



We will be commenting. But as discussions are on going at the moment, can I feed into the discussions our difficulties with the current proposals:

The knock-on effect of moving bin housing for two blocks to the back of the building on the remaining two remaining easier-to-access chutes at the front of the building. The resulting build up of rubbish will quickly necessitate new arrangements for the other two blocks.

The LSM's attempt to gain parking spaces in the eastern undercroft, which will limit use of this space.

The reliance on the current tenant's promises to mitigate problems caused by an external bin house and the longer journey to it. Tenant's change. This is a planning application not a lease negotiation.

Matters are not helped by LSM's lack of candor with us.

- ∞ We found out about the initial plans to put to bin house at the end of Elaine Grove by accident. LSM/Public works only engaged with us when we demanded it.

- ∞ After our meeting with the Public Works, proposals went forward to the Mayor of London with a bin house at the end of Elaine Grove.
- ∞ No notes have been tabled of EGOVRA's two most recent meetings with Mr Toothill when we firmly stated the view that the rubbish should remain in the undercroft, with better access. Mr Toothill flatly refused to countenance any solution in which rubbish remained in the building.
- ∞ Mr Toothill consistently declines to give straight answers.

There is a great deal of public money (from the Mayor) in this proposal, and, according to the LSM's application for funding to the Mayor, also a subsidy from Camden Council in the form of 8-10 years rent free period (for the LSM) for large sq footage involved. There should not be a detrimental public affect.

















