Application ref: 2019/6192/T

Contact: Tom Little Tel: 020 7974 6266 Date: 13 February 2020

Wassells Arboricultural Services
15 Norcombe House
Wedmore Street
Islington
London
N19 4RD



Development Management
Regeneration and Planning
London Borough of Camden
Town Hall

Judd Street London WC1H 9JE

Phone: 020 7974 4444

planning@camden.gov.uk

www.camden.gov.uk/planning

Dear Sir/Madam

DECISION

Town and Country Planning Acts 1990 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Tree Preservation Order) Regulations 1999

REFUSAL OF CONSENT FOR WORKS TO TREE/S UNDER A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER

Address:

o/s 37 - 80 Langbourne Mansions Langbourne Avenue Holly Lodge Estate London N6 6PR

Proposal:

OUTSIDE FRONT: 4 x Leyland Cypress (T1, T2, T3 & T4) - Fell to ground level.

The Council has considered your application dated 11 December 2019 and decided to refuse consent for the following reason(s):

Reason(s) for Refusal

The cypresses are highly visible from the public realm, they are considered to provide visual amenity and to make a positive contribution to the character of the conservation area. They provide habitat to wildlife and contribute to pollution reduction and the urban forest canopy and mitigation against the effects of climate change.

The application states shading of nearby flats and parking spaces, their overbearing presence as well as damage to local infrastructure including the road, footpaths,

verge embankments and collapsed drains as reasons for removal. Additionally that the trees are beginning to show signs of decline due to Phytophthora.

It is accepted that the trees are beginning to show signs of Phytophthora infection, however this is still relatively minor and not yet considered to have significantly impacted on the visual amenity the trees provide or to have caused the trees to become a health and safety issue.

The damage to the road and footpath is not considered to be a sufficient reason to justify the removal of trees that are protected by a TPO and could be repaired in such a way as to reduce the future impact the trees.

The damage to the drains requires repair, where drains are repaired with modern materials it is unlikely that such damage would reoccur.

The issues of shading or trees being overbearing are not considered to be sufficient justification for removing trees that are protected by a TPO. The issues of leaf litter and bird droppings are considered to be maintenance issues and are likewise not sufficient reasons to justify removal of protected trees.

On balance, it is considered that the trees are still worthy of retention at the present time and that the reasons given are not sufficient to justify their removal. The application has been refused to protect the visual amenity the trees provide, preserve the character of the conservation area and maintain their contribution to the urban forest canopy and local environment.

If you are unhappy with the Council's decision you may appeal within 28 days of the date of this notice by writing to The Environment Team, Room 4/04, Kite Wing, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol BS1 6PN.

Yours faithfully

Daniel Pope

Chief Planning Officer

It is important to us to find out what our customers think about the service we provide. To help us in this respect, we would be very grateful if you could take a few moments to complete our online survey at the following website address: www.camden.gov.uk/dmfeedback. We will use the information you give us to help improve our services.