
From: Tom Symes [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 February 2020 10:30
To: Henry, Kate
Subject: FW: 2019/5738/P - 9 Chalcot Gardens

Dear Ms Henry, thanks for your email of 21st February about this application.

Belsoc has reviewed the changes and wishes to retain the objection. Please see below.

Regards

Tom Symes

-----Original Message-----

From: Sanya Polescuk [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 February 2020 10:23
To: Tom Symes [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] Artur Carulla [REDACTED]; Prabhat Vaze <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: 2019/5738/P - 9 Chalcot Gardens

Hi Tom,

I just checked and no change of the objectionable rear extension have been implemented. Only minor changes of the front bay. The Objection still stands I'm afraid.

I will start going through my List 570, as per the schedule.

Hope that's ok
Sanya Polescuk

> On 25 Feb 2020, at 19:22, Tom Symes <tomsymes@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> Artur and Sanya, you dealt with the objection to this one.

> "Objection - The proposed extension is inadequately designed:

> - The massing fails to improve on the awkward relationship of the existing conservatory with the geometry of the bay window, the balcony and overall composition of the rear elevation.

> - The design is incongruous, presenting a predominantly glazed contemporary volume that adopts the existing 'London stock' brick while failing to incorporate the red brick in the corners and window surrounds. The result is neither a complementing contemporary addition nor a respectful adaptation of the existing palette.

> - The proposed "'painted / powder coated metal"' finish to the rear doors of the extension is both vague in its description and inadequate to its context.

> - The proposed "'dark grey single ply material"' for the roof is inadequate considering its visibility by numerous neighbouring properties.

> - The design presents no evidence of any measures to prevent loss of privacy and light spillage from the rooflight to neighbouring properties above."

>

> Can you have a look at the revisions and see what you think please and let Camden know?

>

> Regards

>

> Tom
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: [REDACTED]
> Sent: 22 February 2020 14:44
> To: Sanya Polescuk [REDACTED]; Tom Symes
> [REDACTED]; Artur Carulla <[REDACTED]>; Prabhat
> Vaze [REDACTED]
> Subject: Fwd: Re: 2019/5738/P - 9 Chalcot Gardens
> Importance: High
>
>
>

> ----- Original Message -----
> Subject: Re: 2019/5738/P - 9 Chalcot Gardens
> Date: 22-02-2020 14:43
> From: [REDACTED]
> To: "Henry, Kate" <Kate.Henry@camden.gov.uk>
>
>
>

> Dear Miss Henry,
>
> Thank you very much for bringing this to our attention. I shall forward this to our planning monitors.
>
> Best wishes
>
> Sarah Courtin
>
> Secretary, Belsize Society
>
>> On 21-02-2020 15:56, Henry, Kate wrote:
>>
>> Good Afternoon,
>>
>> I write regarding the above planning application as I am the case
>> officer. I note that the Belsize Society has objected to the
>> proposals but I wanted to let you know that revised plans were
>> uploaded to the website on 10/02/2020, 2 days after your objection was received.
>>
>> Please could you confirm whether your objection still stands in
>> relation to the revised plans?
>>
>> I look forward to hearing from you.
>>
>> Kind regards
>>
>> Kate Henry
>>
>> Senior Planning Officer
>>
>> Regeneration and Planning
>>
>> London Borough of Camden

>>
>> (Tue, Wed, Thu, Fri)
>>
>> Telephone: 020 7974 3794
>>
>> Please consider the environment before printing this email.
>>
>> This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally
>> privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for
>> the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the
>> sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new
>> Privacy Notice here [1] which tells you how we store and process the
>> data we hold about you and residents.
>
>
> Links:
> -----
> [1] <http://www.camden.gov.uk/privacystatement>
>