









Detailed Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) Risk Assessment

Project Name	me Ragged School	
Client	GEA Ltd	
Site Address	Ragged School, 18 Vine Hill & 15-29 Eyre St Hill	
Report Reference DA6188-00		
Date 26 th March 2018		
Originator	AT	



Find us on Twitter and Facebook Company No: 7717863 VAT No: 128 8833 79 www.1stlinedefence.co.uk

1st Line Defence Limited Unit 3, Maple Park, Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Herts. EN11 0EX Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020 <u>info@1stlinedefence.co.uk</u>



Executive Summary

Site Location and Description

The site is currently located in the area of Holburn, in the London Borough of Camden, between the roads of Vine Hill to the west and Eyre Street Hill to the east. Warner Street and Clerkenwell Road are the two closest streets to the north and south respectively.

The site is currently occupied by a mix of multi-storey buildings, mostly in the west of the site, and hard-standing land in use as car parking in the east of the site.

The site is approximately centred on the OS grid reference: **TQ 3117282122**

Proposed Works

It is proposed to refurbish the existing Former Ragged School building (18 Vine Hill) and to construct a new hotel with a single or two-storey basement in the car park / garage area (15-29 Eyre St Hill). Ground investigation works are believed to be taking place on site prior to any construction.

Geology and Bomb Penetration Depth

The British Geological Survey (BGS) map shows the bedrock geology of the site to be underlain by the London Clay Formation – clay, silt and sand, of the Palaeogene Period. The superficial deposits are comprised of Sand and Gravel of the Quaternary Period.

Site specific geotechnical information was not available to 1st Line Defence at the time of the production of this report. An assessment of maximum bomb penetration depth can be made once such data becomes available, or by a UXO specialist during on-site support.

It should be noted that the maximum depth that a bomb could reach may vary across a site and will be largely dependent on the specific underlying geological strata and its density.

UXO Risk Assessment

1st Line Defence has assessed that there is a **Medium Risk** from items of unexploded German aerial delivered and Allied ordnance across the site. This assessment is based on the following factors:

- The site was situated in the Metropolitan Borough of Holborn during WWII. According to Home Office statistics, this borough received 921.2 items per 1,000 acres, the highest bomb density within London and the country.
- London bomb census mapping, both consolidated and weekly, record one bomb strike on the site's south-western border. The Holborn Record Of Air Raid Incidents, obtained from Camden Archives, records this strike in roughly the same place in May 1941.
- LCC Bomb damage mapping records 'damage requiring demolition' to the structures immediately west of the site during the war. The area in the east of the site is marked as 'cleared by the War Debris & Disposal Service'. This means that this area was likely cleared before any damage could be attributed to it, meaning that it was either damaged by bombing or in such a poor condition that it was cleared regardless.
- The western part of the site, which was occupied by a large multi-storey structure, was likely accessed frequently throughout the war. The eastern part of the site was likely accessed less frequently, as it does not appear to have been occupied with structures throughout the war and was cleared by 1942. This section appears on 1945 photography to be undeveloped hard-standing land, post-clearance. While the groundcover in the west of the site, which was occupied by a large multi-storey building, would have been conductive to the evidence of UXO, this would not have been the case in the east of the site.
- Evidence from bomb mapping, damage mapping and incident records suggests that at least one strike occurred within the site's immediate proximity, to the west of the site. This caused significant damage. The eastern area of the site was marked as cleared on damage mapping. It is not know what caused this clearance. It is possible that this part of the site was in such a poor condition that it was cleared during the destruction of damaged properties. The combination of both this area and the bombing and damage recorded to the west of the site, means that no area of the site can be considered as 'low risk', due to the 'J-curve effect'. A buffer zone has been placed around the damaged/cleared areas to account for the possibility that a UXB can end its trajectory at a lateral offset from point of entry sometimes ending up beneath structures which survived the war intact.



UXO Risk Assessment

- There is no evidence that the site formerly had any military occupation or usage that could have led to contamination with items of Allied ordnance, such as LSA and SAA. The conditions in which HAA or LAA projectiles may have fallen unnoticed within the site boundary are however analogous to those regarding aerial delivered ordnance.
- The site does not appear to have been significantly developed post-war. The building in the west of the site does not appear to have changed, while the east of the site is occupied by hard-standing land. The risk from deep-buried unexploded bombs is only considered mitigated at locations where post war piling or deep foundations have taken place. Any smaller developments may have mitigated the risk from shallow-buried items somewhat.

Recommended Risk Mitigation Measures

The following risk mitigation measures are recommended to support the proposed works at the Ragged School site: **All Works**

• Site Specific UXO Awareness Briefings to all personnel conducting intrusive works.

Open Intrusive Works (trial pits, service pits, open excavations, shallow foundations etc.)

UXO Specialist On-site Support

Boreholes and Piled Foundations

• Intrusive Magnetometer Survey of all borehole and pile locations/clusters down to maximum bomb penetration depth.



Glossary

Abbreviation	Definition	
AA	Anti-Aircraft	
AFS	Auxiliary Fire Service	
АР	Anti-Personnel	
ARP	Air Raid Precautions	
AWAS	Air Warfare Analysis Section	
DA	Delay-action	
EOC	Explosive Ordnance Clearance	
EOD	Explosive Ordnance Disposal	
FP	Fire Pot	
GM	G Mine (Parachute mine)	
HAA	Heavy Anti-Aircraft	
HE	High Explosive	
IB	Incendiary Bomb	
LAA	Light Anti-Aircraft	
LCC	London County Council	
LRRB	Long Range Rocket Bomb (V-2)	
LSA	Land Service Ammunition	
MOL	Molotov (Incendiary Bomb)	
ОВ	Oil Bomb	
PAC	Pilotless Aircraft (V-1)	
РВ	Phosphorous Bomb	
PM	Parachute Mine	
POW	Prisoner Of War	
RAF	Royal Air Force	
RCAF	Royal Canadian Air Force	
RFC	Royal Flying Corps	
RNAS	Royal Naval Air Service	
ROF	Royal Ordnance Factory	
SA	Small Arms	
SAA	Small Arms Ammunition	
SD1000	1,000kg high explosive bomb	
SD2	Anti-personnel "Butterfly Bomb"	
SIP	Self-Igniting Phosphorous	
U/C	Unclassified bomb	
UP	Unrotated Projectile (rocket)	
USAAF	United States Army Air Force	
UX	Unexploded	
UXAA	Unexploded Anti-Aircraft	
UXB	Unexploded Bomb	
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance	
V-1	Flying Bomb (Doodlebug)	
V-2	Long Range Rocket	
WAAF	Women's Auxiliary Air Force	
Х	Exploded	



Contents

Exec	utive Su	mmary	. 11
Glos	sary		IV
Cont	ents		. v
Anne	exes		VII
1.		Introduction	. 1
	1.1.	Background	. 1
2.		Method Statement	. 2
	2.1.	Report Objectives	. 2
	2.2.	Risk Assessment Process	. 2
	2.3.	Sources of Information	. 2
	2.4.	General Considerations of Historical Research	.3
3.		Background to Bombing Records	. 3
4.		Background to Allied Records	. 3
5.		UK Regulatory Environment	. 4
	5.1.	General	.4
	5.2.	CDM Regulations 2015	.4
	5.3.	The 1974 Health and Safety at Work etc. Act	.4
	5.4.	Additional Legislation	.4
6.		Role of Commercial UXO Contractors and The Authorities	. 5
	6.1.	Commercial UXO Contractors	. 5
	6.2.	The Authorities	. 5
7.		The Site	. 6
	7.1.	Site Location	.6
	7.2.	Site Description	. 6
8.		Scope of the Proposed Works	. 6
	8.1.	General	.6
9.		Ground Conditions	. 6
	9.1.	General Geology	. 6
	9.2.	Site Specific Geology	.6
10.		Site History	. 7
	10.1.	Introduction	. 7
	10.2.	Ordnance Survey Historical Maps	. 7
	10.3.	Goad Fire Insurance Mapping	.8
	10.4.	Historical Aerial Photographs of the Site	. 8
11.		Aerial Bombing Introduction	. 9
	11.1.	General	.9
	11.2.	Generic Types of WWII German Aerial-delivered Ordnance	.9
	11.3.	Failure Rate of German Aerial-delivered Ordnance	10
	11.4.	V-Weapons	10
12.		UXB Ground Penetration	11
	12.1.	General	11
	12.2.	The J-Curve Effect	11
	12.3.	WWII UXB Penetration Studies	11



	12.4.	Site Specific Bomb Penetration Considerations
13.		Initiation of Unexploded Ordnance12
	13.1.	General
	13.2.	UXB Initiation Mechanisms12
	13.3.	Effects of Detonation
14.		The Risk from German Air Delivered UXBs13
	14.1.	World War I
	14.2.	World War II Bombing of Holborn13
	14.3.	WWII Home Office Bombing Statistics14
	14.4.	London Civil Defence Region ARP Bomb Census Maps14
	14.5.	Holborn Record of Air Raid Incidents15
	14.6.	London County Council Bomb Damage Map16
	14.7.	WWII-Era Aerial Photography16
	14.8.	Abandoned Bombs16
	14.9.	Bomb Disposal Tasks
	14.10.	Evaluation of German Air Delivered UXB Risk17
15.		The Risk from Allied Ordnance18
	15.1.	General
	15.2.	Defending the UK From Aerial Attack18
	15.3.	Anti-Aircraft Artillery (AAA)19
	15.4.	Evaluation of Allied Ordnance Risk
16.		Ordnance Clearance and Post-WWII Ground Works21
	16.1.	General
	16.2.	UXO Clearance
	16.3.	Post-war Redevelopment
17.		1 st Line Defence Risk Assessment22
	17.1.	Risk Assessment Stages
	17.2.	Assessed Risk Level
18.		Proposed Risk Mitigation Methodology25
	18.1.	General
Bibli	ography	



Annexes

List of Report Annexes		
Annex A	Site Location Maps	
Annex B	Recent Aerial Photography	
Annex C	Client Provided Site Plan	
Annex D	Pre and Post-WWII Historical Maps	
Annex E	Goad Mapping	
Annex F	Historical Aerial Photographs of the Site	
Annex G	The 'J-curve' Effect Principle	
Annex H	Examples of German Air Delivered Ordnance	
Annex I	Examples of UXO Incidents	
Annex J	London WWI Bomb Plot Map	
Annex K	London WWII Bomb Density Map	
Annex L	London Civil Defence Region ARP Bomb Census Mapping	
Annex M	London Civil Defence Region V-1 Flying Bomb Map	
Annex N	London County Council Bomb Damage Map	
Annex O	1945 RAF Aerial Photography of the Site	
Annex P	Examples of Anti-Aircraft Projectiles	





1st Line Defence Limited Detailed Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) Risk Assessment

Site: Ragged School Client: GEA Ltd

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

1st Line Defence has been commissioned by GEA Ltd to conduct a Detailed Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) Risk Assessment for the proposed works at the Ragged School site.

Buried UXO can present a significant risk to construction works and development projects. The discovery of a suspect device during works can cause considerable disruption to operations as well as cause unwanted delays and expense.

UXO in the UK can originate from three principal sources:

- 1. Munitions resulting from wartime activities including German bombing in WWI and WWII, long range shelling, and defensive activities.
- 2. Munitions deposited as a result of military training and exercises.
- 3. Munitions lost, burnt, buried or otherwise discarded either deliberately, accidentally, or ineffectively.

This report will assess the potential factors that may contribute to the risk of UXO contamination. If an elevated risk is identified at the site, this report will recommend appropriate mitigation measures, in order to reduce the risk to as low as is reasonably practicable. Detailed analysis and evidence will be provided to ensure an understanding of the basis for the assessed risk level and any recommendations.

This report complies with the guidelines outlined in *CIRIA C681*, 'Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) A Guide for the Construction Industry'.



2. <u>Method Statement</u>

2.1. Report Objectives

The aim of this report is to conduct a comprehensive assessment of the potential risk from UXO at the Ragged School site. The report will also recommend appropriate site and work-specific risk mitigation measures to reduce the risk from explosive ordnance during the envisaged works to a level that is as low as reasonably practicable.

2.2. Risk Assessment Process

1st Line Defence has undertaken a five-step process for assessing the risk of UXO contamination:

- 1. The risk that the site was contaminated with UXO.
- 2. The risk that UXO remains on the site.
- 3. The risk that UXO may be encountered during the proposed works.
- 4. The risk that UXO may be initiated.
- 5. The consequences of initiating or encountering UXO.

In order to address the above, 1st Line Defence has taken into consideration the following factors:

- Evidence of WWI and WWII German aerial delivered bombing as well as the legacy of Allied occupation.
- The nature and conditions of the site during WWII.
- The extent of post-war development and UXO clearance operations on site.
- The scope and nature of the proposed works and the maximum assessed bomb penetration depth.
- The nature of ordnance that may have contaminated the proposed site area.

2.3. Sources of Information

Every reasonable effort has been made to ensure that relevant evidence has been consulted and presented in order to produce a thorough and comprehensible report for the client. To achieve this the following, which includes military records and archive material held in the public domain, have been accessed:

- The National Archives, Kew
- Historical mapping datasets.
- Historic England National Monuments Record.
- Relevant information supplied by GEA Ltd.
- Available material from 33 Engineer Regiment (EOD) Archive.
- 1st Line Defence's extensive historical archives, library and UXO geo-datasets.
- Open sources such as published books and internet resources.

Research involved a visit to The National Archives.



2.4. General Considerations of Historical Research

This desktop assessment is based largely upon analysis of historical evidence. Every reasonable effort has been made to locate and present significant and pertinent information. 1st Line Defence cannot be held accountable for any changes to the assessed risk level or risk mitigation measures, based on documentation or other data that may come to light at a later date, or which was not available to 1st Line Defence during the production of this report.

It is often problematic and sometimes impossible to verify the completeness and accuracy of WWIIera records. As a consequence, conclusions as to the exact location and nature of a UXO risk can rarely be quantified and are to a degree subjective. To counter this, a range of sources have been consulted and analysed. The same methodology is applied to each report during the risk assessment process. 1st Line Defence cannot be held responsible for any inaccuracies or the incompleteness in available historical information.

3. Background to Bombing Records

During WWII bombing records were gathered by the police, Air Raid Precaution (ARP) wardens and military personnel. Records were maintained in the form of local and regional written records, maps depicting the locations of individual strikes, and maps indicating the levels of damage sustained by structures. Records typically documented when, where and what types of bombs had fallen during an air raid. Records of bomb strikes were made either through direct observation or by post-raid surveys. The immediate priority was focused on assisting casualties and minimising damage. As a result some records were incomplete and contradictory.

The quality, detail and nature of record keeping could vary considerably between boroughs and towns. No two areas identically collated or recorded data. While some local authorities maintained records with a methodical approach, sources in certain areas can be considerably more vague, dispersed, and narrower in scope. Many records were even damaged or destroyed in subsequent bombing raids. Records of raids that took place on sparsely or uninhabited areas were often based upon third party or hearsay information and are therefore not always reliable. Furthermore, records of attacks on military or strategic targets were often maintained separately from the general records and have not always survived.

4. Background to Allied Records

During WWII considerable areas of land were requisitioned by the army for the purpose of defence, training, and the construction of airfields and facilities for munitions production. Records relating to military features vary and some may remain censored. Within urban environments datasets will be consulted detailing the location of munition production as well as air and land defences. In rural locations it may be possible to obtain plans of airfields and military establishments, as well as operational training logs, plans and personal memoirs.



5. <u>UK Regulatory Environment</u>

5.1. General

There is no formal obligation requiring a UXO risk assessment to be undertaken for construction projects in the UK, nor is there any specific legislation stipulating the management or mitigation of UXO risk. However, it is implicit in the legislation outlined below that those responsible for intrusive works (archaeology, site investigation, drilling, piling, excavation etc.) should undertake a comprehensive and robust assessment of the potential risks to employees and that mitigation measures are implemented to address any identified hazards.

5.2. CDM Regulations 2015

The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015 (CDM 2015) define the responsibilities of parties involved in the construction of temporary or permanent structures.

The CDM 2015 establishes a duty of care extending from clients, principle co-ordinators, designers, and contractors to those working on, or affected by, a project. Those responsible for construction projects may therefore be accountable for the personal or proprietary loss of third parties, if correct health and safety procedure has not been applied.

Although the CDM does not specifically reference UXO, the risk presented by such items is both within the scope and purpose of the legislation. It is therefore implied that there is an obligation on parties to:

- Provide an appropriate assessment of potential UXO risks at the site (or ensure such an assessment is completed by others).
- Put in place appropriate risk mitigation measures if necessary.
- Supply all parties with information relevant to the risks presented by the project.
- Ensure the preparation of a suitably robust emergency response plan.

5.3. The 1974 Health and Safety at Work etc. Act

All employers have a responsibility under the Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974 and the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999, to ensure the health and safety of their employees and third parties, so far as is reasonably practicable and conduct suitable and sufficient risk assessments.

5.4. Additional Legislation

In the event of a casualty resulting from the failure of an employer/client to address the risks relating to UXO, the organisation may be criminally liable under the Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007.



6. Role of Commercial UXO Contractors and The Authorities

6.1. Commercial UXO Contractors

In the event that a risk of UXO contamination is detected at the proposed site, the support of a UXO specialist may be recommended. A UXO specialist may be able to avoid unnecessary call-outs to the authorities through the disposal or removal of low risk items. In addition a specialist will assist in the swift recognition of high risk items, and will thereafter co-ordinate with the local authority with the objective of causing minimal levels of disruption to site operations, whilst putting in place safe and appropriate measures.

For more information on the role of commercial UXO specialists, see CIRIA C681.

6.2. The Authorities

The police have a responsibility to co-ordinate the emergency services in the event of an ordnancerelated incident at a construction site. Upon inspection they may impose a safety cordon, order an evacuation, and call the military authorities Joint Services Explosive Ordnance Disposal (JSEOD) to arrange for investigation and/or disposal. In the absence of a UXO specialist, police officers will usually employ such precautionary safety measures, thereby causing works to cease, and possibly requiring the evacuation of neighbouring businesses and properties.

The priority given to the police request will depend on JSEOD's judgement of the nature of the UXO risk, the location, people and assets at risk, as well as the availability of resources. The speed of response varies; authorities may respond immediately or in some cases it may take several days for the item of ordnance to be dealt with.

Depending on the on-site risk assessment the item of ordnance may be removed from the site and/or destroyed by a controlled explosion. The latter process is lengthy and may necessitate the establishment of addition cordons and evacuations.

Following the removal of an item of UXO, the military authorities will only undertake further investigations or clearances in high risk situations. If there are regular UXO finds on a site the JSEOD may not treat each occurrence as an emergency and will recommend the construction company puts in place alternative procedures, such as the appointment of a commercial contractor to manage the situation.



