

22 Holmes Road, London NW5 3AB

Basement Impact Assessment (Land Stability)

(April 2019)

Prepared for Stantec UK Ltd



Key GeoSolutions Ltd Nova House Audley Avenue Enterprise Park Newport Shropshire TF10 7DW Tel.01952 822960Fax.01952 822961

email info@keygs.co.uk web www.keygs.co.uk

Job Number:	19-088
Report Number:	19-088-R-001

Stantec UK Ltd

22 Holmes Road, London NW5 3AB

Basement Impact Assessment (Land Stability)

(April 2019)

Prepared by:

B Duthie BEng CGeol FGS UK Registered Ground Engineering Adviser

H Clarke BEng PhD CEng MICE MIStructE

Report Distribution List

Name	Copies	Rev.	Date	Copies	Rev.	Date	Copies	Rev.	Date
M Rouge	1(pdf)	Draft	30/04/19	1(pdf)	01	11/11/19			
N Siminoni	1(pdf)	01	11/11/19	1(pdf)	02	11/11/19	1(pdf)	03	06/02/20

This report has been prepared by Key GeoSolutions Limited with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, within the terms of the Contract with the Client. The report is confidential to the Client and Key GeoSolutions Limited accept no responsibility of whatever nature to third parties to whom this report August be made known.

No part of this report August be reproduced without prior written approval of Key GeoSolutions Limited.

CONTENTS

Page Number

1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	2
	1.1	Proposed Development	2
	1.2	Scope of Work	2
	1.3	Qualifications	2
	1.4	Limitations	2
2.0	SITE	DESCRIPTION	4
3.0	PRO	JECT SCREENING AND SCOPING	5
4.0	GRO	UND CONDITIONS	7
	4.1	Soil Conditions	7
	4.2	Groundwater Conditions	7
5.0	LAND	D STABILITY ASSESSMENT	8
	5.1	Proposed Development	8
	5.2	Movement Assessment	8
	5.3	Monitoring	9
	5.4	Damage Category	10
6.0	CON	CLUSIONS	11
7.0	REFE	ERENCES	12

Appendices

Appendix 1 22 Holmes Road NW5 3AB, Report on Ground Investigation (March 2019), Key GeoSolutions Ltd report reference 19-088-R-001

Drawings

1617-NMA-XX-ZZ-DR-A-20303

1617-NMA-00-ZZ-DR-A-00303

Key GeoSolutions; 19-088-D-001 Cross sections illustrating relationship between neighbouring properties and the proposed basements Norton Mayfield; Ground Floor Option 2 1617-NMA-XX-00-DR-A-20101 1617-NMA-XX-01-DR-A-20101 First Floor Option 2 1617-NMA-XX-B1-DR-A-20101 **Basement Option 2** 1617-NMA-XX-R1-DR-A-20101 Roof Plan Option 2 1617-NMA-XX-ZZ-DR-A-20301 Section AA 1617-NMA-XX-ZZ-DR-A-20302 Section BB

Section CC

Sections DD & EE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Key GeoSolutions Ltd (KGS) have been commissioned by Stantec Limited to undertake a land stability assessment in relation to a proposed basement development at 22 Holmes Road, NW5 3AB.

1.1 Proposed Development

The property is described in the Camden Local List (2015) as 'semi-detached mid-19th century villa, semi basement plus two storeys, set behind front garden.

The proposed development will comprise:

- The demolition of the existing lean-to store room at the side of the house and former studio building, closing off of the existing house at the off-shot;
- Erection of a two-bed, three storey house, in-filling the gap between the existing house and the neighbouring office building, fronting onto Holmes Road;
- Erection of two connected two-bed houses over three storeys within a courtyard arrangement at the rear of the site.

1.2 Scope of Work

The purpose of this assessment is to consider if the proposed basements can be constructed without having a detrimental impact on the surroundings with respect to land stability and in particular whether the development will affect the stability of neighbouring properties.

1.3 Qualifications

This assessment has been undertaken by Brian Duthie and Howard Clarke. Brian holds a BEng in Engineering Geology and Geotechnics, is a chartered geologist, Fellow of the Geological Society and UK Registered Ground Engineering Adviser with 30 years' experience in geotechnical engineering. Howard holds a BEng in Civil Engineering, is a chartered engineer and Member of the Institution of Civil Engineers and Member of the Institute of Structural Engineers with 13 years' experience in civil engineering. Both assessors satisfy the qualification requirements given in the Camden Planning Guidance 4.

1.4 Limitations

The conclusions and recommendations made in this report are limited to those that can be made

on the basis of the research carried out. The results of the research should be viewed in the context of the work that has been carried out and no liability can be accepted for matters outside the stated scope of the research. The assessment does not constitute a detailed structural design for the basement structure, as would be required to allow construction to take place.

This report has been prepared for the information, benefit and use of Stantec UK Ltd only and any liability of Key GeoSolutions Ltd to any third party, whether in contract or in tort, is specifically excluded. Any third party finding themselves in possession of this report may not rely upon it without first obtaining the written authority of Key GeoSolutions Ltd.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site, 22 Holmes Road in the London Borough of Camden, post code NW5 3AB and National Grid Reference 528865mE, 185057mN. The site is approximately rectangular in plan and covers an area of 290m², being approximately 9.5m by 30.6m with the long axis running front to back at approximately south south-west to north north-east.

The general topography of the area slopes gently down from Hampstead Heath to the north-east, the overall topographical gradient in the area is approximately 1 vertical in 10 horizontal (c. 5.7°) from north-east to south-west. The approximate location of the site is shown on Figure 1 below.




Figure 1 – Approximate Site Location

3.0 PROJECT SCREENING AND SCOPING

Following the guidance given in the London Borough of Camden document CPG4 'Basements and lightwells' (2013) it is required to identify the potential impacts of the proposed scheme. The flowchart entitled 'Slope stability screening flowchart' in Figure 2 of CPG4 assists with understanding the potential impacts that a basement may have.

