

From: Bernard Berger [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 February 2020 13:35
To: Alex Nurnberg [REDACTED]
Cc: Planning [REDACTED]; Alex Newman [REDACTED]; Gautam Chandrasekharan [REDACTED]; Imogen Ware [REDACTED]; Karine Godber [REDACTED]; Lauren Eblett [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Response to 2020/0182/T

Dear Camden Planning team,

We share the views of our fellow property owners and residents in [REDACTED] Belsize Park Gardens, and see no requirement for the removal of the trees at 16 Belsize Park Gardens. The trees provide shade in the summer and as deciduous trees, shedding their leaves in the fall, provide light and warmth during the winter months. If these residents wish to live in a conservation area they need to respect the environment. If they want clear views and asphalt they should move to Canary Wharf!

We are vehemently opposed to the proposal.

Yours faithfully

Bernard Berger and Sarah Levy
[REDACTED]

Bernard Berger

On Sun, Feb 9, 2020 at 3:44 PM Alex Nurnberg [REDACTED] wrote:
Dear Camden Planning team,

We are not sure whether responses will still be collected to this application, but would like to add our view to the record, as property owners in [REDACTED] Belsize Park Gardens.

We are vehemently opposed to the proposal and especially to the removal of the large plane tree (T1) in the rear of 28 Glenilla Road.

There seems no legitimate basis for the proposal, given

- there is minimal damage in 16 Belsize Park Gardens, classified as "slight" in the applicant's report and with no damage perceived by the basement flat residents who have been in place for ten years;
- it is unclear whether the cause of this damage even relates to any nearby vegetation;
- the trees are a major asset to this conservation area.

Yours faithfully

Alexander Nurnberg and Imogen Ware
[REDACTED]