7. <u>The Site</u>

7.1. Site Location

The site is currently located in the area of Holburn, in the London Borough of Camden, between the roads of Vine Hill to the west and Eyre Street Hill to the east. Warner Street and Clerkenwell Road are the two closest streets to the north and south respectively.

The site is approximately centred on the OS grid reference: **TQ 3117282122**

Site location maps are presented in Annex A.

7.2. Site Description

The site is currently occupied by a mix of multi-storey buildings, mostly in the west of the site, and hard-standing land in use as car parking in the east of the site.

A recent aerial photograph and site plan are presented in Annex B and Annex C respectively.

8. <u>Scope of the Proposed Works</u>

8.1. General

It is proposed to refurbish the existing Former Ragged School building (18 Vine Hill) and to construct a new hotel with a single or two-storey basement in the car park / garage area (15-29 Eyre St Hill). Ground investigation works are believed to be taking place on site prior to any construction.

9. Ground Conditions

9.1. General Geology

The British Geological Survey (BGS) map shows the bedrock geology of the site to be underlain by the London Clay Formation – clay, silt and sand, of the Palaeogene Period. The superficial deposits are comprised of Sand and Gravel of the Quaternary Period.

9.2. Site Specific Geology

Site specific geotechnical data was not available during the production of this report.



10. <u>Site History</u>

10.1. Introduction

The purpose of this section is to identify the composition of the site pre and post-WWII. It is important to establish the historical use of the site, as this may indicate the site's relation to potential sources of UXO as well as help with determining factors such as the land use, groundcover, likely frequency of access and signs of bomb damage.

10.2. Ordnance Survey Historical Maps

Relevant historical maps were obtained for this report and are presented in **Annex D.** See below for a summary of the site history shown on acquired mapping.

WWI Period		
Date Scale Description		Description
1916	1:2,500	This map shows that an institute occupied the western part of the site, while the eastern part of the site was occupied with structures and part of a road.

Post-WWII			
Date	Scale	Description	
1952 – 1953	1:1,250	This map shows that while the western part of the site was still occupied with structures, the eastern part of the site looks to have been cleared, apart from three new buildings in the south of the site. The road has moved eastwards, and now does not appear as part of the site.	
1965 – 1968	1;2,500	The site does not appear to have significantly changed since the previous map edition. Some construction may have occurred in the eastern part of the site.	
1976	1:1,250	The site does not appear to have significantly changed since the previous map edition. Some construction appears to have occurred in the eastern part of the site.	



10.3. Goad Fire Insurance Mapping

Available pre and post-WWII fire insurance plans for the site were obtained by 1st Line Defence. These are comprehensive street plans detailing the structure and uses of individual buildings. The plans were originally designed to assist the fire insurance industry. See **Annex E** for the mapping with the site boundary outlined accordingly.

During WWII	
Date Description	
1942	The western part of the site is occupied by buildings, while the eastern part of the site is currently vacant. This map does not appear to show wartime damage.

Post-WWII	
Date	Description
1951	The buildings within the west of the site do not appear to have significantly changed since the previous map edition. Three new temporary structures have been constructed in the east of the site. The area immediately west of the site is labelled as 'cleared due to enemy action'.
1967	The majority of structures within the site do not appear to have changed since the previous map edition.

10.4. Historical Aerial Photographs of the Site

Historical aerial photographs have been consulted from the Aerofilms collection available from Britain From Above. These photographs provide a view of the site in 1934 & 1947 (see **Annex F**). See below for a description of each photograph.

Title of Photograph	Comments
General Post Office Mount Pleasant complex and environs, Clerkenwell, 1934	This oblique aerial image shows the site to appear similar to the 1916 historic OS mapping edition. The large building in the west of the site can be seen, along with the majority of the smaller structures in the east of the site. These structures do not appear to be in a good condition.
The Bourne Estate and environs, Holborn, 1947	This oblique image shows the site post-war. The structures in the east of the site have been fully cleared, matching up with the 1942 Goad Mapping. Clearance also appears to have taken place to the west of the site, along with a large area of clearance to the north-east of the site, on the other side of Eyre Street Hill.



11. Aerial Bombing Introduction

11.1. General

During WWI and WWII, many towns and cities across the UK were subjected to bombing which often resulted in extensive damage to city centres, docks, rail infrastructure and industrial areas. The poor accuracy of WWII targeting technology and the nature of bombing techniques often resulted in neighbouring areas to targets sustaining collateral damage.

In addition to raids which concentrated on specific targets, indiscriminate bombing of large areas also took place, this occurred most prominently in the London 'Blitz', though affected many other towns and cities. As discussed in the following sections, a proportion of the bombs dropped on the UK did not detonate as designed. Although extensive efforts were made to locate and deal with these UXBs at the time, many still remain buried and can present a potential risk to construction projects.

The main focus of research for this report will concern German aerial delivered weapons dropped during WWII, although WWI bombing will also be considered.

11.2. Generic Types of WWII German Aerial-delivered Ordnance

An understanding of the type and characteristics of the ordnance used by the Luftwaffe during WWII allows an informed assessment of the hazards posed by any unexploded items that may remain in situ on a site.

Generic Types of WWII German Aerial Delivered Ordnance		
Туре	Frequency	Likelihood of detection
High Explosive (HE) bombs	In terms of weight of ordnance dropped, HE bombs were the most frequently deployed by the Luftwaffe during WWII.	Although efforts were made to identify the presence of unexploded ordnance following an air raid, often the damage and destruction caused by detonated bombs made observation of UXB entry holes impossible. The entry hole of an unexploded bomb can be as little as 20cm in diameter and was easily overlooked in certain ground conditions (see Annex H). Furthermore, ARP documents describe the danger of assuming that damage, actually caused by a large UXB, was due to an exploded 50kg bomb. UXBs therefore present the greatest risk to present–day intrusive works.
Aerial or Parachute mines (PM)	There were deployed less frequently than HE and IBs due to size, cost and the difficulty of deployment.	If functioning correctly, PMs generally would have had a slow rate of descent and were very unlikely to have penetrated the ground. Where the parachute failed, mines would have simply shattered on impact if the main charge failed to explode. There have been extreme cases when these items have been found unexploded. However, in these scenarios, the ground was either extremely soft or the munition fell into water.
1kg Incendiary bombs (IB)	In terms of the number of weapons dropped, small IBs were the most numerous. Millions of these were dropped throughout WWII.	IBs had very limited penetration capability and in urban areas would often have been located in post-raid surveys. If they failed to initiate and fell in water, on soft vegetated ground, or bombed rubble, they could have gone unnoticed.
Large Incendiary bombs (IB)	These were not as common as the 1kg IBs, although they were more frequently deployed than PMs and AP bomblets.	If large IBs did penetrate the ground, complete combustion did not always occur and in such cases they could remain a risk to intrusive works.
Anti-personnel (AP) bomblets	These were not commonly used and are generally considered to pose a low risk to most works in the UK.	SD2 bomblets were packed into containers holding between 6 and 108 submunitions. They had little ground penetration ability and should have been located by the post-raid survey unless they fell into water, dense vegetation or bomb rubble.

Images and brief summaries of the characteristics of the above listed German aerial delivered ordnance are presented in **Annex G**.



11.3. Failure Rate of German Aerial-delivered Ordnance

It has been estimated that 10% of WWII German aerial delivered HE bombs failed to explode as designed. Reasons for why such weapons might have failed to function as designed include:

- Malfunction of the fuze or gain mechanism (manufacturing fault, sabotage by forced labour or faulty installation).
- Many were fitted with a clockwork mechanism that could become immobilised on impact.
- Failure of the bomber aircraft to arm the bombs due to human error or an equipment defect.
- Jettisoning the bomb before it was armed or from a very low altitude. This most likely occurred if the bomber aircraft was under attack or crashing.

From 1940 to 1945 bomb disposal teams dealt with a total of 50,000 explosive items of 50kg, over, 7,000 anti-aircraft projectiles and 300,000 beach mines. Unexploded ordnance is still regularly encountered across the UK, see press articles in **Annex I**.

11.4. V-Weapons

Hitler's 'V-weapon' campaign began from mid-1944. It used newly developed unmanned cruise missiles and rockets. The V-1 known as the *flying bomb* or *pilotless aircraft* and the V-2, a long range rocket, were launched from bases in Germany and occupied Europe. A total of 2,419 V-1s and 517 V-2s were recorded in the London Civil Defence region alone.

Although these weapons caused considerable damage their relatively low numbers allowed accurate records of strikes to be maintained. These records have mostly survived. There is a negligible risk from unexploded V-weapons on land today since even if the 1,000kg warhead failed to explode, the weapons are so large that they would have been observed and the risk dealt with at the time. Therefore, V-weapons are referenced in this report not as a viable risk factor, but primarily in order to help account for evidence of damage and clearance reported.



12. UXB Ground Penetration

12.1. General

An important consideration when assessing the risk from a UXB is the likely maximum depth of burial. There are several factors which determine the depth that an unexploded bomb will penetrate:

- Mass and shape of bomb.
- Height of release.
- Velocity and angle of bomb.
- Nature of the ground cover.
- Underlying geology.

Geology is perhaps the most important variable. If the ground is soft, there is a greater potential of deeper penetration. For example, peat and alluvium are easier to penetrate than gravel and sand, whereas layers of hard strata will significantly retard and may stop the trajectory of a UXB.

12.2. The J-Curve Effect

J-curve is the term used to describe the characteristic curve commonly followed by an aerial delivered bomb dropped from height after it penetrates the ground. Typically, as the bomb is slowed by its passage through underlying soils, its trajectory curves towards the surface. Many UXBs are found with their nose cone pointing upwards as a result of this effect. More importantly however is the resulting horizontal offset from the point of entry. This is typically a distance of about one third of the bomb's penetration depth, but can be up to 15m.

12.3. WWII UXB Penetration Studies

During WWII the Ministry of Home Security undertook a major study on actual bomb penetration depths, carrying out statistical analysis on the measured depths of 1,328 bombs as reported by bomb disposal (BD) teams. Conclusions were made as to the likely average and maximum depths of penetration of different sized bombs in different geological strata.

For example, the largest common German bomb (500kg) had a likely concluded penetration depth of 6m in sand or gravel but 11m in clay. The maximum observed depth for a 500kg bomb was 11.4m and for a 1,000kg bomb 12.8m. Theoretical calculations suggested that significantly greater penetration depths were probable.

12.4. Site Specific Bomb Penetration Considerations

When considering an assessment of the bomb penetration at the site of proposed works the following parameters have been used:

- WWII geology London Clay Formation.
- Impact angle and velocity 10-15° from vertical and 270 metres per second.
- Bomb mass and configuration The 500kg SC HE bomb, without retarder units or armour piercing nose (this was the largest of the common bombs used against Britain).

It has not been possible to determine maximum bomb penetration capabilities at this stage due to the lack or limitations of site specific borehole geotechnical information. An assessment can be made once such information becomes available or by an UXO Specialist on-site.



13. Initiation of Unexploded Ordnance

13.1. General

Unexploded ordnance does not spontaneously explode. All high explosive filling requires significant energy to create the conditions for detonation to occur. In the case of unexploded German bombs discovered within the construction site environment, there are a number of potential initiation mechanisms.

13.2. UXB Initiation Mechanisms

UXB Initiation	
Direct Impact	Unless the fuze or fuze pocket is struck, there needs to be a significant impact e.g. from piling or large and violent mechanical excavation, onto the main body of the weapon to initiate a buried iron bomb. Such violent action can cause the bomb to detonate.
Re- starting the Clockwork Fuze	A small proportion of German WWII bombs employed clockwork fuzes. It is probable that significant corrosion would have taken place within the fuze mechanism over the last 70+ years that would prevent clockwork mechanisms from functioning. Nevertheless, it was reported that the clockwork fuze in a UXB dealt with by 33 EOD Regiment in Surrey in 2002 did re-start.
Friction Impact	The most likely scenario resulting in the detonation of a UXB is friction impact initiating the shock-sensitive fuze explosive. The combined effects of seasonal changes in temperature and general degradation over time can cause explosive compounds to crystallise and extrude out from the main body of the bomb. It may only require a limited amount of energy to initiate the extruded explosive which could detonate the main charge.

Annex I details incidents where intrusive works have caused items of UXO to detonate, resulting in death or injury and damage to plant.

13.3. Effects of Detonation

When considering the potential consequences of a detonation, it is necessary to identify the significant receptors that may be affected. The receptors that may potentially be at risk from a UXO detonation on a construction site will vary depending on the site specific conditions but can be summarised as follows:

- People site workers, local residents and general public.
- Plant and equipment construction plant on site.
- Services subsurface gas, electricity, telecommunications.
- Structures not only visible damage to above ground buildings, but potentially damage to foundations and the weakening of support structures.
- Environment introduction of potentially contaminating materials.



14. The Risk from German Air Delivered UXBs

14.1. World War I

During WWI London was targeted and bombed by Zeppelin Airships as well as Gotha and Giant fixedwing aircraft. An estimated 250 tons of ordnance (high explosive and incendiary bombs) was dropped on Greater London, more than half of which fell on the City of London (see **Annex J** for a WWI bomb plot map of London).

Two significant WWI raids are shown to affect areas close to the site. A Zeppelin raid on the $8^{th}/9^{th}$ September 1915 dropped bombs over an area of central London, including Bedford Row to the north of the site. Another Zeppelin raid, on the $13^{th}/14^{th}$ October 1915 involved bombing incidents close to the site, at Gray's Inn. Whilst this shows bombing in areas close to the site, no WWI bombing is shown to have taken place within the site area or in an immediate proximity.

WWI bombs were generally smaller than those used in WWII and were dropped from a lower altitude. This resulted in limited UXB penetration depths. Aerial bombing was often such a novelty at the time that it attracted public interest and even spectators to watch the raids in progress. For these reasons there is a limited risk that UXBs passed undiscovered in the urban environment. When combined with the relative infrequency of attacks and an overall low bombing density the risk from WWI UXBs is considered low and will not be further addressed in this report.

14.2. World War II Bombing of Holborn

The Luftwaffe's main objective for the attacks on London was to inhibit the capital's commercial output. To achieve this they targeted the docks, warehouses, wharves, railway lines, factories and power stations. As the war progressed this strategy gradually changed to the indiscriminate bombing of civilian areas in an attempt to subvert public morale.

During WWII the site was located within the Metropolitan Borough of Holborn, which sustained a very high density of bombing as represented by bomb density data figures and maps, see **Annex K**. The density of bombing in Holborn can be attributed largely to its position in central London meaning that it was at the centre of the Luftwaffe's targeted campaign against the capital. Holborn is home to many historic buildings and commercial institutions that made obvious targets.

Records of bombing incidents in the civilian areas of London/the region were collected by the Air Raid Precautions wardens and collated by the Civil Defence Office. Some other organisations, such as the London Port Authority and railways, maintained separate records. Records would be in the form of typed or hand written incident notes, maps and statistics. Bombing data was carefully analysed, not only due to the requirement to identify those parts of the country most needing assistance, but also in an attempt to find patterns in the Germans' bombing strategy in order to predict where future raids might take place.

Records of bombing incidents for Holburn are presented in the following sections.



14.3. WWII Home Office Bombing Statistics

The following table summarises the quantity of German bombs (excluding 1kg incendiaries and antipersonnel bombs) falling on the Metropolitan Borough of Holborn between 1940 and 1945.

Record of German Ordnance Dropped on the Metropolitan Borough of Holborn		
Area Acreage 406		
	High Explosive Bombs (all types)	354
	Parachute Mines	7
suo	Oil Bombs	8
Weap	Phosphorus Bombs	0
	Fire Pot	0
	Pilotless Aircraft (V1)	4
	Long Range Rockets (V2)	1
Total		374
Number of Items per 1,000 acres		921.2

Source: Home Office Statistics

This table does not include UXO found during or after WWII.

Detailed records of the quantity and locations of the 1kg incendiary and anti-personnel bombs were not routinely maintained by the authorities as they were frequently too numerous to record. Although the risk relating to IBs is lesser than that relating to larger HE bombs, they were designed to inflict damage and injury and should therefore not be dismissed. Therefore, they should not be overlooked in assessing the general risk to personnel and equipment. Anti-personnel bombs were used in much smaller quantities and are rarely found today but are potentially more dangerous.

14.4. London Civil Defence Region ARP Bomb Census Maps

During WWII, the ARP Department within the Research and Experiments Branch of the Ministry of Home Security produced consolidated, weekly and V-1 pilotless aircraft bomb census maps for the London Civil Defence Region. These maps collectively shows the approximate locations of bombs, mines and rockets. The site area was checked on each available map sheet, those showing bomb incidents on and in the immediate vicinity of the site are discussed below and are presented in **Annexes L** & M.

London Consolidated Bomb Census Maps		
Date Range	Comments	
Night Bombing up to 7 th October 1940	No bomb strikes are recorded within the site and the immediate proximity of the site.	
7 th October 1940 to 6 th June 1941	One bomb is recorded on the site's south-western border.	



Г

London Weekly Bomb Census Maps			
Date Range	Comments		
7 th to 14 th October 1940	An Incendiary bomb 'shower' can be seen to the south-west of the site, along with a HE bomb to the south-east.		
21 st to 28 th October 1940	One bomb strike can be seen to the north-east and south-east of the site respectively.		
4 th to 11 th November 1940	One UXB and several bomb strikes can be seen to the south of the site.		
30 th December 1940 to 6 th January 1941	An incendiary bomb shower can be seen to the north of the site.		
5 th to 12 th May 1941	One bomb is recorded on the site's south-western border. Two further strikes are recorded to the north of the site.		

V-1 Pilotless Aircraft Bomb Census Map		
Date Range	Comments	
1944-45	No V1 strikes can be seen to be affecting the site and the site's proximity.	

14.5. Holborn Record of Air Raid Incidents

Bomb incident records were obtained from the Camden Local Studies And Archives Centre. A transcript of the associated written records for bombs which fell in the site area is presented in the table below.

