				Printed on: 18/03/2020 09:10:06
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/0305/P	Eric Stuart	17/03/2020 20:03:02	OBJ	I object to the application in part. The applicant replaced the former shopfront without planning consent. The current shopfront is problematic both for the elimination of a significant portion of the glazing, throwing the glazed area out of proportion, and for the tables and chairs attached to the shopfront which contributed to the late night noise problem the venue causes nearby residents. I support the removal of these features. I object to the following elements of the proposal however. (1) The replacement of the three panels on the stall riser with a single panel. This removes a feature of the former shopfront that added visual interest and fit in with the nature of the conservation area. The three panel design of the stall riser from the original shopfront should be restored. (2) The arrangement of the glazing bars on the main window area. The new glazing bars chop up the window in a way that seems out of proportion - addition of the central horizontal glazing bar with a vertical glazing bar that only divides the top third of the window looks a bit off. I suspect this design was chosen as it is the cheapest way to alter the unapproved current shopfront rather than on the basis of design merits. It would be better if either there were no central glazing bars (as with the original shopfront) or if each of the vertical and horizontal glazing bars divided the window into four panes of equal size which would better reflect and maintain the balance seen in the top story windows of the building.