SLOPE STABILITY (Slope stability screening flowchart (Figure 2, CPG4	(Camden Cou	ıncil, 2013))		
Impact question		Justification	Reference	
1) Does the existing site include slopes, natural or manmade, greater than 7°?	No	Figure 10 Camden Topographic Map Figure 16 Slope Angle Map From a walkover of the site and surrounding areas topography is essentially flat.	Ove Arup, 2010 Ordnance Survey Mapping.	
2) Will the proposed re-profiling or landscaping at site change slopes at the property boundary to more than 7°?	No	No re-profilling of the site is proposed	Drawings of proposed development	
3) Does the development neighbour land, including railway cuttings and the like, with a slope greater than 7°?	No			
4) Is the site within a wider hillside setting in which the general slope is greater than 7°?	No	Figure 10 Camden Topographic Map	Ove Arup, 2010	
5) Is the London Clay the shallowest strata at the site?	Yes	Figure 5 South Camden Geological Map	Ove Arup, 2010, BGS Geoindex, site investigation	
6) Will any trees be felled as part of the proposed development and / or any works proposed within any tree protection zones where trees are to be retained?	No		Drawings of proposed development	
7) Is there any history of seasonal shrink-swell subsidence in the local area, and / or evidence of such effects at the site?	No	None reported by home-owner and on inspection no evidence in property or immediate neighbours of subsidence. Proposed foundation depths will be beyond depth of influence of vegetation.		

8) Is the site within 100m of a watercourse or potential spring line?	No	The route of a tributary of the River Fleet is shown approximately 120m to the east of the site, Figure 2, Camden 1:10,560 Geological Map (1920) and Figure 11 Watercourses.	Ove Arup, 2010
9) Is the site within an area of previously worked ground?	No	An area of worked ground is shown approximately 150m to the west north-west of the site, Figure 4 North Camden Geological Map. The boreholes drilled at the site found only shallow made ground, less than 1.0m deep.	Ove Arup, 2010 Site Investigation
10) Is the site within an aquifer? If so, will the proposed basement extend beneath the water table such that dewatering may be required during construction?	Νο	No groundwater was encountered in the boreholes during the site investigation. Subsequent monitoring of the piezometers has found a rising water level in borehole WS02. Given the very slow rate of water rise it is likely that this represents a seepage from a very thin silt bed in the London Clay.	Ove Arup, 2010
11) Is the site within 50m of Hampstead Heath ponds?	No	The ponds are approximately 1.5km to the north-west.	Ove Arup, 2010 OS Mapping
12) Is the site within 5m of a highway or pedestrian right of way?	Yes	The proposed basements in the two properties to the rear will be within 5m of Regis Road.	OS Mapping and Google Maps Drawings of proposed development
13) Will the proposed basement significantly increase the differential depth of foundations relative to neighbouring properties?	Yes	Basements to two properties adjacent to north-west boundary will be significantly deeper than the foundations of the adjacent property. A trial pit was excavated to expose the foundations of the adjacent property.	OS Mapping and Google Maps Site investigation
14) Is the site over (or within the exclusion zone of) any tunnels e.g. railway lines?	No	Figure 18 Transport Infrastructure	Ove Arup, 2010

4.0 GROUND CONDITIONS

4.1 Soil Conditions

The site is covered by British Geological Survey 1:50,000 Geological Sheet No. 256 (North London), which indicates the site to be underlain by deposits of the London Clay Formation of Eocene age, no superficial deposits are shown to overlie the London Clay.

An intrusive site investigation was undertaken at the site on 28th February 2019, report reference 19-088-R-001, a copy of which is included as Appendix 1. The site investigation comprised three windowless sampling boreholes and a hand dug trial pit. All encountered made ground, with the depth varying from 0.5 to 0.9m, consisting of predominantly sand, overlying London Clay. Boreholes WS02 and WS03 were taken to a depth of 5.45m into the London Clay.

Trial TP01 was excavated in order to determine the depth of the foundation of the party wall with 24 Holmes Road. The top of the foundation was encountered at 0.5m depth and the underside of the foundation was at 0.9m.

4.2 Groundwater Conditions

No groundwater was encountered by the boreholes or trial pit. Standpipes were installed in each of the three boreholes, WS01 and WS03 were installed at shallow depths in order to monitor any perched water on the surface of the London Clay and in WS02 to the full depth of the borehole.

Monitoring of the standpipes has been undertaken on three occasions, 21/03/19, 02/04/19 and 17/04/19. WS01 has been dry on all occasions. WS03 had water at 0.87 and 0.88m depth below ground on the first two visits and was dry on the third visit, suggesting some surface water percolation through the made ground. WS02 has shown a rise in water level on each monitoring occasion, when the rise is plotted against time there appears to be a linear relationship. This suggests that the rise is due to a seepage from a thin low permeability silt / sand parting. For further assessment of groundwater conditions refer to Stantec Ltd report reference 67109 R1 D1, 22 Holmes Road NW5 3AB - Basement Impact Assessment: - Surface Water and Groundwater.

5.0 LAND STABILITY ASSESSMENT

Where the screening checklist has returned a 'yes' response to any question that matter is carried forward to the scoping stage. The scoping produces a statement which defines the matters of concern identified in the screening stage.

5.1 Proposed Development

The proposed development is shown on the Norton Mayfield Architects drawings, which are included at the rear of this report;

- 1617-NMA-XX-00-DR-A-20101 Ground Floor Option 2
- 1617-NMA-XX-01-DR-A-20101 First Floor Option 2
- 1617-NMA-XX-B1-DR-A-20101 Basement Option 2
- 1617-NMA-XX-R1-DR-A-20101 Roof Plan Option 2
- 1617-NMA-XX-ZZ-DR-A-20301 Section AA
- 1617-NMA-XX-ZZ-DR-A-20302 Section BB
- 1617-NMA-XX-ZZ-DR-A-20303 Section CC
- 1617-NMA-00-ZZ-DR-A-00303 Sections DD & EE

The proposed basements are within 5m of the public highway and will significantly increase the differential depth of foundations relative to neighbouring properties. The basements on the western side of the site will be of the order of 2.1m deeper than the underside of the foundations of the party wall between 22 and 24 Holmes Road. The floor of the existing basement / lower ground floor is approximately 1.0m below ground level. It is proposed that the floor of the new basements will be 3.0m below ground level.

KGS drawing number 19-088-D-001 has been produced to illustrate the relationship between the foundations of the neighbouring properties / structures and the proposed basement construction.

5.2 Movement Assessment

No detailed structural design has been produced for the proposed development to date, however a structural assessment has been undertaken by Osborne Edwards Ltd (report reference 19088/JO, July 2019). The report reviews the feasibility of the basement construction and proposes a construction methodology. The report concludes that through careful planning and execution of the construction work and employing a sufficiently stiff bracing system during formation of the basement a means of forming the basement without risk of significant lateral movements is both possible and practical.