Holborn Record Of Air Raid Incidents		
Date Range	Comments	
8 th September 1940	One Incendiary bomb on Rosebery Avenue, in the roadway. Extinguished by wardens.	
	A 50kg HE bomb fell on waste ground on Summer Street between Eyre Street Hill & Back Hill. No apparent damage.	
16 th September 1940	Incendiary bombing on Rosebery Avenue. No damage recorded.	
27 th September 1940	Incident recorded on Eyre Street Hill, but later recorded as 'nil'. The 'bomb strike' was found to be machine gun bullets.	
11 th October 1940	Incendiary bombing on Rosebery Square, Rosebery Avenue, Vine Hill & Eyre Street Hill. All bombs put out.	
15 th October 1940	Incendiary bombing on Rosebery Avenue, Vine Hill & Eyre Street Hill. Fire Brigade reported as dealing with the incidents. No casualties reported.	
5 th January 1941	Incendiary bombing on Rosebery Avenue & Eyre Street Hill. Fires put out.	
8 th March 1941	Incendiary bombing on Rosebery Square buildings, Rosebery Avenue. Fire put out.	
10 th May 1941	One 250kg bomb on Vine Hill Buildings near Rosebery Square / Avenue. Severe damage to rear of buildings and adjoining premises. Incendiary bombs & fire recorded in the front of the buildings. Two recorded as dead.	
22 nd March 1944	Damage was recorded as having been sustained from two HE bombs on Rosebery Square, Rosebery Avenue & Vine Hill. A large number of properties were registered as damaged in this incident. It is plausible that the cause of this was a parachute mine that exploded in the air.	
6 th July 1944	A V1 Flying bomb was recorded as having damaged number 37 Eyre Street Hill. The flying bomb actually landed in the Metropolitan Borough of Finsbury.	



14.6. London County Council Bomb Damage Map

A map created by London County Council (LCC) showing the extent of bomb damage in the city was compiled during/after WWII. The section showing the area of the site is described in the table below and presented in **Annex N**.

LCC Bomb Damage Map		
Date Range	Comments	
1940-1945	This mapping records damage requiring demolition' to the structures immediately west of the site during the war. The area in the east of the site is marked as 'cleared by the War Debris & Disposal Service'. This means that this area was likely cleared before any damage could be attributed to it, meaning that it was either damaged by bombing or in such a poor condition that it was cleared regardless.	

14.7. WWII-Era Aerial Photography

A high resolution scan of WWII-era aerial photography for the site area was obtained from the National Monuments Record Office (Historic England). This photograph provides a record of the potential composition of the site during the war, as well as its condition immediately following the war (see Annex O).

WWII-Era Aerial Photography		
Date	Description	
12 th August 1945	This photography shows the site in 1945, immediately after the end of the war. The main building in the western part of the site can be seen. The eastern part of the site appears to be cleared. What appears to damage can be seen to the west of the site boundary. A large area of clearance can be seen on the eastern side of Eyre Street Hill.	

14.8. Abandoned Bombs

A post air-raid survey of buildings, facilities, and installations would have included a search for evidence of bomb entry holes. If evidence of an entry hole was encountered, Bomb Disposal Officer Teams would normally have been requested to attempt to locate, render safe, and dispose of the bomb. Occasionally, evidence of UXBs was discovered but due to a relatively benign position, access problems, or a shortage of resources the UXB could not be exposed and rendered safe. Such an incident may have been recorded and noted as an 'abandoned bomb'.

Given the inaccuracy of WWII records and the fact that these bombs were 'abandoned', their locations cannot be considered definitive or the lists exhaustive. The MoD states that 'action to make the devices safe would be taken only if it was thought they were unstable'. It should be noted that other than the 'officially' abandoned bombs, there will inevitably be UXBs that were never recorded.

1st Line Defence holds no records of officially registered abandoned bombs at or near the site of the proposed works.

14.9. Bomb Disposal Tasks

The information service from the Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Archive Information Office at 33 Engineer Regiment (EOD) is currently facing considerable delay. It has therefore not been possible to include any updated official information regarding bomb disposal/clearance tasks with regards to this site. A database of known disposal/clearance tasks has been referred to which does not make reference to such instances occurring within the site of proposed works. If any relevant information is received at a later date GEA Ltd will be advised.



14.10. Evaluation of German Air Delivered UXB Risk

Factors	Conclusion
Density of Bombing It is important to consider the bombing density when assessing the possibility that UXBs remain in an area. High levels of bombing density could allow for error in record keeping due to extreme damage caused to the area.	The site was situated in the Metropolitan Borough of Holborn during WWII. According to Home Office statistics, this borough received 921.2 items per 1,000 acres, the highest bomb density within London and the country. London bomb census mapping, both consolidated and weekly, record one bomb strike on the site's south-western border. The Holborn Record Of Air Raid Incidents, obtained from Camden Archives, records this strike in roughly the same place in May 1941.
Damage If buildings or structures on a site sustained bomb or fire damage any resulting rubble and debris could have obscured the entry holes of unexploded bombs dropped during the same, or later, raids. Similarly, a High Explosive bomb strike in an area of open agricultural land will have caused soil disturbance, increasing the risk that a UXB entry hole would be overlooked.	LCC Bomb damage mapping records 'damage requiring demolition' to the structures immediately west of the site during the war. The area in the east of the site is marked as 'cleared by the War Debris & Disposal Service'. This means that this area was likely cleared before any damage could be attributed to it, meaning that it was either damaged by bombing or in such a poor condition that it was cleared regardless.
Access Frequency UXO in locations where access was irregular would have a greater chance of passing unnoticed than at those that were regularly occupied. The importance of a site to the war effort is also an important consideration as such sites are likely to have been both frequently visited and subject to post-raid checks for evidence of UXO.	The western part of the site, which was occupied by a large multi-storey structure, was likely accessed frequently throughout the war. The eastern part of the site was likely accessed less frequently, as it does not appear to have been occupied with structures throughout the war and was cleared at some point.
Ground Cover The nature of the ground cover present during WWII would have a substantial influence on any visual indication that may indicate UXO being present.	The western part of the site was occupied by buildings during the war. The eastern part of the site appears to have been cleared by 1942, and appears on 1945 photography to be undeveloped hard-standing land, post-clearance. While the groundcover in the west of the site would have been conductive to the evidence of UXO, this would not have been the case in the east of the site.
Bomb Failure Rate	There is no evidence to suggest that the bomb failure rate in the locality of the site would have been dissimilar to the 10% normally used.
Abandoned Bombs	1 st Line Defence holds no records of abandoned bombs at or within the site vicinity.
Bombing Decoy sites	1 st Line Defence could find no evidence of bombing decoy sites within the site vicinity.
Bomb Disposal Tasks	1 st Line Defence could find no evidence of bomb disposal tasks within the site boundary and immediate area.



15. The Risk from Allied Ordnance

15.1. General

The potential risk of encountering Allied ordnance on construction sites is particularly elevated in areas previously associated with military activity. This includes munitions deposited by military training exercises, dumped as a result of poor working practices, or deliberately placed to prevent adversary occupation and from other home defence activities. For example, contamination from items of Land Service (LSA) and Small Arms Ammunition (SAA) may result from historical occupation of an area or its use for military training.

It should be highlighted that there is no evidence that the site formerly had any military occupation or usage that could have led to contamination with such items of Allied ordnance. Despite this, urban areas such as the location of the site, can however be at risk from buried unexploded Anti-Aircraft projectiles fired during WWII – as addressed below.

15.2. Defending the UK From Aerial Attack

During WWII the Ministry of Defence employed a number of defence tactics against the Luftwaffe from bombing major towns, cities, manufacturing areas, ports and airfields. These can be divided into passive and active defences (examples are provided in the table below).

Active Defences	Passive Defences
 Anti-aircraft gun emplacements to engage enemy aircraft. 	 Blackouts and camouflaging to hinder the identification of Luftwaffe targets.
 Fighter aircraft to act as interceptors. Rockets and missiles were used later during WWII. 	 Decoy sites were located away from targets and used dummy buildings and lighting to replicate urban, military, or industrial areas.
	 Barrage balloons forced enemy aircraft to greater altitudes.
	 Searchlights were often used to track and divert adversary bomber crews during night raids.

Active defences such as anti-aircraft artillery present a greater risk of UXO contamination than passive defences. Unexploded ordnance resulting from dogfights and fighter interceptors is rarely encountered and difficult to accurately qualify.



15.3. Anti-Aircraft Artillery (AAA)

During WWII three main types of gun sites existed: heavy anti-aircraft (HAA), light anti-aircraft (LAA) and 'Z' batteries (ZAA). If the projectiles and rockets fired from these guns failed to explode or strike an aircraft they would descend back to land. The table below provides further information on the operation and ordnance associated with these type of weapons.

Anti-Aircraft Artillery				
Item	Description			
НАА	These large calibre guns such as the 3.7" QF (Quick Firing) were used to engage high flying enemy bombers., They often fired large HE projectiles, which were usually initiated by integral fuzes triggered by impact, area, time delay or a combination of aforementioned mechanisms The closest HAA was located approximately 3.7km south-west of the site, however the range of a projectile can be up to 15km.			
LAA	These mobile guns were intended to engage fast, low flying aircraft. They were typically rotated between locations on the perimeters of towns and strategically important industrial works. As they could be moved to new positions with relative ease when required, records of their locations are limited. The most numerous of these were the 40mm Bofors gun which could fire up to 120 x 40mm HE projectiles per minute to over 1,800m.			
Variations in HAA	Gun type	Calibre	Shell Weight	Shell Dimensions
and LSA	3.0 Inch	76mm	7.3kg	76mm x 356mm
Ammunition	3.7 Inch	94mm	12.7kg	94mm x 438mm
	4.5 Inch	114mm	24.7kg	114mm x 578mm
	40mm	40mm	0.9kg	40mm x 311mm
Z-AA	The three inch unrotated rocket/projectile known as the UP-3 had initially been developed for the Royal Navy. The UP-3 was also used in ground-based single and 128-round launchers known as "Z" batteries. The rocket, containing a high explosive warhead was often propelled by cordite.			
29mm Spigot Mortars (Blacker Bombards)	This was an infantry anti-tank weapon. A heavy steel rod (spigot) would be driven into the hollow tail of a projectile to ignite the explosive charge located in the rear of the projectile, and lead to it being propelled toward a target. It was not an effective method of air defence and was mainly used in defensive positons at key locations. If encountered, a spigot mortar projectile will resemble a mortar round, but with an elongated metal tail rod.			
Quick Firing (QF) 1 and 2 Pounder	QF 1 and 2 Pounders, or 'pom poms' were a light battery most often used by the navy. During the beginning of WWII they were used to defend targets in the absence of more effective LAA or HAA.			
Machine Gun Posts	These were established at some significant military and industrial positions. Machine guns were a largely ineffective form of AAA. Machine guns usually fired the .303 Round.			

The conditions in which an HAA or LAA projectiles may have fallen unnoticed within a site area are analogous to those regarding aerial delivered ordnance. For detailed analysis on the ground conditions and access frequency within the proposed site, see the evaluation of German Bombing Records in, <u>Section 14.10</u>

Unexploded HAA ammunition is likely to be found close to WWII ground level. If encountered, the high explosive fill and fragmentation hazard of these items could present a significant risk to workers and equipment.

40mm projectiles are similar in appearance and effect to SAA. However, they remain dangerous as they were fitted with an impact initiated explosive fuse that may cause harm if detonated.



Spigot mortar rounds do not lose their efficacy over time. If encountered they are likely to be unstable and easily initiated. If initiated a spigot mortar may result in harm and damage to persons and plant.

Z-battery rounds do not lose their effectiveness with age. Z-battery rockets were filled with a TNT based compound. If initiated the projectile may result in harm and damage to persons and plant.

If encountered, a QF pom pom round is comparable to a 40mm projectile.

Illustrations of Anti-Aircraft artillery, projectiles and rockets are presented at Annex P.

15.4. Evaluation of Allied Ordnance Risk

1st Line Defence has considered the following potential sources of Allied ordnance contamination:

Sources of Contamination	Conclusion
Military Camps Military camps present an elevated risk from ordnance simply due to the large military presence and likelihood of associated live ordnance training.	1 st Line Defence could find no evidence of a military camp within the site.
Anti-Aircraft Defences Anti-Aircraft defences were employed across the country. Proximity to anti- aircraft defences increases the chance of encountering AA projectiles.	1 st Line Defence could find no evidence of Anti-Aircraft defences such as a HAA or LAA gun emplacement occupying or bordering the site. The closest HAA was located approximately X.Xkm north-east of the site, however the range of a projectile can be up to 15km. The conditions in which HAA or LAA projectiles may have fallen unnoticed within a site footprint are analogous to those regarding German aerial delivered ordnance.
Home Guard Activity The Home Guard regularly undertook training and ordnance practice in open areas, as well as burying ordnance as part of anti-invasion defences.	Evidence of Home Guard training areas and activities is difficult to obtain. 1 st Line Defence has no evidence of any Home Guard activities on the site.
Defensive Positions Defensive positions suggest the presence of military activity, which is often indicative of ordnance storage, usage or disposal.	There is no evidence of any defensive features formerly located on or bordering the site footprint.
Training or firing ranges Areas of ordnance training saw historical ordnance usage in large numbers, often with inadequate disposal of expended and live items. The presence of these ranges significantly impact on the risk of encountering items of ordnance in their vicinity.	There is no evidence of such features affecting the site.



Defensive Minefields Minefields were placed in strategic areas to defend the country in the event of a German invasion. Minefields were not always cleared with an appropriate level of vigilance.	There is no evidence of defensive minefields affecting the site.
Ordnance Manufacture Ordnance manufacture indicates an increased chance that items of ordnance were stored, or disposed of, within a location.	No information of ordnance being stored, produced, or disposed of within the proposed site could be found.
Military Related Airfields Military airfields present an elevated risk from ordnance simply due to the large military presence and likelihood of associated live ordnance training or bombing practice.	The site was not situated within the perimeters or vicinity of a military airfield.

16. Ordnance Clearance and Post-WWII Ground Works

16.1. General

It is important to consider the extent to which any explosive ordnance clearance (EOC) activities or extensive ground works have occurred on site. This may indicate previous ordnance contamination or reduce the risk that ordnance remains undiscovered.

16.2. UXO Clearance

1st Line Defence has no evidence that any official ordnance clearance operations have taken place on site. Note however that we have not received confirmation of this fact from 33 EOD Regiment.

16.3. Post-war Redevelopment

The site does not appear to have been significantly developed post-war. The building in the west of the site does not appear to have changed, while the east of the site is occupied by hard-standing land. The risk from deep-buried unexploded bombs is only considered mitigated at locations where post war piling or deep foundations have taken place. Any smaller developments may have mitigated the risk from shallow-buried items somewhat.



17. <u>1st Line Defence Risk Assessment</u>

17.1. Risk Assessment Stages

Taking into account the quality of the historical evidence, the assessment of the overall risk from unexploded ordnance is based on the following five considerations:

- 1. That the site was contaminated with unexploded ordnance.
- 2. That unexploded ordnance remains on site.
- 3. That such items will be encountered during the proposed works.
- 4. That ordnance may be initiated by the works operations.
- 5. The consequences of encountering or initiating ordnance.

UXO Risk Assessment			
Quality of the Historical Record	The research has located and evaluated pre- and post-WWII Ordnance Survey maps, London WWII ARP bomb plots from 1940 to 1945, Holborn written records, bomb damage mapping, in-house data and pre- and post-WWII aerial imagery of the site. In general, the presence of recorded bombing incidents is consistent with evidence of damage.		
The Risk that the Site was Contaminated with UXO	 After considering the following facts, 1st Line Defence has assessed that there is a <u>Medium Risk</u> that items of unexploded German aerial delivered and anti-aircraft ordnance could have fallen unrecorded within the site boundary. The site was situated in the Metropolitan Borough of Holborn during WWII. According to Home Office statistics, this borough received 921.2 items per 1,000 acres, the highest bomb density within London and the country. London bomb census mapping, both consolidated and weekly, record one bomb strike on the site's south-western border. The Holborn Record Of Air Raid Incidents, obtained from Camden Archives, records this strike in roughly the same place in May 1941. LCC Bomb damage mapping records 'damage requiring demolition' to the structures immediately west of the site during the war. The area in the east of the site is marked as 'cleared by the War Debris & Disposal Service'. This means that this area was likely cleared before any damage could be attributed to it, meaning that it was either damaged by bombing or in such a poor condition that it was cleared regardless. The western part of the site, which was occupied by a large multi-storey structure, was likely accessed frequently throughout the war. The eastern part of the site was likely accessed frequently, as it does not appear to have been occupied with structures throughout the war and was cleared by 1942. This section appears on 1945 photography to be undeveloped hard-standing land, post-clearance. While the groundcover in the west of the site. Evidence from bomb mapping, damage mapping and incident records suggests that at least one strike occurred within the site's immediate proximity, to the west of the site. This caused significant damage. The eastern area of the site was marked as cleared on damage mapping. It is not know what caused this clearance. It is portioned the ware and was cleared on appear on the was deared on the site was marked as cleared on damage mapping		
	during the destruction of damaged properties. The combination of both this area and the bombing and damage recorded to the west of the site, means that no area of the site can be considered as 'low risk', due to the 'J-curve effect'. A buffer zone		



	has been placed around the damaged/cleared areas to account for the possibility
	that a UXB can end its trajectory at a lateral offset from point of entry – sometimes ending up beneath structures which survived the war intact.
	• There is no evidence that the site formerly had any military occupation or usage that could have led to contamination with items of Allied ordnance, such as LSA and SAA. The conditions in which HAA or LAA projectiles may have fallen unnoticed within the site boundary are however analogous to those regarding aerial delivered ordnance.
The Risk that UXO Remains on Site	The site does not appear to have been significantly developed post-war. The building in the west of the site does not appear to have changed, while the east of the site is occupied by hard-standing land. The risk from deep-buried unexploded bombs is only considered mitigated at locations where post war piling or deep foundations have taken place. Any smaller developments may have mitigated the risk from shallow-buried items somewhat.
The Risk that UXO may be Encountered during the	The most likely scenarios under which items of UXO could be encountered during construction works is during piling, drilling operations or bulk excavations for basement levels. The risk of encountering will depend on the extent of the works, such as the numbers of boreholes/piles (if required) and the volume of the excavations.
Works	An aerial delivered bombs may come to rest at any depth between just below ground level and its maximum penetration depth. Consequently there is also a possibility that UXBs could be encountered during shallow excavations (for services or site investigations) into the original WWII ground level.
	There is not considered to be any significant risk of encountering UXO during works planned within the footprint and down to the depth of any post-war buildings/excavations. Beyond these depths and away from these areas, a risk of encounter could remain.
The Risk that UXO may be Initiated	The risk that UXO could be initiated if encountered will depend on its condition, how it is found, and the energy with which it is struck. Certain construction activities such as piling and percussive drilling pose a greater risk of initiating UXO in comparison to machine excavation, where the force of impact is generally lower and the item is more likely to be observed.
	If a UXB is struck by piling or percussive drilling equipment, the force of the impact can be sufficient to detonate the main high explosive charge irrespective of the condition of the fuze or other components. Violent vibration might also impart enough energy to a chemical detonator for it to function, and there is a potential risk that clockwork fuzes could restart.
	If piling works are planned at the Ragged School site, there is a potential risk that a UXB, if present, could be initiated. The risk of initiation is assessed to be lower for any shallow intrusive works planned.
The Consequences of Encountering or Initiating Ordnance	The repercussions of the inadvertent detonation of items of UXO during intrusive ground works are potentially severe, both in terms of human and financial cost. A serious risk to life and limb, damage to plant and total site shutdown during follow-up investigations are potential outcomes.
	If appropriate risk mitigation measures are undertaken, the chances of initiating an item of UXO during ground works is comparatively low. The primary consequence of encounter of UXO will therefore be economic. This would be particularly notable in the case of sites with a high-profile or where it is necessary to evacuate the public from the surrounding area. A site may be closed from a few hours to a week with potentially significant cost in lost time.
	It should be noted that even the discovery of suspected or possible items of UXO during intrusive works (if handled solely through the authorities), may also involve loss of





production. Generally, the first action of the police in most cases will be to isolate the locale whilst awaiting military assistance, even if this becomes unnecessary.

