silent on any other matters – eg the outbuildings.

Best regards,
John

John Malet-Bates
For Hampstead CAAC
c/o Flat 6, 4 Ferncroft Avenue,
London, NW3 7PH

[REDACTED]