The construction methodology to form the basement is a combination of contiguous bored pile

walls and underpinning of sections of the existing foundations. The relationship of the pile walls and underpinning to the existing structures is shown on KGS drawing number 19-088-D-001, the existing is shown in orange on the cross-sections and the proposed is shown in green. The depth of the existing foundation under the wall of No. 24-26 is taken from the trial pit excavated adjacent to the wall. Based upon the existing and proposed levels of the floors it is likely that the foundations to this wall will not need to be underpinned, however if they do the depth of the underpins will be small.

It is assumed that a suitably experienced specialist basement contractor will be appointment for the basement works, this contractor will be responsible for the design and implementation of the temporary works necessary to build the basement.

Ground movements resulting from underpinning are not well documented and there is no specific method for assessing their magnitude. When underpinning is carried out in a well-controlled manner, movements are typically small. The ground conditions at the site are London Clay from surface, within which underpinning operations can normally be undertaken with relatively little disturbance. It is proposed that the formation of the basement wall adjacent to the existing property will be made in a sequential underpinning pattern with underpins being no wider than 1.2m. The sequence of the underpinning will be in the 1, 4, 2, 5, 3 sequence and such that any given underpin will be completed, dry packed and a minimum period of 48 hours lapsed before an adjacent excavation commenced to form another underpin.

Assessment of the ground movements resulting from the pile installation and the excavation to form the basement has been undertaken with reference to CIRIA C760 Guidance on embedded retaining wall design (2017). To provide some basis for estimating likely movements and damage resulting from excavating the basement in front of the underpinning and in the absence of underpinning specific guidance, the underpinned sections have been treated as piles.

For the bored pile walls the embedded length of the piles will be wholly in stiff London Clay, hence it is possible from C760 to estimate the horizontal and vertical movements that could be expected as a result of the pile installation and the excavation of the basements. It is assumed that a high stiffness support system will be applied to the underpins and the contiguous bored pile wall.

From C760 Figure 6.15 it can be seen that at a distance of four times the depth of the excavation from behind the wall the expected movement will be negligible, for the excavation depth of 3.5m this would be a distance of 14m. The key structures / infrastructure that would be impacted within this zone of influence are listed in Table 1 overleaf, along with the total predicted levels of movement due to the basement construction.

The movements given by C760 are for excavations with long straight walls, corners tend to limit movements, such that horizontal deflections towards an excavation in the vicinity of a corner to the excavation are typically reduced to about half that predicted. Hence given the limited dimensions of the proposed excavations and likely effect the corners will have the predicted movements given in Table 1 are likely to be conservative. In particular, the movements likely to be experienced in the footpath and highway at Regis Road and Holmes Road will be small.

Structure / Infrastructure	Distance from	Predicted Horizontal	Predicted Vertical	
	excavation (m)	Movement (mm)	Movement (mm)	
Existing wall of No. 22	0	6.50	3.15	
Party wall with No. 24	0	6.50	3.15	
Rear garden wall to No. 22	2.6	4.70	3.20	
Garden wall with No. 20	0	6.50	3.15	
Regis Road Footpath	3.0	4.25	3.4	
Regis Road Highway	4.6	3.70	3.0	
Holmes Road Footpath	5.6	2.80	2.25	
Holmes Road Highway	7.2	2.60	1.00	

Table 1 – Predicted movements due to pile installation and basement excavation

No. 24-26 Holmes Road is immediately adjacent to the proposed basements and hence will likely impacted the most. Ground movement at the party wall of about 3mm settlement and 6mm horizontal movement are predicted. No 24-26 is approximately 14m wide, so it is predicted that there will be negligible movement of the far wall. Tilt across the width of the structure is therefore likely to be less than 1 in 5500, which should be tolerable. Horizontal strain across the structure is estimated to be 0.05%, which puts it on the boundary between Category 0 Negligible and Category 1 Very Slight on the Burland Scale.

The garden party walls, which are free standing structures and are free to move laterally with the ground, should be relatively unaffected by the movements.

Whilst a rising water level has been encountered in WS02 and the water level in the most recent monitoring visit was at around the depth of the proposed basement excavation it is considered unlikely that significant volumes of water will be encountered within the excavation, rather localised seepages from silt / sand partings, which should be dealt with as they are encountered.

The work should be carried out in accordance with the Party Wall etc. Act 1996 and a precondition survey of the adjacent properties will be required.

5.3 Monitoring

It will be necessary to monitor the impact of the works on the adjoining properties and the public

highway to ensure that movements are not excessive. The monitoring should comprise the following;

- Visual inspection of the party wall and any pre-existing cracking
- Attachment of tell tales to accurately record movement of any pre-existing cracks
- Installation of levelling targets to monitor settlement of the party walls and the public highway, to be monitored by standard optical equipment.

The levelling targets on the party walls should be no greater than 2m apart and located as close to the top of the existing foundations as possible. The maximum allowable movement should be no more than 3mm between adjacent levelling targets.

Movement	Category	Action
0 - 5 mm	Green	No action required
5 – 10 mm	Amber	Crack monitoring:
		Carry out local structural review;
		Preparation for the implementation of remedial measures should
		be required
>10 mm	Red	Crack monitoring:
		Implement structural support as required;
		Cease works with exception of necessary works for the safety
		and stability of the structure and personnel;
		Review monitoring data and implement revised method of works

The limits on maximum movement and proposed actions are given in the table below;

Monitoring should be undertaken at weekly intervals during excavation works and if no significant movement is identified monitoring can be reduced to fortnightly.

5.4 Damage Category

If it is assumed that a suitable structural design, including temporary works, is produced and a suitably experienced contractor is appointed, then past experience of basement construction in London has shown that ground movements caused by the proposed construction techniques to this depth in London Clay can be limited to an acceptable level. In the worst-case, which is deemed to be the impact to No. 24-26 Holmes Road, it would be expected that possible damage to this property would fall into Category 1 (very slight).

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

An assessment has been made of the potential impacts of the proposed basement construction at 22 Holmes Road with respect to slope stability and ground movement. This assessment does not constitute a detailed structural design for the basement.

Given that the natural topography of the area is essentially flat it is considered that the proposed basement will not have an impact on the overall slope stability within the area.