17.2. Assessed Risk Level

Taking into consideration the findings of this study, 1st Line Defence has assessed that there is a <u>Medium Risk</u> from German and anti-aircraft unexploded ordnance at the site of proposed works.

Medium Risk

	Risk Level			
Ordnance Type	Negligible	Low	Medium	High
German Unexploded HE Bombs			\checkmark	
German 1kg Incendiary Bombs			\checkmark	
Anti-Aircraft Artillery Projectiles			\checkmark	
Allied Military Land Service Ammunition (Grenades, Mortars etc.)	\checkmark			



18. <u>Proposed Risk Mitigation Methodology</u>

18.1. General

The following risk mitigation measures are recommended to support the proposed works at the Ragged School site:

Type of Work	Recommended Mitigation Measure		
All Works	 Site Specific UXO Awareness Briefings to all personnel conducting intrusive works. As a minimum precaution, all personnel working on the site should be briefed on the basic identification of UXO and what to do in the event of encountering a suspect item. This should in the first instance be undertaken by a UXO Specialist. Posters and information on the risk of UXO can be held in the site office for reference. 		
Shallow Intrusive Works/Open	Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) Specialist Presence on Site to support shallow intrusive works		
Excavations	When on site the role of the UXO Specialist would include:		
	 Monitoring works using visual recognition and instrumentation, including immediate response to reports of suspicious objects or suspected items of ordnance that have been recovered by the ground workers on site. Providing UXO awareness briefings to any uninformed staff and advise staff of the need to modify working practices to take account of the ordnance risk. To aid incident management which would involve liaison with the local authorities and police should ordnance be identified and present an explosive hazard. 		
Borehole/Piles	 Intrusive Magnetometer Survey of all borehole and pile locations down to a maximum bomb penetration depth: 		
	1 st Line Defence can deploy a range of intrusive magnetometer techniques to clear pile locations. The appropriate technique is influenced by a number of factors, but most importantly the site's ground conditions. The appropriate survey methodology would be confirmed once the enabling works have been completed.		

In making this assessment and recommending these risk mitigation measures, if known, the works outlined in the 'Scope of the Proposed Works' section were considered. Should the planned works be modified or additional intrusive engineering works be considered, 1st Line Defence should be consulted to see if a re-assessment of the risk or mitigation recommendations is necessary.

1st Line Defence Limited

26th March 2018

This Report has been produced in compliance with the Construction Industry Research and Information Association (CIRIA) C681 guidelines for the writing of Detailed UXO Risk Assessments.



Bibliography

- Bates, H. E., Flying Bombs over England, Frogletts Publications Ltd., 1994
- Castle, I., London 1914-17: The Zeppelin Menace, Osprey Publications Ltd., 2008
- Castle, I., London 1917-18: The Bomber Blitz, Osprey Publications Ltd., 2010
- Dobinson, C., AA Command: Britain's Anti-Aircraft Defences of the Second World War, Methuen., 2001
- Fegan, T., The 'Baby Killers': German Air raids on Britain in the First World War, Leo Cooper Ltd., 2002
- Fleischer, W., German Air-Dropped Weapons to 1945, Midland Publishing., 2004
- Jappy, M. J., Danger UXB: The Remarkable Story of the Disposal of Unexploded Bombs during the Second World War, Channel 4 Books., 2001
- Morris, J., German Air Raids on Britain: 1914 1918, The Naval & Military Press., 1993
- Price, A., *Blitz on Britain, The Bomber Attacks on the United Kingdom 1939 1945*, Purnell Book Services Ltd., 1977
- Ramsey, W., *The Blitz Then and Now, Volume 1*, Battle of Britain Prints International Ltd., 1987
- Ramsey, W., *The Blitz Then and Now, Volume 2*, Battle of Britain Prints International Ltd., 1988
- Ramsey, W., *The Blitz Then and Now, Volume 3*, Battle of Britain Prints International Ltd., 1990
- Scofield, J., Modern Military Matters., Council for British Archaeology., 2004
- Stone, K., et al., Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) A Guide For The Construction Industry (C681)., CIRIA, 2009
- Ward, L., *The London County Council: Bomb Damage Maps: 1939 1945,* Thames and Hudson., 2015
- Whiting, C., *Britain Under Fire: The Bombing of Britain's Cities 1940-1945*, Pen & Sword Books Ltd., 1999


This report has been prepared by 1st Line Defence Limited with all reasonable care and skill. The report contains historical data and information from third party sources. 1st Line Defence Limited has sought to verify the accuracy and comprehensiveness of this information where possible but cannot be held accountable for any inherent errors. Furthermore, whilst every reasonable effort has been made to locate and access all relevant historical information, 1st Line Defence cannot be held responsible for any changes to risk level or mitigation recommendations resulting from documentation or other information which may come to light at a later date.

This report was written by, is owned by and is copyrighted to 1st Line Defence Limited. It contains important 1st Line Defence information which is disclosed only for the purposes of the client's evaluation and assessment of the project to which the report is about. The contents of this report shall not, in whole or in part be used for any other purpose apart from the assessment and evaluation of the project; be relied upon in any way by the person other than the client, be disclosed to any affiliate of the client's company who is not required to know such information, nor to any third party person, organisation or government, be copied or stored in any retrieval system, be reproduced or transmitted in any form by photocopying or any optical, electronic, mechanical or other means, without prior written consent of the Managing Director, 1st Line Defence Limited, Unit 3, Maple Park, Essex Road, Hoddesdon EN11 0EX. Accordingly, no responsibility or liability is accepted by 1st Line Defence towards any other person in respect of the use of this report or reliance on the information contained within it, except as may be designated by law for any matter outside the scope of this report.

Site Location Maps

Α





	DISTLINE DEFENCE Unit 3, Maple Park Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 OEX Re	Client:	GEA Ltd		Approximate site boundary	
		Project:	Ragged School			N
		Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Google Maps		
	Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Broducod	by and Convright to 1st Line	Defense Limited Registered in Fr	adand and Wales with CDN: 7717062 VAT No. 139 9922 70	



IST LINE DEFENCE	Client:	GEA Ltd		Approximate site boundary	Α
Unit 3. Maple Park	Project:	Ragged School			N
Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 OEX	Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Google Earth [™]	[™] Mapping Services	
Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Produced	d by and Copyright to 1st Line	Defence Limited. Registered in Er	ngland and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79	



С



 Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk
 I

 Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020
 Produced by and Copyright to 1st Line Defence Limited. Registered in England and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79



IST LINE DEFENCE	Client:	GEA Ltd		Approximate site boundary	A
Unit 3. Maple Park	Project:	Ragged School			N
Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX	Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Landmark Map	05	
Email: Info@1stlinedefence.co.uk					



IST LINE DEFENCE	Client:	GEA Ltd		Approximate site boundary	A
Unit 3. Maple Park	Project:	Ragged School			N
Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX	Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Landmark Map	05	
Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Produced	l by and Copyright to 1st Line	Defence Limited. Registered in Er	ngland and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79	



۲	LIST LINE DEFENCE Unit 3, Maple Park Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX Email: info@15tlinedefence.co.uk Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Client:	GEA Ltd		Approximate site boundary	А
		Project:	Ragged School			N
		Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Landmark Map	05	
		Produced	by and Copyright to 1st Line	Defence Limited. Registered in Er	ngland and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79	

1976 Historical Map



IST LINE DEFENCE	Client:	GEA Ltd		Approximate site boundary	A
Unit 3. Maple Park	Project:	Ragged School			N
Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX	Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Landmark Map	05	
Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk					

Goad Mapping – Key



۲	IST LINE DEFENCE Unit 3, Maple Park Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Client:	GEA Ltd			
		Project:	Ragged School	·		
		Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Landmark Maps		
		Produced	l by and Copyright to 1st Line	Defence Limited. Registered in England and Wales with CR	N: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79	

Goad Mapping – 1942





Unit 3, Maple Parl
Essex Road, Hoddesdon
Hertfordshire. EN11 0E
Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.ul
Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020

Project:	Ragged School		N			
Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Landmark Maps				
Produced by and Convright to 1st Line Defence Limited Registered in England and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No. 128 8833 79						

Goad Mapping – 1951



IST LINE DEFENCE	Client:	GEA Ltd		Approximate site boundary	A
Unit 3, Maple Park Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire, EN11 0EX	Project:	Ragged School			N
	Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Landmark Ma	ps	
Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Produced	by and Copyright to 1st Line	Defence Limited. Registered in E	ngland and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79	

Goad Mapping – 1967





IST LINE DEFENCE	Client:	GEA Ltd	Approximate site boundary	Α
	Project:	Ragged School		N
Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX	Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Landmark Maps	
Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Produced	by and Copyright to 1st Line	Defence Limited Registered in England and Wales with CRN: 7717863 VAT No: 128 8823 70	



1947 Aerial Oblique



IST LINE DEFENCE	Client:	GEA Ltd		A
	Project:	oject: Ragged School		N
Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX	Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Britain From Above	
Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Produced	by and Copyright to 1st Line	Defence Limited. Registered in England and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79	

Annex:

F

1934 Aerial Oblique

Examples of German Air-Delivered Ordnance

SC 50kg High Explosive Bomb

Bomb Weight	40-54kg (88-119lb)	C C
Explosive Weight	c25kg (55lb)	
Fuze Type	Impact fuze/electro-mechanical time delay fuze	Loitwerk
Bomb Dimensions	1,090 x 280mm (42.9 x 11.0in)	Zwischenring Schreuben Rodecolatte
Body Diameter	200mm (7.87in)	Aufhlingestlick -
Use	Against lightly damageable materials, hangars, railway rolling stock, ammunition depots, light bridges and buildings up to three stories.	Aufhangeðae Zdr. Haltering Dichtungsacheibe Mandlochhillae
Remarks	The smallest and most common conventional German bomb. Nearly 70% of bombs dropped on the UK were 50kg.	







SC 250kg Hig	n Explosive Bomb
Bomb Weight	245-256kg (540-564lb)
Explosive Weight	125-130kg (276-287lb)
Fuze Type	Electrical impact/mechanical time delay fuze.
Bomb Dimensions	1640 x 512mm (64.57 x 20.16in)
Body Diameter	368mm (14.5in)
Use	Against railway installations, embankments, flyovers, underpasses, large buildings and below-ground installations.
Remarks	It could be carried by almost all German bomber aircraft, and was used to notable effect by the Junkers Ju-87 Stuka (Sturzkampfflugzeug or dive-bomber).

SC 500kg High Explosive Bomb

470mm (18.5in)

below-ground installations.

Dimensions

Use

Remarks

Body Diameter







480-520kg (1,058-1,146lb) Bomb Weight 250-260kg (551-573lb) Explosive Weight Fuze Type Electrical impact/mechanical time delay fuze. Bomb 1957 x 640mm (77 x 25.2in)







IST LINE DEFENCE	Client:
Unit 3. Maple Park	Project:
Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX	Ref:

Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020

forming

GEA Ltd

Ragged School

DA6188-00

Source: Various sources

Annex:

G1

Produced by and Copyright to 1st Line Defence Limited. Registered in England and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79

Leitwerk (um 45° wersetzt)

Examples of German Air-Delivered Ordnance

SD2 Anti-Personnel 'Butterfly Bomb'

Bomb Weight	2kg (4.41lb)
Explosive Weight	7.5oz (225 grams) of Amatol surrounded by a layer of bituminous composition.
Fuze Type	41 fuze (time) , 67 fuze (clockwork time delay) or 70 fuze (anti-handling device)
Body Diameter	3in (7.62 cm) diameter, 3.1in (7.874) long
Use	Designed as an anti-personnel/ fragmentation weapon. They were delivered by air, being dropped in containers of 23-144 sub-munitions that opened at a predetermined height, thus scattering the bombs.
Remarks	Very rare. First used against Ipswich in 1940, but were also dropped on Kingston upon Hull, Grimsby and Cleethorpes in June 1943, amongst various other targets in UK. As the bombs fell the outer case flicked open by springs which caused four light metal drogues with a protruding 5 inch steel cable to deploy in the form of a parachute & wind vane which armed the device as it span.





Parachute Mine (Luftmine B / LMB)

Bomb Weight	Approx. 990kg (2176lb)
Explosive Weight	Approx. 705kg (1,554lb)
Fuze Type	Impact/ Time delay / hydrostatic pressure fuze
Dimensions	2.64m x 0.64m (3.04m with parachute housing)
Use	Against civilian, military and industrial targets. Used as blast bombs and designed to detonate above ground level to maximise damage to a wider area.
Remarks	Deployed a parachute when dropped in order to control its descent. Had the potential to destroy a whole street of housing in a 100m radius.





C 1000kg			
Bomb Weight	993-1027kg (2,189-2,264lb)		
Explosive Weight	530-620kg (1168-1367lb)	SASE PLATE	741 5765 8545
Fuze Type	Electrical impact/mechanical time delay fuze.		
Filling	Mixture of 40% amatol and 60% TNT, but when used as an anti-shipping bomb it was filled with Trialen 105, a mixture of 15% RDX, 70% TNT and 15% aluminium powder.		FUZE FOGKEX
Bomb Dimensions	2800 x 654mm (110 x 25.8in)		
Body Diameter	654mm (18.5in)	PORWARD BETTER	
Use	SC type bombs are General Purpose Bombs used primarily for general demolition work. Constructed of parallel walls with comparatively heavy noses. They are usually of three piece welded construction		

IST LINE DEFENCE Unit 3, Maple Park Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX

Project: Ragged School

Ref: DA6188-00 Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020

Source: Various sources

Annex:

G2

German Incendiary Bombs

1kg Incendiary Bomb

Bomb Weight	1.0 and 1.3kg (2.2 and 2.9lb)
Explosive Weight	680g (1.3lb) Thermite 8-15gm Explosive Nitropenta
Fuze Type	Impact fuze
Bomb Dimensions	350 x 50mm (13.8 x 1.97in)
Body Diameter	50mm (1.97in)
Use	As incendiary – dropped in clusters against towns and industrial complexes
Remarks	Magnesium alloy case. Sometimes fitted with high explosive charge. The body is a cylindrical alloy casting







C50 A Incendiary Bomb

Bomb Weight	c41kg (90.4lb)		
Explosive Weight	0.03kg (0.066lb)	Laitwerk (um 45" versetzt)	
Incendiary Filling	12kg (25.5lb) liquid filling with phosphor igniters in glass phials. Benzine 85%; Phosphorus 4%; Pure Rubber 10%	Eodenschraube Erandusze	AP
Fuze Type	Electrical impact fuze	Glaszampulle mit Phosphor	Propriorus Palaza
Bomb Dimensions	1,100 x 280mm (43.2 x 8in)	e Curtainong Verdämung Verdämung Verdämung Verdämung Verdämung Verdämung	n
Use	Against all targets where an incendiary effect is required	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
Remarks	Early fill was a phosphorous/carbon disulphide incendiary mixture		W

Flam C-250 Oil Bomb

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Bomb Weight	125kg (276lb)	
Explosive Weight	1kg (2.2lb)	
Fuze Type	Super-fast electrical impact fuze	
Filling	Mixture of 30% petrol and 70% crude oil	S Vardamung
Bomb Dimensions	1,650 x 512.2mm (65 x 20.2in)	Differences
Body Diameter	368mm (14.5in)	Se Contraction Con
Use	Often used for surprise attacks on ground troops, against troop barracks and industrial installations. Thin casing – not designed for ground penetration	Spromystelfyrefling Elektroistöfol Schoizkespe

IST LINE DEFENCE
Unit 3, Maple Park
Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX
Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020

Client: GEA Ltd

Project: Ragged School

Ref: DA6188-00 Source: Various sources

Produced by and Copyright to 1st Line Defence Limited. Registered in England and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79

G3

Annex:



Client: GEA Ltd
Project: Ragged School
Unit 3, Maple Park
Essex Road, Hoddesdon,
Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX
Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk

Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020

Recent Unexploded Bomb Finds, UK



Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020

Ref[.]

DA6188-00

Source: BBC News

Produced by and Copyright to 1st Line Defence Limited. Registered in England and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79

Annex:

Examples of Unexpected Detonation of WWII Bombs

Annex: 12

BASF has confirmed that an explosive device, most likely a World War II-era bomb, caused the blast that left one person injured Tuesday at a plant construction site in Germany.

The explosion was reported at BASF's Ludwigshafen toluene diisocyanate (TDI) plant, which recently broke ground for a 300,000 metric tons per year TDI production plant and other construction to expand its facilities.



BASF is expanding their its Ludwigshafen location by expanding several plants and building a TDI plant, which was the site of an explosion on Tuesday (Feb. 26). One person was injured in the blast, which BASF believes was caused when excavation work detonated a bomb.

Early reports had speculated that excavation work had detonated a bomb from World War II. While the age of the bomb has not been confirmed, BASF has said that an explosive device was detonated.

BASF Provides Some Details

Responding to a request from *PaintSquare News* for more information on Wednesday (Feb. 27), BASF's manager of media relations and corporate communications Europe. Ursula von Stetten, wrote in an email, "So here [are] the facts: The delonation took place at 10:00 a.m. One person was injured; the injury is not serious. He will be kept in the hospital for some days.

"Cause of the detonation was an explosive device, presumably a bomb deriving from the Second World War. The device detonated when grounding work was done. No details on [a] delay [are] available. At the moment, the exact circumstances of the incident are [being] evaluated."

World War II Bomb Explodes on German Motorway

A highway construction worker in Germany accidentally struck an unexploded World War II bomb, causing an explosion which killed him and wrecked several passing cars.

Recommend 1



Tweet 0

A cutting machine lies wrecked by the side of the A3 motorway next to a small crater left by the explosion. A World War II bomb has exploded during construction work on a German highway, killing one worker and injuring several motorists who were driving past, police said.

