
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 March 2020 12:18
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Response - 2019/4049/P - O/S 55 New Oxford Street
Categories: Blue Category, Red Category

FAO Joshua Ogunleye

Thank you for allowing me to comment on planning application 2019/4049/P which relates to the installation of a telephone kiosk/communications hub outside 55 New Oxford Street.

I have reviewed the documents and I object to this planning application for the following reasons.

Comments

- Telephone kiosks are no longer used for their original purpose due to the fact that nearly every person is in possession of some kind of mobile device thus negating the need to use fixed land line telephone. As a result of this the phone boxes in The London Borough of Camden have now become 'crime generators' and a focal point for anti-social behaviour (ASB).
- My own previous experience of policing Camden highlights the above ASB, ranging from witnessing the taking of Class A drugs, urination, littering, the placing of 'Prostitute Cards', graffiti, sexual activities and a fixed location for begging. All of which have occurred within the current telephone kiosks. Also, due to poor maintenance any that are damaged or are dirty do not get cleaned, which makes the telephone kiosk unusable and an eye sore. Following the 'Broken Window' theory, if a location looks and feels that it is uncared for and in a state of disrepair then this leads to other criminal activity occurring within that location.
- The proposed location of the device is close to a busy road junction at Dyott Street and this route is heavily used by pedestrians. Therefore will become an ideal position for persons wishing to beg. The current phone box creates an unnecessary obstruction of the pedestrian highway and the replacing this unit with a new design will not improve this situation. At the moment there are Two (2) other telephone kiosks maintained by a different service provider and they to cause issue to the local area. The street clutter, especially directly outside a busy licensed premises does to not aid the safe dispersal of patrons after the premises closes and can hinder policing any public order situations that may arise.
- The design of the unit itself appears to be an issue as the operating unit, chargers and handset are situated on one side. Therefore if a person is using the unit they cannot see what is going on around them nor who could be approaching them from further up the foot path. Therefore creating a fear of crime whilst being used. The solar panels positioned at an angle on top of the device will act as a shelter from inclement weather.
- The hand set unit appears to be recessed into the main unit and therefore appears from the picture graphic to create a flat surface. New Oxford Street and the surrounding area is well known for Class A Drugs Misuse and therefore any well-lit and smooth surface is used for the preparation of such narcotics. This recess could also be used to store small objects and conceal them if police approach a suspect drug misuser preventing them from detecting crime.
- The introduction of the unit will also increase the above ASB, as it conceals the activities of what is occurring behind the actual space and prevents police or passers-by seeing what or who is in/near there. This

generates for the latter a fear of crime especially in regards to begging. As they will use the phone box as a cover and as a back rest when they sit on the floor, when the footpath is reduced in width even more by their presence pedestrians have to walk past closely and therefore this generates an uncomfortable feeling for them.

- The extra lighting produced by the kiosk and the space it uses up in the public realm will also create an added distraction to an already cluttered street space. Any CCTV monitoring the area will be effected by this and therefore any crime prevention/detection properties they produce is lost.
- Recent media reports have highlighted the increase in planning applications submitted to local planners for the construction of telephone kiosks. These were proven to have very little or no benefit to the local community especially in regards to the facilities that they are alleged to supply. The main reason busy locations with a high pedestrian and vehicle activity is chosen so that the telephone kiosk can be used as advertising space.
- For the above reasons I object to this planning application

Kind regards

Jim



Jim Cope
Police Constable – Design Out Crime Officer
Metropolitan Police Service
Continuous Policing Improvement Command (CPIC)

a. Ruislip Police Station, 5 The Oaks, Ruislip, HA4 7LF
w: www.met.police.uk e: [REDACTED]



NOTICE - This email and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may be confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this email or in any attachment without the permission of the sender. Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law and any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude binding agreements on behalf of the MPS by email and no responsibility is accepted for unauthorised agreements reached with other personnel. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this email, its security and that of any attachments cannot be guaranteed.