With regard to impact on the adjacent properties and the public highway it is considered that the expected movement, vertical and horizontal, will not exceed 5mm. It is concluded that the risk of any damage to adjacent properties will fall into Category 1 of the Damage Categories after Burland 1995, with the degree of severity being very slight, which in relation to damage to the buildings would equate to fine cracks which are easily treated in normal decoration.

Hence, it is concluded, based upon the information currently available, that the proposed basement could be constructed employing appropriate construction methods without any significant impact on either the slope stability within the area or on the adjacent properties or the public highway.

7.0 REFERENCES

- 7.1 22 Holmes Road NW5 3AB, Report on the Ground Investigation, Key GeoSolutions Ltd report reference 19-088-R-001, March 2019.
- 7.2 Norton Mayfield Architects drawings;
 - o 1617-NMA-XX-00-DR-A-20101 Ground Floor Option 2
 - o 1617-NMA-XX-01-DR-A-20101 First Floor Option 2
 - o 1617-NMA-XX-B1-DR-A-20101 Basement Option 2
 - o 1617-NMA-XX-R1-DR-A-20101 Roof Plan Option 2
 - o 1617-NMA-XX-ZZ-DR-A-20301 Section AA
 - o 1617-NMA-XX-ZZ-DR-A-20302 Section BB
 - o 1617-NMA-XX-ZZ-DR-A-20303 Section CC
- 7.3 22 Holmes Road NW5 3AB Basement Impact Assessment Surface Water and Groundwater, Stantec Ltd report reference 67109 R1 D1, April 2019.
- 7.4 CIRIA C760 Guidance on embedded retaining wall design, 2017
- 7.5 Assessment of risk of damage to buildings due to tunnelling and excavation, Burland J B, 1995
- 7.6 Ground movements resulting from urban tunnelling: predictions and effects, Rankin W J, 1988

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 GROUND INVESTIGATION REPORT



22 Holmes Road NW5 3AB

Report on Ground Investigation (March 2019)

Prepared for Stantec UK Limited



Geological & Geotechnical Consultants

Key GeoSolutions Ltd Nova House Audley Avenue Enterprise Park Newport Shropshire TF10 7DW Tel.01952 822960Fax.01952 822961

email info@keygs.com web www.keygs.com

 Job Number:
 19-088

 Report Number:
 19-088-R-001

Stantec UK Limited

22 Holmes Road NW5 3AB

Report on Ground Investigation (March 2019)

Prepared by:

W Roberts BSc (Hons) FGS

Approved by:

B Duthie BEng CGeol FGS FIQ

.....

.....

Report Distribution List

Name	Copies	Rev.	Date	Copies	Rev.	Date	Copies	Rev.	Date
M Rouge	PDF	DRAFT	20/03/19	PDF	01	24/09/19	PDF	02	25/11/19
T Taylor	PDF	DRAFT	20/03/19						

This report has been prepared by Key GeoSolutions Limited with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, within the terms of the Contract with the Client. The report is confidential to the Client and Key GeoSolutions Limited accept no responsibility of whatever nature to third parties to whom this report may be made known.

No part of this report may be reproduced without prior written approval of Key GeoSolutions Limited.

CONTENTS

Page Number

1.0		.2
2.0	Site Overview	.3
2.1	Site Location	.3
2.2	Geology of the Site	.3
3.0	GROUND INVESTIGATION	.4
4.0	GROUND CONDITIONS	.5
5.0	PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT	.6

Figures

Figure 1	Site Location Plan
Figure 2	Borehole Location Plan

Appendices

Appendix 1	Borehole Logs
Appendix 2	Laboratory Testing Results

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Key GeoSolutions Ltd (KGS) have been commissioned by Stantec UK Limited (Stantec) to undertake a ground investigation at 22 Holmes Road in order to ascertain the ground conditions for the assessment of a proposed extension.

The property is described in the Camden Local List (2015) as 'semi-detached mid-19th century villa, semi basement plus two storeys, set behind front garden.

The proposed development will comprise:

- The demolition of the existing lean-to store room at the side of the house and former studio building, closing off of the existing house at the off-shot;
- Erection of a two-bed, three storey house, in-filling the gap between the existing house and the neighbouring office building, fronting onto Holmes Road;
- Erection of two connected two-bed houses over three storeys within a courtyard arrangement at the rear of the site.

The comments given in this report and any opinions expressed are based on the ground conditions encountered during the site work, the results of tests made in the field and on information made available by Stantec. There may be, however, conditions pertaining to the site which have not been disclosed by the investigation and which therefore could not be taken into account in this report. In particular old foundations or underground services may be present that could affect the proposed development. The term 'topsoil' is used in this report to describe the surface, usually organic, layer including turf and shallow soils, weathered material with roots etc. and should not be taken to imply agricultural soil suitable for sale.

2.0 SITE OVERVIEW

2.1 Site Location

The site, which may be located by approximate National Grid Reference 528865mE, 185057mN, is situated on the north side of Holmes Road, near the centre of Kentish Town, in the Borough of Camden, London (Figure 1).

2.2 Geology of the Site

The site is covered by BGS 1: 50,000 Geological Sheet No. 256 (North London). This indicates the site to be underlain by deposits of the London Clay Formation of Eocene age, no superficial deposits are shown to overlie the London Clay.

3.0 GROUND INVESTIGATION

Three boreholes (WS01, WS02 and WS03) were sunk at the front, rear and eastern side of the property in order to investigate the ground conditions in accordance with the guidelines laid down in BS EN 1997-2:2007. A hand dug trial pit (TP01) was excavated along the eastern boundary of the site in order to expose the existing foundations of the adjacent building.

The boreholes were sunk using a windowless sampling rig, the approximate locations of the boreholes are shown on Figure 1. The depths of the boreholes and trial pits and descriptions of the soils encountered are given in the records in Appendix 1.

Disturbed samples and SPT's (Standard Penetration Tests) were taken at the depths shown on the borehole records. The results of the SPT's are provided on the window sample logs within Appendix 1. Physical and chemical testing was carried out on four representative samples of the ground encountered; the results are given in Appendix 2.

On completion of each borehole a standpipe was installed; the standpipes in boreholes at the front and rear of the building were installed within the made ground, and the standpipe in the borehole at the side of the house was installed within the London Clay to a depth of approximately 5.00mbgl. Details of the installations are given on the borehole records.