The worker had been cutting through the road surface near the south-western town of Aschaffenburg when his machine struck the bomb and triggered it. Police said they weren't sure yet what type of bomb it was. "The explosion seems to have been too small for it to have been an aircraft bomb," a police spokesman said.

The A3 Autobahn linking the cities of Frankfurt and Würzburg has been blocked in both directions.

More than 60 years since the end of World War II, construction workers still frequently unearth unexploded bombs and it is not uncommon for whole city districts to be cordoned off and even evacuated while bomb disposal experts defuse them.

Indeed, just last week, some 22,000 people were evacuated from their homes in Hanover when three World War II bombs were discovered.

Allied pilots rained nearly 2 million tons of explosives on Germany during the war. Landmines, hand grenades, mortar bombs and anti-tank devices from the fighting on German soil at the end of the war are also found, and authorities say it will take decades before the country is cleared of duds.

Between 400 and 600 bombs are discovered a year in the state of North Rhine-Westphalia alone, where the heavily industrialized Ruhr region was a major target for Allied bombers.



WWII bomb injures 17 at Hattingen construction site

ublished: 19 Sep 08 16:53 CET

f Share R +1 0 Street 0

Seventeen people were injured on Friday when a construction crew unwittingly detonated a buried World War II-era bomb in Hattingen.

- · Liberals grit teeth ahead of May state election (17 Mar 12).
- Nazi death camp guard Demjanjuk dies (17 Mar 12)
- Stupid stunt causes bomb scare chaos (10 Mar 12)

An excavator apparently drove over a 250-kilogramme (550 pound) American bomb, damaging surrounding buildings. Most of the injured suffered auditory trauma from the blast, and the excavator operator suffered injuries to his hands, police in the German state of North Rhine-Westphelia said.

"The hole was astoundingly small for such a large bomb full of so many explosives," Armin Gebhard, head of the Amsberg department for military ordnance removal, told The Local. 'But of course it damaged all the surrounding buildings too. We are really happy it wasn't worse."

B B C Mobile



Home World UK England N.Ireland Scotland Wales Besiness Politics Health 1 Africa Asia Europe Latin Amorica Middle East US & Canada

2 June 2010 Last updated at 15:37

1

<'shine 🔝 🔤 🗃 🔒

World War II bomb kills three in Germany

Three people have been killed and six injured trying to defuse a World War II bomb in central Germany.

Workers building a sports stadium had earlier unearthed the bomb in the town of Soettingen.

It was not immediately clear why the bomb reportedly weighing 500kg (1,100b), had detonated.

Unexploded WWII bombs dropped by Alled planes are frequently found in Germany, though it is unusual for them to explode unexpectedly. 2nd June 2010 All the victims were involved in an operation to defuse the bomb

A special commission is investigating the causes of the explosion, while prosecutors are considering whether the team leader should face charges or manstaughter through culpable negligence, the BBC's Qana Lungescu reports from Berlin

The blast happened an hour before the defusing operation was due to start.

Officials said the three men who died were expenenced sappers, or compatiengineers, who over 20 years had defused up to 700 bombs.

More than 7.000 people were immediately evacuated when the 560kg bomb was found. Several schools, a kindergarten and local companies remain closed





Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020

Client: GEA Ltd

Project: Ragged School

Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk Source: Various news sources



Examples of 50 and 100kg German WWI bombs

	ST LINE DEFENCE	Client:	GEA Ltd		Α
Unit 3 Manle Park		Project:	Ragged School		N
	Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX	Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: The National Archives, Kew	
	Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Produced	by and Copyright to 1st Line	Defence Limited. Registered in England and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79	

Annex:

London WWII Bomb Density Map





Κ



Night Bombing up to 7th October 1940

Night Bombing 7th October to 6th June 1941





Recorded HE bomb strike Recorded UXB strike

Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020



GEA Ltd

Project: Ragged School

Recorded incendiary bomb shower

Colour refers to day of the week.

Recorded oil bomb strike

Approximate site boundary



ST LINE DEFENCE Unit 3, Maple Park Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX

DA6188-00 Ref: Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk

Client:

Source: The National Archives

Weekly London Bomb Census Mapping



21st to 28th October 1940





Recorded HE bomb strike Recorded UXB strike



Recorded incendiary bomb shower

Colour refers to day of the week.

Recorded oil bomb strike



Ref:

Unit 3, Maple Park Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX

Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020

GEA Ltd

Project: Ragged School

DA6188-00

Source: The National Archives

Approximate site boundary



Weekly London Bomb Census Mapping

4th to 11th November 1940



30th December 1940 to 6th January 1941





Recorded HE bomb strike



Colour refers to day of the week.

Recorded oil bomb strike



Approximate site boundary	
	N

Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX Ref: Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020

Client:

GEA Ltd

Project: Ragged School

DA6188-00

Source: The National Archives

 5^{th} to 12^{th} May 1941





Recorded HE bomb strike

Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020

Recorded UXB strike



Recorded incendiary bomb shower

Colour refers to day of the week.

Ν

Approximate site boundary

Recorded oil bomb strike



Client: GEA Ltd
Project: Ragged School

Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk

Source: The National Archives







London County Council Bomb Damage Map



Ν



Annex:

01

IST LINE DEFENCE	Client:	GEA Ltd		Approximate site boundary	A
	Project:	Ragged School			N
Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX	Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: National Mon	uments Record Office (Historic England)	
Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Produced	by and Convright to 1st Line	Defence Limited Registered in F	ngland and Wales with CRN: 7717863 VAT No: 128 8833 79	



	Unit 3, Maple Park Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX Email: info@1stlinedefence.co.uk Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Client:	GEA Ltd		Approximate site boundary	А
		Project:	Ragged School			N
		Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: National Mon	uments Record Office (Historic England)	
		Produced	by and Copyright to 1st Line	Defence Limited. Registered in E	ngland and Wales with CRN: 7717863. VAT No: 128 8833 79	

Examples of Anti-Aircraft Projectiles

Ρ

3.7 Inch QF Anti-Aircraft Projectile

Projectile Weight	28lb (12.6 kg)
Explosive Weight	2.52lbs
Fuze Type	Mechanical Time Fuze
Dimensions	3.7in x 14.7in (94mm x 360mm)
Rate of Fire	10 to 20 rounds per minute
Use	The 3.7in AA Mks 1-3 were the standard Heavy Anti-Aircraft guns of the British Army.
Ceiling	30,000ft to 59,000ft





40mm Bofors Projectile

Projectile Weight	1.96lb (0.86kg)	
Explosive Weight	300g (0.6lb)	
Fuze Type	Impact Fuze	-LO * XXXX
Rate of Fire	120 rounds per minute	
Projectile Dimensions	40 x 180mm	TXPLOCENTINE HARRING HELT DISC TINT, OR
Ceiling	23,000ft (7000m)	
Remarks	Light quick fire high explosive anti- aircraft projectile. Each projectile fitted with small tracer element. If no target hit, shell would explode when tracer burnt out. Designed to engage aircraft flying below 2,000ft	 POWDER PELLET HAPPE DISC HAMES CLOTH WARD FEIT WARD

3in Unrotate	d Projectile (UP) Anti-Aircraft	Rocket ("Z" Battery)		
HE Projectile Weight	3.4kg (7.6lb)	50.		
Explosive Weight	0.96kg (2.13lb)			
Filling	High Explosive – TNT. Fitted with aerial burst fuzing	ARA		
Dimensions of projectile	236 x 83mm (9.29 x 3.25in)		SHELL, HE, NO. 2 MKI	TAIL PROPELLING
Remarks	As a short range rocket-firing anti- aircraft weapon developed for the Royal Navy. It was used extensively by British ships during the early days of World War II. The UP was also used in ground-based single and 128-round launchers known as Z Batteries. Shell consists of a steel cylinder reduced in diameter at the base and threaded externally to screw into the shell ring of the rocket motor		ADAPTER SHELL HE, NO I MK 1	

۲	LIST LINE DEFENCE Unit 3, Maple Park Essex Road, Hoddesdon, Hertfordshire. EN11 0EX	Client:	GEA Ltd		
		Project:	Ragged School		
		Ref:	DA6188-00	Source: Various sources	
	Tel: +44 (0)1992 245 020	Produced by and Convright to 1st Line Defense Limited Registered in England and Wales with CDN: 7717862 VAT No: 129 9922 70			

1ST LINE DEFENCE

Unit 3, Maple Park Essex Road Hoddesdon Hertfordshire EN11 0EX Tel: 01992 245020

www.1stlinedefence.co.uk



APPENDIX – PART 1B

Ground Investigation

Borehole Records

Trial Pit Records

SPT/Cohesion vs Depth plot

Geotechnical Laboratory Test Results

Chemical Analyses (Soil)

Generic Risk Based Screening Values

Discovery Strategy

Site Plan



S	GEA	Geote Widbury	echnica Barn Widb	& Environment pury Hill Ware SG12 7QE	al Assoc	iates	Site The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hill, London	Borehole Number BH1
Paring Mat	hod	Cocina	Diamata	-	Ground			lah
Cable Percussion		20 15	Omm cas Omm cas	ed to 17.00m ed to 25.00m	13.62		Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd	J18042
		Locatio	n		Dates 21 23	/05/2018- 8/05/2018	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel	Sheet 1/3
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend Sate
0.20 0.50 0.80 1.00 1.20-1.65 1.20-1.65 1.75 2.00-2.45 2.00-2.45 2.00-2.50 2.75 3.00-3.45 3.00-3.50 4.00-4.45 4.50 5.00-5.45 5.50 6.00 6.50-6.95 7.00 7.50 8.00-8.45 8.00-8.45	D1 D2 D3 D4 SPT(C) N60=5 D5 D6 SPT(C) N60=5 D7 D8 SPT N60=9 D9 D9 U10 D11 SPT N60=9 D9 D12 D12 D13 U14 D15 D16 SPT N60=32 D17	1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00	DRY DRY DRY DRY	1,0/1,1,1,1 1,0/1,1,1,1 1,1/2,1,2,2 20 blows 1,2/3,3,3,5 25 blows 3,4/5,6,6,7	10.12 9.62 8.12	(3.50) (3.50) (0.50) (0.50) (1.50) (1.50) (4.20)	Made ground (concrete screed over dark grey brown sandy silt with fragments of ash, concrete, chalk, pottery, coal and brick)	
9.00	D18							× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
9.50-9.95	U19			30 blows	3.92	9.70	Stiff becoming very stiff brown mottled grey and red slightly silty CLAY. Becoming multicoloured at a depth of 13.5 m.	× × ×
Remarks Service pit e Borehole ter 1 hour 30 m	excvated to a depth or minated at a depth or inutes standing for o	of 1.2 m: 1 of 27.5 m c ccupants	hour 30 i on hard st to move o	minutes standing trata cars			Scale (approx)	Logged By
Standpipe ir	nstalled to a depth of	7.0 m					1:50	JD
							Figure 1 J180	No.)42.BH1

S	GEA	Geote	echnica Barn Widt	II & Environment	al Assoc	iates	Site The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hill, London	Borehole Number	
Boring Method Cable Percussion		Casing	Diamete	r ed to 17.00m	Ground Level (mOD) 13.62		Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd	Job Number	
		Locatio	0mm cas n	ed to 25.00m	Dates 21 23	/05/2018- 3/05/2018	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel	Sheet 2/3	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend S	
10.00	D20					 	Occasionally thinly laminated partings of fine sand from a depth of 16.0 m.	×	
10.50	D21							× × ×	
11.00-11.45 11.00-11.50	SPT N60=40 D22	6.00	DRY	3,5/7,7,8,8				×	
11.50	D23							×	
12.00	D24							× × ×	
12.50-12.95	U25			21/05/2018:DRY	_			××	
12.50-12.95	U26			22/05/2018:DRY 50 blows		(7.00)		××	
13.50	D27							×	
14.00-14.45 14.00-14.50	SPT N60=67 D28	6.00	DRY	4,7/10,12,13,16				×	
15.00 15.00-15.50	D29 U29			80 blows					
16.00	D30							× × ×	
16.50	D31				-3.08	16.70	Very dense computed brown SAND with fine gravel sized	×	
17.00-17.05 17.00-17.50	SPT(C) 25*/30 50/15 D32	17.00		25/50	-3.88	(0.80)	Very dense dark grey mottled brown very clayey very silty fine to medium SAND with abundant partings of greyish brown clay. Becoming fine to medium sand with rare fine		
18.00 18.00-18.45	D34 D33						gravel of lignite and cemented sand fragments from a depth of 20.0 m.		
18.50-18.95	SPT N60=59	17.00		5,7/9,10,10,16					
19.50	D35								
20.00-20.45	SPT N60=82	17.00		6,8/11,14,17,20			1		
Remarks Service pit ex Borehole terr 1 hour 30 mir	covated to a depth on ninated at a depth on nutes standing for on	of 1.2 m: 1 of 27.5 m c	hour 30 on hard s	minutes standing trata cars			Scale (approx)	Logged By	
Standpipe ins Chiselling fro	stalled to a depth of m 16.80m to 17.40	7.0 m m for 2 ho	urs. Wate	er added from 17.00m	۱.		1:50	JD	
							J180	NO. 042.BH1	

Bong Mest / State State / State / State State / State	S	GEA	Geote Widbury I	echnica Barn Widb	& Environment	al Assoc	iates		Site The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hill, London EC1R 5DZ	Boreh Numb BH	ole er 1
Location Date: Date: Engineer Set / May retain Base! Set / May retain Base / May retain Base! Set / May retain Base / May	Boring Method Cable Percussion		Casing 20 15	Ground Level (mOD) 13.62		(mOD)	Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd		• er 42		
Optim Sample / Tests Optime PredR Records ArdS Optime Description Legendee PredRecords 20.0-20.40 D36 D37 - </th <th colspan="2"></th> <th>Locatio</th> <th>n</th> <th></th> <th>Dates 21 23</th> <th>1/05/20 3/05/20</th> <th>018- 018</th> <th>Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel</th> <th>Sheet 3/3</th> <th>}</th>			Locatio	n		Dates 21 23	1/05/20 3/05/20	018- 018	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel	Sheet 3/3	}
2000-20.40 DS Jack Set	Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	D (Thic	epth (m) kness)	Description	Legend	Water
21.00 D37 D37 <td< td=""><td>20.00-20.45</td><td>D36</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(5.20)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	20.00-20.45	D36						(5.20)			
21502136 B7 N60-128 17.00 B B,12/17,21,27,30 -0.08 -0.07 -0.08 -0.07 -0.08 -0.07	21.00	D37								<u></u>	
22.50 D39 D39 <td< td=""><td>21.50-21.95 21.50-21.95</td><td>SPT N60=125 D38</td><td>17.00</td><td></td><td>8,12/17,21,27,30</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	21.50-21.95 21.50-21.95	SPT N60=125 D38	17.00		8,12/17,21,27,30						
23.02.23.45 SPT(C) N60-76 22.00 1.10/12.14.15.17 CLAY with occasional bands of sitestone 24.00 D41 -10.28 23.09 -10.28 23.09 Very dense commented very clayey medium SAND with abundant fine in coarse rounded gravel of motiled red clayetone -10.28 23.00 -10.28 <t< td=""><td>22.50</td><td>D39</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-9.08</td><td></td><td>22.70</td><td>Very stiff occasionally friable brownish mottled grey silty</td><td>×</td><td>· ·</td></t<>	22.50	D39				-9.08		22.70	Very stiff occasionally friable brownish mottled grey silty	×	· ·
24.00 D41 Image: standing from 25.00 for 1 2 m. 1 hour 30 minutes standing from 25.00 motor 5 hours. Water added from 23.90m. Water added f	23.00-23.45 23.00-23.45	SPT(C) N60=76 B40	22.00		1,10/12,14,15,17			(1.20)	CLAY with occasional bands of siltstone	××	-
24.50 042 2005/2018: <td< td=""><td>24.00</td><td>D41</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-10.28</td><td></td><td>23.90</td><td>Very dense cemented very clayey medium SAND with abundant fine to coarse rounded gravel of mottled red claystone</td><td>××</td><td></td></td<>	24.00	D41				-10.28		23.90	Very dense cemented very clayey medium SAND with abundant fine to coarse rounded gravel of mottled red claystone	××	
24.50-24.58 SPT(C) 257/45 23.00 23.05/2018: (3.60) 25.50 D43 D43 10.15/50 (3.60) 28.00-26.27 SPT(C) 50/120 23.00 5.20/50 10.15/50 27.00-27.21 SPT(C) 50/60 23.00 5.20/50 -13.88 27.50 Complete at 27.50m Complete at 27.50m Complete at 27.50m Complete at 27.50m 5 Remarks Service pit excvared to a depth of 1.2 m: 1 hour 30 minutes standing Bronde at a depth of 2.7 m on hourse standing for occupants to move cars Sandpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m. Image: standpape instand at a depth of 7.0 m.	24.50	D42			22/05/2018:						
25.50 D43 D43 Image: Complete at 27.50m SPT(C) 50/120 23.00 10.15/50 Image: Complete at 27.50m	24.50-24.58	SPT(C) 25*/45 50/30	23.00		23/05/2018: 25/50						
26.00-26.27 20.00 SPT(C) 50/120 D44 23.00 10,15/50 Image: Complete at 27.50m Image: Compl	25.50	D43						(3.60)			
27.00-27.21 27.00-27.45 SPT(C) 50/60 D45 23.00 5.20/50 -13.88 27.50 -13.88 23/05/2018: -13.88 27.50 Complete at 27.50m Complete at 27.50m Remarks Service pit excvated to a depth of 1.2 m: 1 hour 30 minutes standing Borehole terminated at a depth of 27.5 m on hard strata 1 hour 30 minutes standing For block to a depth of 1.0 m: Thour 30 minutes standing Borehole terminated at a depth of 27.5 m on hard strata 1 hour 30 minutes standing Escrice pit stalled to a depth of 7.0 m Chiseling from 24.20m to 24.50m for 1 hour. Chiseling from 26.00m to 27.50m for 6 hours. Water added from 23.90m. Water added from 23.90m. Water added from 27.50m. Scale to 30 Logged Hours	26.00-26.27 26.00	SPT(C) 50/120 D44	23.00		10,15/50						
Remarks Service pit excvated to a depth of 1.2 m: 1 hour 30 minutes standing Borrhole terminated at a depth of 27.5 m on hard strata 1 hour 30 minutes standing Borrhole terminated at a depth of 7.0 m Chiseling from 24.20m for 1 hour. Chiselling from 26.00m to 27.50m for 6 hours. Water added from 23.90m. Water added from 23.90m. Water added from 24.50m.	27.00-27.21 27.00-27.45	SPT(C) 50/60 D45	23.00		5,20/50						
Remarks Service pit executed to a depth of 1.2 m: 1 hour 30 minutes standing Borehole terminated at a depth of 27.5 m on hard strata 1 hour 30 minutes standing for occupants to move cars Standpipe installed to a depth of 7.0 m Chiselling from 24.20m. Water added from 27.50m.					23/05/2018:	-13.88		27.50	Complete at 27.50m		
Remarks Scale (approx) Logged Service pit excvated to a depth of 1.2 m: 1 hour 30 minutes standing Borehole terminated at a depth of 27.5 m on hard strata Logged 1 hour 30 minutes standing for occupants to move cars Standpipe installed to a depth of 7.0 m 1:50 JD 24.50m. Water added from 27.50m. Figure No. Figure No.											
Remarks Service pit excvated to a depth of 1.2 m: 1 hour 30 minutes standing Borehole terminated at a depth of 27.5 m on hard strata 1 hour 30 minutes standing for occupants to move cars Standpipe installed to a depth of 7.0 m Chiselling from 24.20m to 24.50m for 1 hour. Chiselling from 26.00m to 27.50m for 6 hours. Water added from 23.90m. Water added from 27.50m.											
Remarks Scale (approx) Logged By Borehole terminated at a depth of 1.2 m: 1 hour 30 minutes standing Borehole terminated at a depth of 27.5 m on hard strata 1 hour 30 minutes standing for occupants to move cars Scale (approx) JD Standpipe installed to a depth of 7.0 m 1:50 JD Chiselling from 24.20m to 24.50m for 1 hour. Chiselling from 26.00m to 27.50m for 6 hours. Water added from 23.90m. Water added from 24.50m. Figure No.											
Standpipe installed to a depth of 7.0 m Chiselling from 24.20m to 24.50m for 1 hour. Chiselling from 26.00m to 27.50m for 6 hours. Water added from 23.90m. Water added from 24.50m. Water added from 27.50m.	Remarks Service pit ex Borehole terr 1 hour 30 min	kcvated to a depth o ninated at a depth o nutes standing for o	f 1.2 m: 1 f 27.5 m c ccupants f	hour 30 i on hard st	minutes standing trata cars		<u>F</u>		Scale (approx	Logge By	⊥ ≱d
	Standpipe ins Chiselling fro 24.50m. Wat	stalled to a depth of m 24.20m to 24.50r er added from 27.50	7.0 m n for 1 hou)m.	ur. Chisel	ling from 26.00m to 2	7.50m for	6 hou	rs. Wate	er added from 23.90m. Water added from Figure	JD No.	

2	GEA	Geote Widbury	echnica Barn Widk	I & Environment	tal Assoc	ciates	Site The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hill, London	Borehole Number BH2
Baring Math		Casing	Diamata	_	Crownad			lah
Cable Percu	iod ssion	Casing 15	Omm cas	r ed to 7.60m	Ground Level (mOD) 13.44		Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd	J18042
		Locatio	'n		Dates	2/05/2018	Engineer	Sheet
						2/00/2010	Heyne Tillett Steel	1/2
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness) Description	Kater Kater
0.30	D						Made ground (tarmac over dark brown silty clayey sand with fragments of ash, concrete, coal, masonry and brick)	
1.20-1.65 1.20	SPT(C) N60=9 B			2,1/3,2,2,1				
1.75	D							
2.00-2.45 2.00	SPT(C) N60=6 D	2.00		1,0/1,1,2,1				
						(5.00)		
2 75	D					E í		
3.00-3.45	SPT(C) N60=1	3.00		1,0/0,1,0,0		<u> </u>		
3.00-3.45	D			.,_,_,_,_,_				
						E		
3.75	D					E		
4.00-4.45	SPT(C) N60=1 D	4.00		1,0/0,0,0,1				
	-							
4.75		5.00		1 1/1 0 2 1	8.44	5.00	Soft to firm dark brownigh grow you conductory alovey	
5.00-5.45	D	5.00		1, 1/ 1, 0, 2, 1		E E	slightly gravelly SILT with fragments of brick, flint, bone and pottery and rare pockets of organic content	× × × × × × × • × × × • • × ×
	-							× × × × × × × ×
								× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
6.00	D					<u> </u>		× × × × × × × × × × × ×
						(2.50)		× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
6.50-6.95	D							× × × × × × × × × × × • × • ×
								× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
								× × × × * × × × × × × ×
7.50					5.94	7.50	Firm arou motifod brown yory city OLAV with shareds t	× • × • × × • × ×
7.50						(0.50)	layers of fine sand and rare angular flint gravel.	× ×
8.00-8.45	U			30 blows	5.44	8.00	Firm becoming stiff slightly fissured grevish brown silty	×
							slightly sandy CLAY with abundant selenite crystals and occasional pockets of fine to medium sand.	× ×
								×
								×
9.00	D					(2.10)		× ×
								× <u>×</u> ×
9.50-9.95 9.50-9.95	SPT N60=31 D	7.60		3,5/6,7,7,8		Ē		×
								* <u>*</u> *
Remarks Service pit ex Groundwater	xcavated to a depth r not encountered	of 1.2 m:	1 hour 30) minutes standing tir	ne		Scale (approx)	Logged By
Standpipe in	stalled to a depth of	7.5 m					1:50	JD
							Figure N J180	i o. 42.BH2
S	GEA	Geote Widbury I	echnica Barn Widb	& Environment oury Hill Ware SG12 7QE	al Assoc	iates	Site The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hill, London	Borehole Number BH2
----------------------------	-----------------	------------------------	------------------------	--	----------------	-----------------------------	---	---
Boring Meth	od	Casing	Diamete	r	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client	Joh
Cable Percus	ssion	15	Diamete Dmm cas	ed to 7.60m	Ground	13.44	Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd	Number J18042
		Locatio	n		Dates	2/05/2018	Engineer	Sheet
							Heyne Tillett Steel	2/2
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend S
					3.34	10.10	Firm becoming stiff brown mottled grey and red slightly silty CLAY. Becoming multicoloured and stiff at a depth of 14.5 m	× · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10.50	D							×
11.00-11.45	U			60 blows				×
12.00	D							× <u>×</u> ×
12.50-12.95 12.50-12.95	SPT N60=33 D	7.60		1,5/7,7,7,9		(4.90)		
13.50	D							× × × × ×
14.55-15.00 14.55-15.00	SPT N60=40 D	7.60		4,6/7,8,10,11				×
				22/05/2018:DRY	-1.56	15.00	Complete at 15.00m	×
Remarks							Scale (approx)	Logged By
							1:50	JD
							Figure J18	No. 042.BH2

~	GEA	Geote	echnica	I & Environment	tal Assoc	iates	Site	Borehole Number
	0 L / (Widbury	Barn Widb	oury Hill Ware SG12 7QE			EC1R 5DZ	BH3
Boring Met	hod Ission	Casing 25 20 15	Diamete Omm cas Omm cas Omm cas	r ed to 18.00m ed to 27.00m ed to 35.00m	Ground	Level (mOD) 13.41	Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd	Job Number J18042
		Locatio	n		Dates 29 01)/05/2018- /06/2018	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel	Sheet 1/4
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend S
1.20-1.65 1.20-1.60	SPT(C) N60=5 B		DRY	1,0/1,1,1,1			Made ground (tarmac over grey silty sand clay with fragments of brick, coal, ash and concrete).	
1.75 2.00-2.45 2.00-2.45	D SPT(C) N60=7 B		DRY	1,0/1,1,1,2		(4.00)		
2.75 3.00-3.45 3.00-3.45	D SPT(C) N60=9 B	3.00	DRY	1,1/1,2,2,2				
3.75 4.00-4.45	D SPT(C) N60=13	4.00	DRY	1,1/2,2,3,3	9.41	4.00	Soft greyish brown mottled dark grey very silty sandy CLAY	×
4.45 4.75 5.00-5.45 5.50 6.00 6.50-6.95 6.50-6.95 6.50-6.95 7.50 8.00-8.45 8.50 9.00 9.50-9.95	B D U D D SPT N60=21 U D U D D D SPT N60=33 D	6.00	DRY	20 blows 1,2/3,3,4,6 25 blows 3,4/5,6,7,7	8.41	(4.90)	Firm grey mottled orange-brown slightly fissured very silty CLAY with abundant selenite crystals and occasional partings of fine sand <10 mm in thickness. Sand partings become more frequent and >20 mm thickness from a depth of 8.0 m.	
Remarks		of 1.2 m :	1 hour 20		3.51	9.90	, Scale	
Groundwate 5 hours stan 1 hours stan	er not encountered ading to clear car par	k of spoil	e cleared	minutes standing tir			(approx)	JD
i nours sidil	any to wait for teridi						Figure	No.
							J18	J42.BH3

	GEA	Geote	echnica	I & Environmenta	al Assoc	iates	Site	Borehole Number	3
	GEA	Widbury	Barn Widt	oury Hill Ware SG12 7QE			The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hill, London ECIR 5DZ	BH3	
Boring Meth	nod ssion	Casing 25 20 15	Diamete 0mm cas 0mm cas 0mm cas	r ed to 18.00m ed to 27.00m ed to 35.00m	Ground	Level (mOD) 13.41	Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd	Job Number J18042	
		Locatio	n		Dates 29 01)/05/2018- /06/2018	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel	Sheet 2/4	_
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend ≹	MALCI
						 	Stiff becoming very stiff slightly fissured pale blueish grey mottled brown silty CLAY. Becoming multicoloured from a	×	
10.50	D						depth of 12.0 m.	××	
11 00 11 45				20 blows				×	
11.00-11.45	0			30 blows				×	
11.50	D							×	
12.00	D							×	
						(5.10)		×	
12.50-12.95 12.50-12.95	SPT N60=40 D	6.00	DRY	3,4/6,7,8,9				×	
								×	
								×	
								×	
								×	
14.50	D							×	
					-1 59	15.00		× ×	
15.00	D				-1.55		Very stiff pale grey very silty sandy CLAY with occasional partings of sand	× <u>×</u> ×	
15.50-15.95	D							××	
				20/05/2018·DRV		(1.50)		× ×	
				30/05/2018:15.90m				× × ×	
16.50	D				-3.09	16.50	Very dense pale grey very silty very clayey fine to medium SAND	×	
17.00-17.45	SPT N60=86	6.00	DAMP	5,10/12,15,17,21		E		ו×	
17.00-17.45	D					(1.50)			
								× ×	
18.00 18.00-18.95	D B				-4.59	18.00	Very dense dark grey mottled brown very clayey very silty fine to medium SAND	×	
18.50-18.95	SPT N60=53	18.00	ADD	4.6/8.10.11.11					
				.,_,_,_,,.,,.,					
						(2.50)			
19.50	D								
20.00-20.45	SPT N60=57	20.00	ADD	5,7/10,11,10,12					
Remarks							Scale (approx)	Logged By	
							1:50	JD	
							Figure J18	No. 042.BH3	-

S	GEA	Geote Widbury	echnica Barn Widb	& Environmenta pury Hill Ware SG12 7QE	al Assoc	iates	Site The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hill, Londor EC1R 5DZ	Borehole Number BH3
Boring Meth Cable Percus	od ssion	Casing 25 20 15	Diamete Omm cas Omm cas Omm cas	r ed to 18.00m ed to 27.00m ed to 35.00m	Ground	Level (mOD) 13.41	Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd	Job Number J18042
		Locatio	n		Dates 29 01	/05/2018- /06/2018	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel	Sheet 3/4
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Eagend S
20.00-20.45	D				-7.09	20.50	Very stiff occasionally fissured multicoloured silty sandy CLAY with occasional claystone fragments	
21.50-21.95 21.50-21.95	SPT(C) N60=65 B	20.00	ADD	6,9/10,12,13,14		(2.00)		
22.50 23.00-23.45	D				-9.09	22.50	Very dense cemented multicoloured clayey sandy GRAVEL	
24.00 24.00-24.95 24.50-24.95 25.00	D B SPT(C) N60=99 D	23.00	ADD	8,11/14,17,20,24	-10.59	(2.00)	Very stiff slightly fissured multicoloured very silty very sand gravelly CLAY with abundant partings of fine to medium sand. Gravel is of claystone.	
26.00-26.50 26.00-26.45 27.00	D SPT(C) N60=76 D	26.00	ADD	30/05/2018:25.90m 31/05/2018:25.90m 5,8/11,13,15,19	-12.59	26.00	Very stiff greenish grey very sandy very gravelly CLAY. Gravel is rounded and of flint.	
27.45-27.95 27.50-27.95	D SPT(C) N60=84	26.00	DAMP	5,10/12,15,17,20	-14.09	27.50	Very dense slightly cemented grey fine to medium silty SAND	
28.50 29.00-29.45 29.00-29.50	D SPT N60=79 D	27.00	ADD	5,10/15,45				
Remarks Chiselling fro Water added	m 23.00m to 23.50r from 26.00m to 35.	n for 1 hr 00m.	30 min ho	our. Chiselling from 26	5.50m to 2	7.00m for 2 h	ours. Water added from 23.00m to 26.00m.) Logged By
							1:50 Figure	JD No.
							J18	3042.BH3

S	GEA	Geote	echnica Barn Widb	& Environmenta ury Hill Ware SG12 7QE	al Assoc	iates	Site The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hill, Londo EC1R 5DZ	Borehole Number BH3
Boring Meth Cable Percus	nod ssion	Casing 25 20 15	Diamete Omm cas Omm cas Omm cas	r ed to 18.00m ed to 27.00m ed to 35.00m	Ground	Level (mOD) 13.41	Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd	Job Number J18042
		Locatio	n		Dates 29 01	/05/2018- /06/2018	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel	Sheet 4/4
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Casing Depth (m)	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description	Legend S
30.00 30.50-30.71 30.50-30.95	D SPT(C) 25*/120 50/90 D	27.50	ADD	25/50		(7.50)		
32.00-32.23 32.00-32.50 32.50	SPT(C) 50/75 D D	27.50	ADD	25/50				
33.00 33.50-33.65 33.50-33.95	D SPT(C) 25*/75 50/75 D	27.50	ADD	25/50				
34.50	D							×******
35.00-35.35	D SPT(C) 25*/120 50/225	34.00	ADD	31/05/2018:34.99m 25/50	-21.59		Complete at 35.00m	
Remarks		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	Scal (appro	e Logged x) By
							1:50 Figur J [.]	JD re No. 18042.BH3

		Cashaa				Site		Number
G	GEA	Geotec Widbury Bar	nnical & Environmenta rn Widbury Hill Ware SG12 7QE	al Assoc	lates	The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hill, EC1R 5DZ	, London	BH4
Excavation Drive-in Win	Method dow Sampler	Dimensio	ns	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd		Job Number J18042
		Location		Dates 12	/05/2018	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel		Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description		Legend S
						Made ground (Concrete screed over dark brown sil gravelly clay with fragments of brick, flint, coal, ash, glass, chalk, charcoal and clinker) Soft to firm orange-brown mottled grey very silty san CLAY with rare gravel of flint Soft to firm grey occasionally fissured very silty san with occasional selenite crystals and rare partings of sand Complete at 5.40m	Ity sandy , shell,	
Remarks Borehole ad Groundwate	vanced through base r not encountered	of Trial Pit	No 10				Scale (approx)	Logged By
							1:50	JD
							Figure N	0.
							j1804	Z.BH4

	~ - ·	Casta				Site		Number
G	GEA	Geotec Widbury Bar	n Widbury Hill Ware SG12 7		lates	The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hill, Long EC1R 5DZ	don	BH5
Excavation Window Sar	Method mpler	Dimensio	ns	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd		Job Number J18042
		Location As pe	er separate plan	Dates 12	/05/2018	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel		Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	Description		Kate Sate Sate
					(0,13) (0.13) (0.27)	MADE GROUND (concrete screed over rough concrete between 100 mm and 130 mm thick. No reinforcement)		
0.90	D1					MADE GROUND (brown and light grey sand and gravel compacted concrete and brick fill) MADE GROUND (dark brown sandy gravelly clay with fi gravel and fragments of coal and ash, frequent fragment of brick and occasional concrete. Clayey with fragments slate and burnt brick from 2.5 m to 2.7 m. Becoming silty from 4.4 m)	lint its of y	
2.60	D2							
					4.50 (0.90)	Dark brown and black silty CLAY with occasional fine sa and medium subangular gravel	ind	×x ×x
					(0.60)	Soft dark grey slightly silty CLAY. Rare medium subangu gravel at 5.5 m. Becoming brown mottled grey from 5.7	ılar m -	× ×
Bomerico						Complete at 6.00m		
Remarks						Sc (app	ale rox)	Logged By
						1:5	50	KM
						Fig	ure No J1804	o. I2.BH8

	GEA	Geotec	hnical & Environment	al Assoc	iates	:	Site	ill London	Number
	OLA	Widbury Bar	rn Widbury Hill Ware SG12 7QE	1			EC1R 5DZ		BH6
Excavation Window Sar	Method mpler	Dimensio	ns	Ground	Level (mO	D) (Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd		Job Number J18042
		Location As p	er separate plan	Dates 12	/05/2018	I	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel		Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thicknes	s)	Description		ة S Agend S
						2)27 33) 00 00	MADE GROUND (70 mm smooth concrete over 1 rough concrete) MADE GROUND (brown and light grey sand and compacted concrete and brick fill) MADE GROUND (dark brown sandy gravelly clay gravel and fragments of coal and ash, frequent fr of brick including half bricks and occasional slate concrete. Becoming grey mottled brown from 1.0 sample recovery) MADE GROUND (brown silty sand and gravel wit fragments of brick, occasional grey clay and rare of glass and ceramic. Damp with fine to medium r flint gravel from approximately 4.0 m. Poor sample recovery)	30 mm gravel of with flint agments and m. Poor h frequent fragments ounded a	
Remarks Borehole ter	minated on refusal.	Collapsed or	n withdrawal of rods to 3.50 i	m. Backfill	ed with aris	ings	s on completion.	Scale (approx)	Logged By
Borehole dr	iven through base of	Trial Pit No	9. Refer also to trial pit log.			-		1:50	КМ
								Figure N	lo.
								J180	42.BH9

		Conto	chnical & Environments		ator		Site		Number
	GEA	Widbury E	Barn Widbury Hill Ware SG12 7QE		ales		The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hil EC1R 5DZ	I, London	BH8
Excavation Window Sam	Method npler	Dimensi	ons	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd		Job Number J18042
		Location As	n per separate plan	Dates 12	/05/201	18	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel		Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	Dej (n (Thick	pth n) (ness)	Description		Legend X
0.90	D1					(0.13) (0.27) 0.40	MADE GROUND (concrete screed over rough con between 100 mm and 130 mm thick. No reinforcer MADE GROUND (brown and light grey sand and g compacted concrete and brick fill) MADE GROUND (dark brown sandy gravelly clay y gravel and fragments of coal and ash, frequent fra brick and occasional concrete. Clayey with fragme slate and burnt brick from 2.5 m to 2.7 m. Becomir from 4.4 m)	crete nent) gravel of with flint gments of nts of ng silty	
2.60	D2					(4.10)			
						4.50 (0.90) 5.40	Dark brown and black silty CLAY with occasional fi and medium subangular gravel	ine sand	× × × × ×
						(0.60) 6.00	gravel at 5.5 m. Becoming brown mottled grey from	n 5.7 m	
Remarks				1	Ē			Scale (approx)	Logged By
								1:50	КМ
								Figure N	0.
								J1804	+∠.ВНδ