The site work was carried out on the 28th February 2019 and generally in accordance with the guidelines laid down in BS EN 1997-2:2007.

4.0 GROUND CONDITIONS

The following strata were encountered during the investigation:-

- Made Ground
- Sandy gravelly Clays (re-worked London Clay)
- Clays (London Clay Formation)

All boreholes were terminated in the London Clay Formation.

- WS01 was terminated at a depth of 1.00m bgl. Groundwater was not encountered.
- WS02 was terminated at a depth of 5.45m bgl. Groundwater was not encountered.
- WS03 was terminated at a depth of 5.45m bgl. Groundwater was not encountered.

The trial pit uncovered bricks down to a concrete foundation at 0.50m bgl, the concrete foundation continued down to natural ground at 0.90m bgl, and was seen to be founded on the London Clay.

• TP01 was terminated at a depth of 1.00m bgl. Groundwater was not encountered.

A summary of the geotechnical testing is given in the table below;

	Range (nun	nber of result			
Strata	Moisture Content %	Plasticity Index	SPT N Value	Undrained Triaxial kN/m²	Notes
London Clay	32-33 (5)	44-49 (3)	7-20 (10)	53-85 (3)	

5.0 PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

Shallow spread foundations should be taken down to a minimum firm CLAY, foundations should be designed with an allowable bearing pressure of 100 kN/m². Given the high potential for volume change foundations should be designed in accordance with the NHBC Standards.

The following parameters are suggested for retaining wall design purposes;

Strata	Bulk Unit Weight	Effective Cohesion	Effective Friction	
	(kN/m³)	(kN/m²)	Angle (Degrees)	
London Clay	20	0	20	

Given the high plasticity of the clay floor slabs should be of suspended construction.

The chemical testing indicates Design Sulphate Class of DS1 and AC-1, in accordance with BRE SD1 (BRE, 2005).

FIGURES



APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1


BOREHOLE LOGS

Key GeoSolutions Ltd info@keygs.com Telephone: 01952 822960 Fax: 01952 822961	WELL NUMBER WS01 PAGE 1 OF 1
	PROJECT NAME _22 Holmes Road
PROJECT NUMBER 19-088	PROJECT LOCATION London
DATE STARTED 28/2/19 COMPLETED 28/2/19	CO-ORDINATESmE, mN
DRILLING CONTRACTOR _ Dynamic Sampling UK	GROUND ELEVATION HOLE SIZE 200
DRILLING METHOD Hand Dug Trial Pit	LOGGED BY Will Roberts CHECKED BY BD
MATERIALD (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m)	
Grass onto MADE GROUND consisting dark brick fragments ash clinker and stones MADE GROUND consisting brown very silty rare to occasional brick fragments and clinke 0.50 Firm mottled orange brown CLAY with roots 0.80 Stiff brown CLAY	gravelly SAND with roots and stones including
	ole at 1.00 metres.

NOTES Groundwater not encountered.


SAMPLE TYPE KEY U = Undisturbed D = Disturbed B = Bulk J = Jar VA = Shear Vane SPT = Standard Penetration Test

Fax: 01952 822961 CLIENTStantec PROJECT NUMBER19-088								
					PROJECT LOCATION London			
			COMP					
			Dynamic Samplin lowless Sampler					
DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	BLOW COUNTS (SPT N VALUE)	TESTS	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION & REMARKS	WELL DIAGRAM		
	SPT	2-1-2-2 (7)		0.70 M C s	ONCRETE IADE GROUND consisting light grey to grey very gravelly AND. Gravel is fine to coarse angular to sub-angular rick and concrete .40m; becoming dark grey slightly silty with coal agments and ash .60m; becoming very clayey IADE GROUND consisting soft brown occasionally sandy, .LAY with occasional fine to coarse angular to .ub-rounded brick stones and ash .oft to firm brown CLAY			
-								
2	<u>в</u>	()	Cu = 53 kPa					
-	SPT	2-3-3-3 (11)						
_				2 2 	.50m; becoming stiff			
3	B B	()						
-	SPT	4-4-4-5 (17)	Cu = 61 kPa					
-								
_4 _	SPT	4-4-4-4 (16)						
-								
5								
-	SPT	5-5-5-5 (20)		 5.45				
-				<u> </u>	Bottom of borehole at 5.45 metres.			



Key GeoSolutions Ltd info@keygs.com Telephone: 01952 822960 Fax: 01952 822961

KEY



APPENDIX 2

LABORATORY TESTING RESULTS



TEST CERTIFICATE

GROUND ENGINEERING

Newark Road Peterborough t: 01733 566566 e: admin@groundengineering.co.uk

Determination of Liquid & Plastic Limits

Tested in accordance with BS 1377-2:1990: Clause 4.4 & 5: One Point Method

Client: Client Address:	Key GeoSolutic Nova House Audley Ave Newport Shropshire TF1		С	Client Referenc Job Numbe	er: PL6616-1
Contact:					d: Unknown d: 06.03.2019 d: 12.03.2019
Site Name: Site Address:	22 Holmes Roa	ıd		icate of Samplin ng Certificate No Sampled E	g: N/A p.: N/A
Test Results:	Laboratory Refe Sample Referen		1	·	
Sample Descriptio Location: Sample Preparatio	WS02 n: As Received	ge-brown grey slight ssing 425µm BS Tes		Depth To Depth Bas	-
Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit [%]	P	lastic Limit [%]	Plasticity Index	% Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve
32	74 Low	Medium Hig	28 h Very High	46	100 ktremely
Plasticity Index	Plasticity CL I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Plasticity Plast CI CH			Very High Shrinkage Potential High Shrinkage Potential Medium Shrinkage Potential Low Shrinkage Potential
0 +	0 20 30 40	50 60 Liquid Lir	70 80 90 nit (%)	100 110 12	0 130 A Line • WS02

Comments:

Approved Signatory: M. Hartnup - Laboratory Manager Signed:

for and on behalf of Ground Engineering Ltd

Date Reported:

20.03.2019

Page 1 of 1

Form Number:

GELab/C/704 Version 44

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation This report may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory

Registered in England & Wales Registration Number: 6929574 Reg Office: Ground Engineering Ltd Newark Rd, Peterborough PE1 5UA