S	GEA	Geote Widbury B	chnical & Environmenta arn Widbury Hill Ware SG12 7QE	al Assoc	iates		Site The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hil EC1R 5DZ	I, London	Number BH9
Excavation Window San	Method npler	Dimensi	ons	Ground	Leve	(mOD)	Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd		Job Number J18042
		Location As I	per separate plan	Dates 12	2/05/20	018	Engineer Heyne Tillett Steel		Sheet 1/1
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field Records	Level (mOD)	D (Thie	epth (m) ckness)	Description		Legend S
						(0,20) (0,20) (0,27) (2.63) (2.63) (2.10) (2.10) 5.10	MADE GROUND (70 mm smooth concrete over 13 rough concrete) MADE GROUND (brown and light grey sand and g compacted concrete and brick fill) MADE GROUND (dark brown sandy gravelly clay gravel and fragments of coal and ash, frequent fra brick including half bricks and occasional slate and concrete. Becoming grey mottled brown from 1.0 r sample recovery)	30 mm gravel of with flint gments of n. Poor n. Poor n. Poor recovery)	
Remarks Borehole ter Borehole dri	minated on refusal. C	Collapsed c Trial Pit No	on withdrawal of rods to 3.50 n 9. Refer also to trial pit log.	n. Backfille	ed witl	n arisings	s on completion.	Scale (approx)	Logged By
	·							1:50	КМ
								Figure N J180	o. 42.BH9

	٨		www.gea-ltd.co.uk	Trial Pit No					
Herts 01727 824666 Notts 01509 674888									
Site Former Ragged School. 1	15-29 Evre Street Hill. London. EC1	IR 5DZ		Job Number					
Client Clerkenwell Lifestyle (U	JK) Ltd			J18042 Sheet					
				Dates					
Engineer HTS				11/05/2018					
Excavation Method Machine excavated	Dimensions 750 x 1000 x 2500	Ground Level (mOD) 13.38	Location						
Plan:	ſ	A							
			11						
		A'							
		F							
Remarks:				Scale:					
All dimensions in millimetre	es			1:20					
Sides of trial pit collapsing t	towards the base due to brick	content		Logged by:					
Basement wall appeared ex	xtremely worn and friable towa	ard GL		JD					

















SUMMARY OF GEOTECHNICAL TESTING

			Sample d	etails		Classi	ificatior	n Tests	6	Densit	y Tests	Undrained Triaxial Compression			pression	C	hemical Te	sts	
Borehole / Trial Pit	Sample Ref	Depth (m)	Туре	Description	WC (%)	LL (%)	PL (%)	PI (%)	<425 μm (%)	Bulk Mg/m³	Dry Mg/m³	Condition	Cell Pressure kPa	Deviator Stress kPa	Shear Stress kPa	рН	2:1 W/S SO4 (g/L)	W/S Mg (mg/L)	Other tests and comments
BH1		2.00	D													7.9	0.04		
BH1		4.00	U	Stiff fissured multicoloured silty CLAY.	38.4	59	24	35	99	1.91	1.38	Undisturbed	80	105	53				
BH1		6.50	U	Firm dark grey CLAY.	32.6					1.94	1.46	Undisturbed	130	138	69				
BH1		9.50	U	Very stiff fissured dark brown silty CLAY.	23.8	58	21	37	98	2.04	1.65	Undisturbed	190	250	125	8.4	0.30		
BH1		12.50	U	Very stiff fissured brown mottled grey CLAY.	23.1	69	27	42	100	2.11	1.71	Undisturbed	250	381	191				
BH1		18.00	D	Brownish grey clayey silty SAND.															Particle Size Distribution
BH1		23.00	В	Yellowish brown mottled dark brown and light grey CLAY with rare fine gravel.	26.0	51	20	31	98										
BH1		25.50	D	Greenish grey clayey sandy GRAVEL.															Particle Size Distribution
BH2		3.75	D													8.5	0.01		
BH2		8.00	U	Very stiff fissured dark brown silty CLAY.	22.5	59	22	37	99	2.01	1.64	Jndisturbed	160	215	107				

Sample type: B (Bulk disturb.) BLK (Block) C (Core) D (Disturbed) LB (Large Bulk dist.) U (Undisturbed)

Checked and Approved by	Project Number:	
COL	GEO / 27587	()i
3 Durke	Project Name:	GEOLABS
<i>vv</i>	EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL	
S Burke - Senior Technician 29/06/2018	J18042	

Test Report By GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

SUMMARY OF GEOTECHNICAL TESTING

			Sample d	etails		Classi	ificatior	n Tests		Densit	y Tests		Undrained 1	Friaxial Comp	pression	CI	nemical T	ests	
Borehole / Trial Pit	Sample Ref	Depth (m)	Туре	Description	WC (%)	LL (%)	PL (%)	PI (%)	<425 μm (%)	Bulk Mg/m³	Dry Mg/m³	Condition	Cell Pressure kPa	Deviator Stress kPa	Shear Stress kPa	рН	2:1 W/S SO4 (g/L)	W/S Mg (mg/L)	Other tests and comments
BH2		11.00	U	Very stiff fissured brown mottled grey CLAY.	21.8	60	24	36	100	2.10	1.73	Jndisturbed	220	241	120				
внз		5.00	U	Soft dark grey mottled brown CLAY.	37.0					1.86	1.36	Undisturbed L	100	69	34				
ВНЗ		8.00	U	Firm dark brown mottled dark grey CLAY.	30.0					1.99	1.53	Undisturbed	160	191	96				
внз		11.00	U	Firm brown mottled grey CLAY.	29.2					2.00	1.55	Undisturbed	220	132	66				
ВНЗ		14.00	U	Firm brown mottled grey CLAY.	20.5					2.13	1.77	Undisturbed	130	491	245				
ВНЗ		15.50	D	Light brownish grey clayey silty SAND.															Particle Size Distribution
ВНЗ		21.00	D	Yellowish brown and light grey CLAY.	17.2	49	21	28	100										
ВНЗ		24.00	D	Multicoloured clayey silty SAND and GRAVEL.															Particle Size Distribution
ВНЗ		27.00	D	Dark bluish grey slightly gravelly sandy CLAY.															Particle Size Distribution
BH3		30.50	D	Grey silty SAND.															Particle Size Distribution

Sample type: B (Bulk disturb.) BLK (Block) C (Core) D (Disturbed) LB (Large Bulk dist.) U (Undisturbed)

Checked and Approved by	Project Number:	
COL	GEO / 27587	
2 Dure	Project Name:	GEOLABS
	EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL	
S Burke - Senior Technician 29/06/2018	J18042	

Test Report By GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

SUMMARY OF GEOTECHNICAL TESTING

			Sample d	etails		Classif	ficatior	n Tests		Densi	ty Tests	Î	Undrained T	riaxial Com	pression	C	hemical ⁻	ests	
Borehole / Trial Pit	Sample Ref	Depth (m)	Туре	Description	WC (%)	LL (%)	PL (%)	PI (%)	<425 µm (%)	Bulk Mg/m³	Dry Mg/m³	Condition	Cell Pressure kPa	Deviator Stress kPa	Shear Stress kPa	рН	2:1 W/S SO4 (g/L)	W/S Mg (mg/L)	Other tests and comments
внз		35.00	D	Dark grey clayey SAND.															Particle Size Distribution

Sample type: B (Bulk disturb.) BLK (Block) C (Core) D (Disturbed) LB (Large Bulk dist.) U (Undisturbed)

Checked and Approved by	Project Number:	
SRUDO	GEO / 27587	GEOLABS
June	EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL	
S Burke - Senior Technician 29/06/2018	J18042	

Test Report By GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Description

Brownish grey clayey silty SAND.

1262 - PSD BH1 18.00 D - 27587-301738.XLSM

GL:Version 1.90 - 04/05/2018

BH / TP No.

BH1



PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Description

Greenish grey clayey sandy GRAVEL.

1262 - PSD BH1 25.50 D - 27587-301819.XLSM BH / TP No. Depth (m) Sample Type

BH1

D

25.50



S Burke - Senior Technician

GL:Version 1.90 - 04/05/2018 J18042 29/06/2018 Test Report By GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Page 1 of 1 (Ref 1530271720)

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Description

BS EN ISO 17892-4 : 2016 : Clause 5.2 - Wet Sieve

BH / TP No. Depth (m) Sample Type

1262 - PSD BH3 15.50 D - 27587-301630.XLSM

BH3 15.50 D

Light brownish grey clayey silty SAND.





Checked and Approved by Project Number:



29/06/2018

GEO / 27587

EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL

J18042

Sand

Silt & Clay

76

24

Test Report By GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Description

BH / TP No. Depth (m) Sample Type

1262 - PSD BH3 24.00 D - 27587-301636.XLSM

BH3 24.00 D

Multicoloured clayey silty SAND and GRAVEL.





Particle Proportions							
Cobbles	0						
Gravel	34						
Sand	36						
Silt & Clay	30						



Checked and Approved by Project Number:

Project Name:

S Burke - Senior Technician

29/06/2018

GEO / 27587

EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL

J18042

GEOLABS

Test Report By GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Description

BH / TP No. Depth (m) Sample Type

1262 - PSD BH3 27.00 D - 27587-301817.XLSM

BH3 27.00 D

Dark bluish grey slightly gravelly sandy CLAY.



63 µm



Particle Proportions							
Cobbles	0						
Gravel	24						
Sand	46						
Silt & Clay	30						



BS EN ISO 17892-4 : 2016 PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION Description BH3

Grey silty SAND.

1262 - PSD BH3 30.50 D - 27587-301751.XLSM

GL:Version 1.90 - 04/05/2018

BH / TP No.

Depth (m)

30.50



Test Report By GEOLABS Limited Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Description

Dark grey clayey SAND.

GL:Version 1.90 - 04/05/2018

BH / TP No.

Depth (m)

BH3

35.00



QUICK UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST

BH/TP No Depth (m) Sample Type

BH1 4.00 U Description:

Stiff fissured multicoloured silty CLAY.

Specimen Details		
Specimen conditions		Undisturbed
Length	(mm)	202.0
Diameter	(mm)	103.3
Moisture Content	(%)	38.4
Bulk Density	(Mg/m³)	1.91
Dry Density	(Mg/m ³)	1.38
Test Details		
Latex membrane thickness	(mm)	0.3
Membrane correction	(kPa)	1.1
Axial displacement rate	(%/min)	2.0
Cell pressure	(kPa)	80
Strain at failure	(%)	19.8
Maximum Deviator Stress	(kPa)	105
Shear Stress Cu	(kPa)	53



Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Distance from top of tube mm	45



Checked and Approved by: Project Number:

S Burke - Senior Technician

29/06/2018

GEO / 27587



EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL J18042

 Test Report By
 GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Project Name:

Page 1 of 1 (Ref 1530271739)

QUICK UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST

BH/TP No Depth (m) Sample Type Description: Firm dark grey CLAY.

Specimen Details

	Undisturbed
(mm)	203.6
(mm)	103.4
(%)	32.6
(Mg/m³)	1.94
(Mg/m³)	1.46
(mm)	0.3
(kPa)	0.2
(%/min)	2.0
(kPa)	130
(%)	2.7
(kPa)	138
(kPa)	69
	(mm) (mm) (%) (Mg/m ³) (Mg/m ³) (Mg/m ³) (Mg/m ³) (Mg/m ³) (kPa) (%/min) (kPa) (%) (kPa) (kPa)



Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Distance from top of tube mm	50



Checked and Approved by: Project Number:

S Burke - Senior Technician

29/06/2018

GEO / 27587

EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL

J18042

 Test Report By GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Project Name:

Page 1 of 1 (Ref 1530271742)

QUICK UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST

BH/TP No Depth (m) Sample Type

BH1 9.50 U Description:

Very stiff fissured dark brown silty CLAY.

Specimen	Details
----------	---------

Specimen conditions		Undisturbed
Length	(mm)	202.2
Diameter	(mm)	102.8
Moisture Content	(%)	23.8
Bulk Density	(Mg/m³)	2.04
Dry Density	(Mg/m³)	1.65
Test Details		
Latex membrane thickness	(mm)	0.3
Membrane correction	(kPa)	0.8
Axial displacement rate	(%/min)	2.0
Cell pressure	(kPa)	190
Strain at failure	(%)	12.9
Maximum Deviator Stress	(kPa)	250
Shear Stress Cu	(kPa)	125



Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Distance from top of tube mm	



Checked and Approved by: Project Number:

S Burke - Senior Technician

29/06/2018

GEO / 27587



EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL J18042

 Test Report By
 GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Project Name:

Page 1 of 1 (Ref 1530271745)

QUICK UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST

BH/TP No Depth (m) Sample Type

BH1 12.50 U

Description:

Very stiff fissured brown mottled grey CLAY.

Specimen Details

•		
Specimen conditions		Undisturbed
Length	(mm)	202.9
Diameter	(mm)	103.1
Moisture Content	(%)	23.1
Bulk Density	(Mg/m³)	2.11
Dry Density	(Mg/m³)	1.71
Test Details		
Latex membrane thickness	(mm)	0.3
Membrane correction	(kPa)	0.7
Axial displacement rate	(%/min)	2.0
Cell pressure	(kPa)	250
Strain at failure	(%)	10.8
Maximum Deviator Stress	(kPa)	381
Shear Stress Cu	(kPa)	191

Mode of failure	

Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Distance from top of tube mm	45

æ
~
0
Ñ
1
ß
Q
~
-
· ·
÷.
02
ø
<u> </u>
`_
5
0
. <u>n</u>
÷
ŪΨ
>
ㅋ
Ċ.

Checked and Approved by: Project Number:

S Burke - Senior Technician

Project Name:

GEO / 27587

EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL

GEOLABS

J18042 29/06/2018 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX Test Report By GEOLABS Limited Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Page 1 of 1 (Ref 1530271748)

QUICK UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST

BH/TP No Depth (m) Sample Type

BH2 8.00 U Description:

Very stiff fissured dark brown silty CLAY.

•		
Specimen conditions		Undisturbed
Length	(mm)	202.3
Diameter	(mm)	102.7
Moisture Content	(%)	22.5
Bulk Density	(Mg/m³)	2.01
Dry Density	(Mg/m³)	1.64
Test Details		
Latex membrane thickness	(mm)	0.3
Membrane correction	(kPa)	1.1
Axial displacement rate	(%/min)	2.0
Cell pressure	(kPa)	160
Strain at failure	(%)	19.8
Maximum Deviator Stress	(kPa)	215
Shear Stress Cu	(kPa)	107



Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Distance from top of tube mm	30



Checked and Approved by: Project Number:

S Burke - Senior Technician

29/06/2018

GEO / 27587

EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL

J18042

 Test Report By GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Project Name:

Page 1 of 1 (Ref 1530271750)

QUICK UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST

BH/TP No Depth (m) Sample Type

BH2 11.00 U Description:

Very stiff fissured brown mottled grey CLAY.

Specimen I	Details
------------	---------

Specimen conditions		Undisturbed
Length	(mm)	202.6
Diameter	(mm)	102.7
Moisture Content	(%)	21.8
Bulk Density	(Mg/m³)	2.10
Dry Density	(Mg/m ³)	1.73
Test Details		
Latex membrane thickness	(mm)	0.3
Membrane correction	(kPa)	0.3
Axial displacement rate	(%/min)	2.0
Cell pressure	(kPa)	220
Strain at failure	(%)	3.2
Maximum Deviator Stress	(kPa)	241
Shear Stress Cu	(kPa)	120



Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Distance from top of tube mm	



Checked and Approved by: Project Number:

S Burke - Senior Technician

29/06/2018

GEO / 27587



EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL J18042

 Test Report By GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Project Name:

QUICK UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST

BH/TP No Depth (m) Sample Type

BH3 5.00 U Description:

Soft dark grey mottled brown CLAY.

Specimen Details

Specimen conditions		Undisturbed
Length	(mm)	203.3
Diameter	(mm)	101.9
Moisture Content	(%)	37.0
Bulk Density	(Mg/m³)	1.86
Dry Density	(Mg/m³)	1.36
Test Details		
Latex membrane thickness	(mm)	0.3
Membrane correction	(kPa)	1.1
Axial displacement rate	(%/min)	2.0
Cell pressure	(kPa)	100
Strain at failure	(%)	19.7
Maximum Deviator Stress	(kPa)	69
Shear Stress Cu	(kPa)	34



Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Distance from top of tube mm	40



Checked and Approved by: Project Number:

S Burke - Senior Technician

29/06/2018

GEO / 27587

EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL

J18042

 Test Report By GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Project Name:

Page 1 of 1 (Ref 1530271755)
BS 1377 : Part 7 : 1990 Clause 8

QUICK UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST

BH/TP No Depth (m) Sample Type

BH3 8.00 U Description:

Firm dark brown mottled dark grey CLAY.

Specimen Details

Specimen conditions		Undisturbed
Length	(mm)	203.0
Diameter	(mm)	103.3
Moisture Content	(%)	30.0
Bulk Density	(Mg/m³)	1.99
Dry Density	(Mg/m³)	1.53
Test Details		
Latex membrane thickness	(mm)	0.3
Membrane correction	(kPa)	1.1
Axial displacement rate	(%/min)	2.0
Cell pressure	(kPa)	160
Strain at failure	(%)	19.7
Maximum Deviator Stress	(kPa)	191
Shear Stress Cu	(kPa)	96



Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Distance from top of tube mm	25



Checked and Approved by: Project Number:

S Burke - Senior Technician

29/06/2018

GEO / 27587

EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL

J18042

 Test Report By
 GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Project Name:

Page 1 of 1 (Ref 1530271757)

BS 1377 : Part 7 : 1990 Clause 8

QUICK UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST

BH/TP No Depth (m) Sample Type

BH3 11.00 U Description:

Firm brown mottled grey CLAY.

Specimen Details

Specimen conditions		Undisturbed
Length	(mm)	203.6
Diameter	(mm)	103.2
Moisture Content	(%)	29.2
Bulk Density	(Mg/m³)	2.00
Dry Density	(Mg/m ³)	1.55
Test Details		
Latex membrane thickness	(mm)	0.3
Membrane correction	(kPa)	0.3
Axial displacement rate	(%/min)	2.0
Cell pressure	(kPa)	220
Strain at failure	(%)	4.4
Maximum Deviator Stress	(kPa)	132
Shear Stress Cu	(kPa)	66



Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Distance from top of tube mm	30



Checked and Approved by: Project Number:

S Burke - Senior Technician

29/06/2018

GEO / 27587

EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL

J18042

 Test Report By GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Project Name:

Page 1 of 1 (Ref 1530271760)

BS 1377 : Part 7 : 1990 Clause 8

QUICK UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TEST

BH/TP No Depth (m) Sample Type

BH3 14.00 U Description:

Firm brown mottled grey CLAY.

Specimen Details

Specimen conditions		Undisturbed
Length	(mm)	203.6
Diameter	(mm)	103.2
Moisture Content	(%)	20.5
Bulk Density	(Mg/m³)	2.13
Dry Density	(Mg/m³)	1.77
Test Details		
Latex membrane thickness	(mm)	0.3
Membrane correction	(kPa)	0.5
Axial displacement rate	(%/min)	2.0
Cell pressure	(kPa)	130
Strain at failure	(%)	7.9
Maximum Deviator Stress	(kPa)	491
Shear Stress Cu	(kPa)	245



Orientation of the sample	Vertical
Distance from top of tube mm	30



Checked and Approved by: Project Number:

S Burke - Senior Technician

29/06/2018

GEO / 27587

EYRE STREET, RAGGED SCHOOL

J18042

 Test Report By GEOLABS Limited
 Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Hertfordshire, WD25 9XX

 Client : Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited, Widbury Barn, Widbury Hill, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 7QE

Project Name:

Page 1 of 1 (Ref 1530271762)



Jack Deaney Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Widbury Barn Widbury Hill Ware Hertfordshire SG127QE



i2 Analytical Ltd. 7 Woodshots Meadow, Croxley Green Business Park, Watford, Herts, WD18 8YS

t: 01923 225404 f: 01923 237404 e: reception@i2analytical.com

e: Jack@gea-ltd.co.uk

Analytical Report Number : 18-85373

Project / Site name:	Ragged School	Samples received on:	14/05/2018
Your job number:	J18042	Samples instructed on:	14/05/2018
Your order number:	J18042	Analysis completed by:	21/05/2018
Report Issue Number:	1	Report issued on:	21/05/2018
Samples Analysed:	2 soil samples		

Signed:

Jordan Hill Reporting Manager For & on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd.

Standard Geotechnical, Asbestos and Chemical Testing Laboratory located at: ul. Pionierów 39, 41 -711 Ruda Śląska, Poland.

Accredited tests are defined within the report, opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of accreditation.

Standard sample disposal times, unless otherwise agreed with the laboratory, are :

soils	- 4 weeks from reporting
leachates	- 2 weeks from reporting
waters	- 2 weeks from reporting
asbestos	- 6 months from reporting

Excel copies of reports are only valid when accompanied by this PDF certificate.





Analytical Report Number: 18-85373 Project / Site name: Ragged School Your Order No: J18042

Lab Sample Number	960497	960498					
Sample Reference	DU 2	TDO					
Sample Number	Nono Supplied	Nono Supplied					
Donth (m)							
Data Sampled				10/05/2018	12/05/2018		
Time Taken				None Supplied	None Supplied		
			<u> </u>	None Supplied	None Supplied		
		de L	, õ				
Analytical Parameter	Un Un	imi	sta				
(Soil Analysis)	its	tio	itat				
		D ""	ion				
Stone Content	0/6	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	< 0.1		
Moisture Content	%	N/A	NONE	18	17		
Total mass of sample received	ka	0.001	NONE	1.1	1.2		
	ĸġ	0.001	NONE	111	112		
Asbestos in Soil	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Not-detected		
	.//						
General Inorganics							
pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	8.0	8.2		
Total Cyanide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1	< 1		
Total Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/kg	50	MCERTS	1200	2700		
Water Soluble SO4 16hr extraction (2:1 Leachate							
Equivalent)	g/l	0.00125	MCERTS	0.16	0.20		
Sulphide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	1.6		
Water Soluble Chloride (2:1)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	26	94		
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	%	0.1	MCERTS	3.2	1.8		
Total Phoneic							
Total Phenois	ma/lia	1	MCEDIC	< 1.0	< 1.0		
rotal Phenois (mononyunc)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0		
Speciated PAHs							
Nanhthalene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Acenaphthylene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Acenaphthene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Fluorene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Phenanthrene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05		
				0.00	0.00		
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHS	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	< 0.80	< 0.80		
Honyy Motole / Motollaida							
Arconic (agua regia extractable)	ma/lia	1	MCEDIC	15	21		
Alsellic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCEDIC	15	< 0.2		
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCEDIC	17	19		
Conner (aqua regia extractable)	ma/ka	1	MCEDIC	180	140		
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCEDIC	1100	880		
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	ma/ka	03	MCEDIC	33	4 7		
Nickel (agua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	19	17		
Selenium (agua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	1,2	1.1		
Zinc (agua regia extractable)	ma/ka	1	MCERTS	93	110		
						C	C





Analytical Report Number: 18-85373 Project / Site name: Ragged School Your Order No: J18042

Lab Sample Number			960497	960498			
Sample Reference				BH3	TP9		
Sample Number				None Supplied	None Supplied		
Depth (m)				1.50	0.90		
Date Sampled			10/05/2018	12/05/2018			
Time Taken			None Supplied	None Supplied			
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status				

Petroleum Hydrocarbons

							-	-
TPH C10 - C40	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	37	< 10			
_	_	_			_	_	_	_
TPH (C8 - C10)	mg/kg	0.1	MCERTS	< 0.1	< 0.1			
TPH (C10 - C12)	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0			
TPH (C12 - C16)	mg/kg	4	MCERTS	< 4.0	< 4.0			
TPH (C16 - C21)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	11	< 1.0			
TPH (C21 - C35)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	21	< 1.0			





Analytical Report Number : 18-85373

Project / Site name: Ragged School

* These descriptions are only intended to act as a cross check if sample identities are questioned. The major constituent of the sample is intended to act with respect to MCERTS validation. The laboratory is accredited for sand, clay and loam (MCERTS) soil types. Data for unaccredited types of solid should be interpreted with care.

Stone content of a sample is calculated as the % weight of the stones not passing a 10 mm sieve. Results are not corrected for stone content.

Lab Sample Number	Sample Reference	Sample Number	Depth (m)	Sample Description *
960497	BH3	None Supplied	1.50	Brown clay and sand with rubble and brick.
960498	TP9	None Supplied	0.90	Brown sand with rubble and brick.





Analytical Report Number : 18-85373

Project / Site name: Ragged School

Water matrix abbreviations: Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Water (PrW)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
Asbestos identification in soil	Asbestos Identification with the use of polarised light microscopy in conjunction with disperion staining techniques.	In house method based on HSG 248	A001-PL	D	ISO 17025
Chloride, water soluble, in soil	Determination of Chloride colorimetrically by discrete analyser.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 3, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests. 2:1 extraction.	L082-PL	D	MCERTS
D.O. for Gravimetric Quant if Screen/ID positive	Dependent option for Gravimetric Quant if Screen/ID positive scheduled.	In house asbestos methods A001 & A006.	A006-PL	D	NONE
Metals in soil by ICP-OES	Determination of metals in soil by aqua-regia digestion followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Moisture Content	Moisture content, determined gravimetrically.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 2, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests	L019-UK/PL	W	NONE
Monohydric phenols in soil	Determination of phenols in soil by extraction with sodium hydroxide followed by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (skalar)	L080-PL	W	MCERTS
pH in soil (automated)	Determination of pH in soil by addition of water followed by automated electrometric measurement.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 3, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests	L099-PL	D	MCERTS
Speciated EPA-16 PAHs in soil	Determination of PAH compounds in soil by extraction in dichloromethane and hexane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards.	In-house method based on USEPA 8270	L064-PL	D	MCERTS
Stones content of soil	Standard preparation for all samples unless otherwise detailed. Gravimetric determination of stone > 10 mm as % dry weight.	In-house method based on British Standard Methods and MCERTS requirements.	L019-UK/PL	D	NONE
Sulphate, water soluble, in soil (16hr extraction)	Determination of water soluble sulphate by ICP- OES. Results reported directly (leachate equivalent) and corrected for extraction ratio (soil equivalent).	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 3, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests, 2:1 water:soil extraction, analysis by ICP- OES.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Sulphide in soil	Determination of sulphide in soil by acidification and heating to liberate hydrogen sulphide, trapped in an alkaline solution then assayed by ion selective electrode.	In-house method	L010-PL	D	MCERTS
Total cyanide in soil	Determination of total cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (Skalar)	L080-PL	W	MCERTS
Total organic carbon (Automated) in soil	Determination of organic matter in soil by oxidising with potassium dichromate followed by titration with iron (II) sulphate.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 3, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests""	L009-PL	D	MCERTS
Total sulphate (as SO4 in soil)	Determination of total sulphate in soil by extraction with 10% HCl followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 3, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
TPH Banding in Soil by FID	Determination of hexane extractable hydrocarbons in soil by GC-FID.	In-house method, TPH with carbon banding.	L076-PL	w	MCERTS
TPH in (Soil)	Determination of TPH bands by HS-GC-MS/GC-FID	In-house method, TPH with carbon banding.	L076-PL	D	MCERTS

For method numbers ending in 'UK' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in the United Kingdom.

For method numbers ending in 'PL' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in Poland.

Soil analytical results are expressed on a dry weight basis. Where analysis is carried out on as-received the results obtained are multiplied by a moisture correction factor that is determined gravimetrically using the moisture content which is carried out at a maximum of 30oC.

Iss No 18-85373-1 Ragged School J18042

This certificate should not be reproduced, except in full, without the express permission of the laboratory. The results included within the report are representative of the samples submitted for analysis.

IJ	GEA			Widbury Barn Widbury Hill Ware Herts SG12 7QE	Generic Risk-Based Soil Screening Values		
Site		The Former Ra	gged School, 15-29 Eyre Sti	reet Hil, London, EC1R 5DZ		Job Number J18042	
Client		Clerkenwell Life	estyle (UK) Ltd			Sheet	
Engineer		HTS				1/1	
	Propo	sed End Use	Residential without pla	ant uptake			
		Soil pH	8				
Soil	Organic Matte	er content %	2.5				
Conta	minant	Screening Value mg/kg	Data Source	Contaminant	Screening Value mg/kg	Data Source	
		Metals		A	nions		
Arsenic		40	C4SL	Soluble Sulphate	500 mg/l	Structures	
Cadmium		149	C4SL	Sulphide	50	Structures	
Chromium (III)	3000	LQM/CIEH	Chloride	400	Structures	
Chromium (VI)	21	C4SL		Others		
Copper		2,330	LQM/CIEH	Organic Carbon (%)	6	Methanogenic potenti	
Lead		310	C4SL	Total Cyanide	140	WRAS	
Elemental Me	rcury	1.02	SGV	Total Mono Phenols	420	SGV	
Inorganic Mer	cury	235	SGV		PAH		
Nickel		99	LQM/CIEH	Naphthalene	5.60	C4SL exp & LQM/CIE	
Selenium		595	SGV	Acenaphthylene	3,020	LQM/CIEH	
Zinc		3,750	LQM/CIEH	Acenaphthene	3,090	LQM/CIEH	
	Hyd	drocarbons		Fluorene	2,480	LQM/CIEH	
Benzene		1.4	C4SL	Phenanthrene	928	LQM/CIEH	
Toluene		320	SGV	Anthracene	22,200	LQM/CIEH	
Ethyl Benzene	e	180	SGV	Fluoranthene	993	LQM/CIEH	
Xylene		120	SGV	Pyrene	2,380	LQM/CIEH	
Aliphatic C5-C	26	55	LQM/CIEH	Benzo(a) Anthracene	7.8	C4SL exp & LQM/CIE	
Aliphatic C6-C	28	160	LQM/CIEH	Chrysene	15	C4SL exp & LQM/CIF	
Aliphatic C8-C	210	46	LQM/CIEH	Benzo(b) Fluoranthene	11.0	C4SL exp & LQM/CIF	
Aliphatic C10-	C12	230	LQM/CIEH	Benzo(k) Fluoranthene	15.6	C4SL exp & LQM/CIF	
Aliphatic C12	C16	1700	LQM/CIEH	Benzo(a) pyrene	4.70	C4SL	
Aliphatic C16	C35	64,000	LQM/CIEH	Indeno(1 2 3 cd) Pyrene	6.6	C4SL exp & LQM/CIE	
Aromatic C6-0	C7	See Benzene	LQM/CIEH	Dibenzo(a h) Anthracene	1.38	C4SL exp & LQM/CIE	
Aromatic C7-0	- C8	See Toluene	LQM/CIFH	Benzo (g h i) Pervlene	72	C4SL exp & LOM/CIF	
Aromatic C8-0	 C10	65	LQM/CIFH	Screening value for PAH	67.1	B(a)P / 0 15	
Aromatic C10	-C12	160	LQM/CIFH	Chlorina	ted Solven	ts	
Aromatic C12	 -C16	310	LQM/CIFH	1.1.1 trichloroethane (TCA)	29.8		
Aromatic C16	-C21	480	LQM/CIFH	tetrachloroethane (PCA)	8.05		
Aromatic C21	-C35	1100		tetrachloroethene (PCF)	3.39		
PRO (C. –C)	647	Calc	trichloroethene (TCE)	0.346		
DRO (CC	») "	66 400	Calc	1 2-dichloroethane (DCA)	0.040		
		65 100	Calc	vinvl chloride (Chloroetheno)	0.00331		
	U 44)	1000	Triggor for aposisted	tetrachloromethana (Carbon tetra	0.00240		
		1000	testing	trichloromothono (Chloroform)	2 01		
			looning	michiolomenane (Chioloffi)	91		

Concentrations measured below the above values may be considered to represent 'uncontaminated conditions' which pose 'LOW' risk to human

health. Concentrations measured in excess of these values indicate a potential risk which require further, site specific risk assessment.

SGV - Soil Guideline Value, derived from the CLEA model and published by Environment Agency 2009

LQM/CIEH - Generic Assessment Criteria for Human Health Risk Assessment 2nd edition (2009) derived using CLEA 1.04 model 2009

C4SL - Defra Category 4 Screening value based on Low Level of Toxicological Risk

C4SL exp & LQM/CIEH calculated using C4SL revisions to exposure assessment but LQM/CIEH health criteria values

Calc - sum of nearest available carbon range specified including BTEX for PRO fraction

B(a)P / 0.15 - GEA experience indicates that Benzo(a) pyrene (one of the most common and most carcinogenic of the PAHs) rarely exceeds 15% of the total PAH concentration, hence this Total PAH threshold is regarded as being conservative

S	GEA Widbury Barn Widbury Hill Ware Herts SG12 7QE	Generic Risk-Based Soil Screening Values					
Site	The Former Ragged School, 15-29 Eyre Street Hil, London, EC1R 5DZ	Job Number J18042					
Client	Clerkenwell Lifestyle (UK) Ltd	Sheet					
Engineer	HTS	2/2					
Proposed E	Ind Use Residential without plant uptake						
The key generic assumptions for this end use are as follows;							
	that groundwater will not be a critical risk receptor;						
	that the critical receptor for human health will be a young female aged 0 to 6 years old;						
	that the exposure duration will be six years;						
	that the building type equates to a terraced house.						
	that the critical exposure pathways will be direct soil and indoor dust ingestion, skin contact with soils and dust, and inhalation of dust and vapours;						
Where contaminant concentrations are measured at concentrations below the generic screening value it is considered that they pose an acceptable level of risk and thus further consideration of these contaminant concentrations is not required. However, where concentrations are measured in excess of the generic screening value there is considered to be a potential that they could pose an unacceptable risk and thus further action will be required which could include:							
	additional testing to zone the extent of the contaminated material and thus reduce the uncertainty with	regard to its potential risk;					
	site specific risk assessment to refine the assessment criteria and allow an assessment to be made as to whether the concentration present would pose an unacceptable risk at this site; or						
D	soil remediation or risk management to mitigate the risk posed by the contaminant to a degree that it p	oses an acceptable risk.					



DISCOVERY STRATEGY- CONSTRUCTION PHASE CONTAMINATED MATERIALS

SITE ADDRESS:THE FORMER RAGGED SCHOOL, 18 VINE STREET AND 15-
29 EYRE STREET HILL, LONDON EC1R 5DZ

SITE REFERENCE: J18042

INTRODUCTION

The site is proposed for redevelopment for a mix of commercial and residential purposes and a Discovery Strategy is to be implemented during the construction phase of the programme. Its purpose is to define the process to be undertaken on site in the event that previously unidentified pockets of contamination or suspicious objects are discovered during the redevelopment of the site. It is intended to be understood and followed by all on-site workers and for all new site workers to be made aware of the procedure.

HOW TO IDENTIFY POTENTIAL CONTAMINATED MATERIAL

- Looks oily and has an odour similar to that of oil, petrol or deisel
- Solvent or organic type of odour
- Man made materials in fill such as paint cans, car parts, glass fragments
- Fragments of asbestos containing cement sheeting, coal/coke clinker or ash
- Subsurface concrete / metal structures
- (Examples only this list is not exhaustive. If in any doubt ask)

PROCEDURE

On the discovery of any suspicious pockets of material during the redevelopment the following procedure should be followed:

- Site personnel to immediately inform Contractor's Site Manager. Do not investigate it yourself.
- The Site Manager will initially decide if the material is potentially contaminated and will inform GEA. The area will be cordoned off and work will cease in the vicinity.
- GEA will attend site to sample material for laboratory testing and will attempt to quantify the volume. The Local Authority Environmental Health Officer will then be notified that potentially contaminated material has been discovered and will be forwarded laboratory data and a remedial strategy for their approval in the event that the material is to be classified as contaminated.

GEA CONTACT DETAILS

Alex Taylor Senior Geotechnical Engineer 01727 824 666 AlexTaylor@gea-ltd.co.uk



Geotechnical & Environmental Associates

(GEA) is an engineer-led and clientfocused independent specialist providing a complete range of geotechnical and contaminated land investigation, analytical and consultancy services to the property and construction industries.

We have offices at

Widbury Barn Widbury Hill Ware Hertfordshire SG12 7QE tel 01727 824666 mail@gea-ltd.co.uk

Church Farm Gotham Road Kingston on Soar Notts NG11 ODE tel 01509 674888 midlands@gea-ltd.co.uk

Enquiries can also be made on-line at

www.gea-ltd.co.uk

where information can be found on all of the services that we offer.