TEST CERTIFICATE

GROUND ENGINEERING

Newark Road Peterborough t: 01733 566566 e: admin@groundengineering.co.uk

Determination of Liquid & Plastic Limits

Tested in accordance with BS 1377-2:1990: Clause 4.4 & 5: One Point Method

Newport Client Helference: L9632 Shropshire TF10 7DW Job Number: PL6616-1 Date Sampled: Unknown Date Received: 06.03.2019 Date Tested: 12.03.2019 Date Tested: 12.03.2019 Site Name: 22 Holmes Road Certificate of Sampling: N/A Site Address: Sample Reference: PL6616-1/2 Sample Reference: Not Given Sample Description: Firm brown orange-brown grey slightly silty CLAY with rare fine calcareous concretions. Location: WS02 Depth Top: 2.80m Sample Preparation: As Received Depth Top: 2.80m Sample Preparation: As Received Depth Top: 2.80m Sample Very High Very High Very High High Plasticity Plasticity High Plasticity Plasticity High Plasticity	Client: Client Address:	Key GeoSolutions Ltd Nova House		Certificate Number	: PL6616-1/2/704	
Shropshire TF10 7DW Job Number: PL6616-1 Date Sampled: Unknown Date Tested: 12.03.2019 Date Tested: 12.03.2019 Depth Tested: 12.00.2019 Depth Teste		Audley Ave		Client Reference	: L9632	
Contact: Will Roberts Date Sampled: Unknown Site Name: 22 Holmes Road Certificate of Sampling: N/A Site Address: Sample Reference: Not Given Test Results: Laboratory Reference: Not Given Sample Description: Firm brown orange-brown grey slightly silty CLAY with rare fine calcareous concretions. Socation: WS02 Depth Top: 2.80m Sample Preparation: As Received Dates Test Sieve Moisture [Yai] [Yai] Not Given Sample Content Liquid Plasticity Yery High (Yoi) 100 Medourn Plasticity Yery High Very High Plasticity Plasticity High Plasticity High Plasticity 00 0 0 0 0 0 Nearge Pote 00 0 0 0 0 0 Nearge Pote 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Job Number	: PL6616-1	
Site Name: 22 Holmes Road Certificate of Sampling: N/A Sampling Certificate No.: N/A Sampled By: Client Site Address: Laboratory Reference: PL6616-1/2 Sample Reference: Not Given Sample Description: Firm brown orange-brown grey slightly slity CLAY with rare fine calcareous concretions. cocation: WS02 Depth Top: 2.80m sample Preparation: As Received Depth Base: 3.00m Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve Moisture Liquid Plasticity Plasticity Medium %BS Test Sieve Moisture Liquid Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Medium High Strinkage Pote Medium High Medium High Strinkage Pote Year Quart Col Col Col Col Medium Medium Medium Strinkage Pote Year Quart Quart Quart Quart Quart Quart Conc Col Col </td <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td>Date Sampled:</td> <td>Unknown</td>		·		Date Sampled:	Unknown	
Site Name: 22 Holmes Road Certificate of Sampling: N/A Site Address: Sampling Certificate No.: N/A Sampled By: Client Itest Results: Laboratory Reference: Not Given Sample Description: Firm brown orange-brown grey slightly silty CLAY with rare fine calcareous concretions. scatter WS02 Depth Top: 2.80m Sample Preparation: As Received Depth Base: 3.00m Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve BS Test Sieve Moisture Liquid Plastici Plasticity Plasticity (%) 76 27 49 100 Very High Plasticity Plasticity High Plasticity High Plasticity Very High Plasticity Plasticity High Plasticity High Plasticity Very High Umit Medium Mith Mith Medium Very High Umit Very High Strinkage Pote Low Very High Umit Medium Medium Strinkage Pote 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 <td>ontact:</td> <td>Will Roberts</td> <td></td> <td colspan="3">•</td>	ontact:	Will Roberts		•		
Site Address: Sampling Certificate No: N/A Sampled By: Client Eest Results: Laboratory Reference: PL6616-1/2 Sample Reference: Not Given Sample Description: Firm brown orange-brown grey slightly silty CLAY with rare fine calcareous concretions. Location: WS02 Depth Top: 2.80m Bample Preparation: As Received Depth Base: 3.00m Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve Moisture Content (%) Limit [%] Plasticity Plastic						
Sampled By: Client Test Results: Laboratory Reference: PL6616-1/2 Sample Description: Firm brown orange-brown grey slightly silty CLAY with rare fine calcareous concretions. Socation: WS02 Depth Top: 2.80m Sample Preparation: As Received Depth Top: 2.80m Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve Moisture Liquid Plasticity Plasticity % Passing 425µ Moisture Liquid Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity % Passing 425µ Moisture Liquid Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Medium Plasticity Plasticity % Passing 425µ Moisture Content Liquid Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Medium High Very High Very High Low Medium High Very High Strinkage Pote Low Mil Mil <td>ite Name:</td> <td>22 Holmes Road</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ite Name:	22 Holmes Road				
Test Results: Laboratory Reference: PL6616-1/2 Sample Reference: Not Given Sample Description: Firm brown orange-brown grey slightly silty CLAY with rare fine calcareous concretions. Jocation: WS02 Depth Top: 2.80m Sample Preparation: As Received Depth Base: 3.00m Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve Moisture Very High Moisture Liquid Plasticity Plasticity % Passing 425µm 33 76 27 49 100 Very High Extremely High Plasticity Very High Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Very High Vor Cl Cl<	ite Address:		S			
Sample Reference: Not Given Sample Description: Firm brown orange-brown grey slightly silty CLAY with rare fine calcareous concretions. Socation: WS02 Depth Top: 2.80m Bample Preparation: As Received Depth Base: 3.00m Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve Moisture Liquid Plastic (%) 100 Plastic Plasti				Sampled By	: Client	
Firm brown orange-brown grey slightly silty CLAY with rare fine calcareous concretions. Assessing Preparation: WS02 Depth Top: 2.80m Bample Preparation: As Received Depth Base: 3.00m Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve Moisture % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve Moisture (%) Liquid [%] Plasticity [%] Plasticity [%] % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve Moisture (%) Liquid [%] Plasticity [%] Plasticity Plast	<u>est Results:</u>					
Accation: WS02 Depth Tap: 2.80m. Sample Preparation: As Received Depth Base: 3.00m. Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve Plasticity Plasticity No Summer Present of the stremely Moisture Liquid Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity No Summer Present of the stremely 33 76 27 49 100		-				
Mample Preparation: As Received Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sievel Depth Base: 3.00m Moisture (%) Liquid [%] Plastic [%] Plasticity [%] Plasticity [%] Plasticity [%] <td>ample Description:</td> <td>Firm brown orange-brown</td> <td>n grey slightly silty CLAY w</td> <td>ith rare fine calcareous co</td> <td>oncretions.</td>	ample Description:	Firm brown orange-brown	n grey slightly silty CLAY w	ith rare fine calcareous co	oncretions.	
Mample Preparation: As Received Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sievel Depth Base: 3.00m Moisture (%) Liquid [%] Plastic [%] Plasticity [%] Plasticity [%] Plasticity [%] <td>ocation:</td> <td>WS02</td> <td></td> <td colspan="3">Depth Top: 2.80m</td>	ocation:	WS02		Depth Top: 2.80m		
Estimated % Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve Moisture Content (%) Liquid Imit (%) Plastic (%) Plastic (%) Plasticity (%) Very High (%) Extremely (%) Very High (%) 33 76 27 49 100 40 Cl Cl Cl Cl Cl Very High (%) Extremely (%) High Shrinkage Pote 40 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 40 <	ample Preparation:	As Received				
Content (%) Limit [%] Limit [%] Plasticity [%] Plasticity Index % Passing 425 BS Test Sieve 33 76 27 49 100 Low Medium Plasticity Plastity Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity		Estimated % Passing 425	5µm BS Test Sieve			
Content (%) Limit [%] Limit [%] Index BS Test Sievent BS	Moisture	Liquid	Plastic	Placticity	% Passing 425um	
33 76 27 49 100 Extremely High Plasticity Plast				Index	BS Test Sieve	
Low Medium High Very High Extremely Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity 0 CL Cl CH CV CE 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0 40 0 0 0 0 0<						
Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity Plasticity High Plasticity Very High Shrinkage Pote High Shrinkage Pote Unit of the strink of the	33					
You CL CI CH CV CE Very High Shrinkage Pote 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	80		0 ,			
A0 A0 <td< td=""><td></td><td>сц сі</td><td>сн сv</td><td>CE</td><td>Very High</td></td<>		сц сі	сн сv	CE	Very High	
50 50 40 50 40 50 <td< td=""><td>70</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Shrinkage Potential</td></td<>	70				Shrinkage Potential	
20 10 0 0 10 0 10 20 10 1	60					
20 10 0 0 10 1						
20 10 0 0 10 1					Shrinkage Potential	
20 10 0 0 10 1	⁴⁰					
20 10 1					Medium Shrinkage Potential	
MI MH MV ME 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 —A L						
10 0 0 10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 	20				Low Shrinkage Potential	
0 +	10					
	0	<u>, MĻ</u> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	MH MV	ME		
Liquid Limit (%)	0 10	20 30 40 50	60 70 80	90 100 110 120	130 — A Line	

Approved Signatory: M. Hartnup - Laboratory Manager Signed:

for and on behalf of Ground Engineering Ltd

Date Reported:

20.03.2019

Page 1 of 1

Form Number:

GELab/C/704 Version 44

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation This report may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory

Registered in England & Wales Registration Number: 6929574 Reg Office: Ground Engineering Ltd Newark Rd, Peterborough PE1 5UA



TEST CERTIFICATE

GROUND ENGINEERING

Newark Road Peterborough t: 01733 566566 e: admin@groundengineering.co.uk

Determination of Liquid & Plastic Limits

Tested in accordance with BS 1377-2:1990: Clause 4.4 & 5: One Point Method

Client: Client Address:	Key GeoSolu Nova House Audley Ave Newport Shropshire T					nce: L963 iber: PL66	32 516-1
Contact:	Will Roberts				Date Samp Date Recei		
Contact						sted: 11.0	
Site Name:	22 Holmes R	oad		(Certificate of Samp	ling: N/A	
Site Address:				Sa	ampling Certificate		
	Lohenstern D				Sampleo	d By: Clier	nt
Test Results:	Laboratory Re Sample Refer		PL6616-1/3 Not Given				
Sample Description:	-	ey slightly si	Ity slightly grav		Gravel consists of fir	ne to mediu	um angular to
Location:	WS03				Depth	Top: 2.00r	n
Sample Preparation:	As Received				Depth B	ase: 2.25r	n
	Estimated % F	Passing 425	µm BS Test S	ieve			
Moisture Content (%)	Liqu Limi	it	Plas Lim [%]	it	Plasticity Index	%	B Passing 425µm BS Test Sieve
(70)	70		[/0				
N/A	[%] 69		25		44		92
	69 Low	Medium	25 High	Very F	ligh	Extremely	
	Low Plasticity	Medium Plasticity	25 High Plasticity	Very F Plasti	figh city ⊢	Extremely ligh Plasticity	
N/A	69 Low	Medium	25 High	Very F	ligh		Very High
N/A	Low Plasticity	Medium Plasticity	25 High Plasticity	Very F Plasti	figh city ⊢		
N/A 80 70 60	Low Plasticity	Medium Plasticity	25 High Plasticity	Very F Plasti	figh city ⊢		Very High Shrinkage Potential
N/A 80 70 60	Low Plasticity	Medium Plasticity	25 High Plasticity	Very F Plasti	figh city ⊢		Very High
N/A 80 70 60	Low Plasticity	Medium Plasticity	25 High Plasticity	Very F Plasti	figh city ⊢		Very High Shrinkage Potential High
N/A 80 70 60	Low Plasticity	Medium Plasticity	25 High Plasticity	Very F Plasti	figh city ⊢		Very High Shrinkage Potential High Shrinkage Potential Medium
N/A 80 70 60 50 40 30 30	Low Plasticity	Medium Plasticity	25 High Plasticity	Very F Plasti	figh city ⊢		Very High Shrinkage Potential High Shrinkage Potential
N/A 80 70 60	Low Plasticity	Medium Plasticity	25 High Plasticity	Very F Plasti	figh city ⊢		Very High Shrinkage Potential High Shrinkage Potential Medium Shrinkage Potential Low
N/A 80 70 60 50 40 30 30	Low Plasticity	Medium Plasticity Cl	25 High Plasticity CH	very F Plasti	figh city H CE		Very High Shrinkage Potential High Shrinkage Potential Medium Shrinkage Potential
N/A Blasticity Index 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CL.	Medium Plasticity	25 High Plasticity CH	Very F Plasti	figh city ⊢		Very High Shrinkage Potential High Shrinkage Potential Medium Shrinkage Potential Low
N/A Blasticity Index 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CL.	Medium Plasticity Cl	25 High Plasticity CH	Very F Plasti CV	figh city H CE		Very High Shrinkage Potential High Shrinkage Potential Medium Shrinkage Potential Low Shrinkage Potential

Comments: Gravel particles removed by hand

Approved Signatory: M. Hartnup - Laboratory Manager

Signed:

Date Reported:

20.03.2019

Page 1 of 1

Form Number: GELab/C/704 Version 44

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation This report may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory for and on behalf of Ground Engineering Ltd

Registered in England & Wales Registration Number: 6929574 Reg Office: Ground Engineering Ltd Newark Rd, Peterborough PE1 5UA

GROUND ENGINEERING



TEST CERTIFICATE Determination of Undrained Shear Strength in **Triaxial Compression**

Newark Road Peterborough

t: 01733 566566


e: admin@groundengineering.co.uk

(Single Stage Test - BS 1377 : Part 7 : Clause 8)

Teet Decultor		
Site Address:	Not Given	Sampled By: Client
Site Name:	22 Holmes Road	Certificate of Sampling: N/A
Contact:	Will Roberts	Sampling Certificate No.: N/A
Postcode:	TF10 7DW	Date Tested: 11.03.2019
	Newport, Shropshire	Date Received: 01.03.2019
Address:	Audley Avenue, Enterprise Park	Date Sampled: 28.02.2019
Client	Nova House	Client Reference Number: L9632
Client:	Key GeoSolutions Ltd	Certificate Number: PL6616-1-1 / 714-1

Test Results:

Lab ReferencePL6616-1-1Location:WS02SampleFirm brown ofDescription:	orange-brown gre	ey slightly silty CLAY.	Sample Ref: Not Given Depth (m): 1.80 to 2.00
Variations from Standard:	None		
Laboratory Temperature (°C):	22.0		
Specimen Details			
Initial Height (mm):	135.0	Late	x Membrane Thickness (mm): 0.40
Initial Diameter (mm):	85.0		Applied Rate of Strain (%/min): 2.00
Depth within Sample (m):	1.80 to 1.95		Initial Bulk Density (Mg/m ³): 1.94
Orientation within Sample:	Vertical		Initial Moisture Content (%): 32
Method of Preparation :	Undisturbed (E	3S 1377:1990:Pt 1:8)	Initial Dry Density (Mg/m ³): 1.48



Ŭ ºº		Åxial Strain (%)	16.0
Cell Pressure			50
Membrane Correc	tion		1.2
Corrected Maximu	um Deviator Stre	SS	106
Strain			11

Failure Sketch



Undrained Shear Strength 53 Mode of Failure

kPa kPa kPa % kPa Plastic

Approved Signatory:

[x] M.Hartnup - Laboratory Manager [] L.Petch - Team Leader

Signed: M

for and on behalf of **Ground Engineering Ltd**

Registered in England and Wales Reg Number 6929574 Reg Office: Ground Engineering Ltd Newark Rd Peterborough PE1 5UA

Date Reported: 20/03/2019

Page 1 of 1

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside of the scope of the UKAS Accreditation. This report may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.

Form Number: GELab/C/714-1 Issue 1

GROUND ENGINEERING



TEST CERTIFICATE Determination of Undrained Shear Strength in **Triaxial Compression**

Newark Road Peterborough

t: 01733 566566


e: admin@groundengineering.co.uk

(Single Stage Test - BS 1377 : Part 7 : Clause 8)

Client:	Key GeoSolutions Ltd	Certificate Number: PL6616-1-2 / 714-1
Client	Nova House	Client Reference Number: L9632
Address:	Audley Avenue, Enterprise Park	Date Sampled: 28.02.2019
	Newport, Shropshire	Date Received: 01.03.2019
Postcode:	TF10 7DW	Date Tested: 11.03.2019
Contact:	Will Roberts	Sampling Certificate No.: N/A
Site Name:	22 Holmes Road	Certificate of Sampling: N/A
Site Address:	Not Given	Sampled By: Client
Test Results:		

st Results:

•	orange-brown gre	ey slightly silty CLAY w	Sample Ref: Not Given Depth (m): 2.80 to 3.00 ith rare fine calcareous
Description: concretions	i.		
Variations from Standard:	None		
Laboratory Temperature (°C): 22.0		
Specimen Details			
Initial Height (mm):	157.0	Late	K Membrane Thickness (mm): 0.40
Initial Diameter (mm):	83.0		Applied Rate of Strain (%/min): 2.00
Depth within Sample (m):	2.80 to 2.95		Initial Bulk Density (Mg/m ³): 1.86
Orientation within Sample:	Vertical		Initial Moisture Content (%): 33
Method of Preparation :	Undisturbed (I	BS 1377:1990:Pt 1:8)	Initial Dry Density (Mg/m ³): 1.40



Cell Pressure	50
Membrane Correction	0.8
Corrected Maximum Deviator Stress	122
Strain	6.5
Undrained Shear Strength	61
Mode of Failure	

Failure Sketch



kPa kPa kPa % kPa Plastic

Approved Signatory:

[x] M.Hartnup - Laboratory Manager [] L.Petch - Team Leader

Signed:

for and on behalf of **Ground Engineering Ltd**

Registered in England and Wales Reg Number 6929574 Reg Office: Ground Engineering Ltd Newark Rd Peterborough PE1 5UA

Date Reported: 20/03/2019

Page 1 of 1

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside of the scope of the UKAS Accreditation. This report may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.

Form Number: GELab/C/714-1 Issue 1

GROUND ENGINEERING



TEST CERTIFICATE Determination of Undrained Shear Strength in

Newark Road Peterborough

t: 01733 566566

Triaxial Compression

e: admin@groundengineering.co.uk

(Single Stage Test - BS 1377 : Part 7 : Clause 8)

Client:	Key GeoSolutions Ltd	Certificate Number: PL6616-1-4 / 714-1
Client	Nova House	Client Reference Number: L9632
Address:	Audley Avenue, Enterprise Park	Date Sampled: 28.02.2019
	Newport, Shropshire	Date Received: 01.03.2019
Postcode:	TF10 7DW	Date Tested: 11.03.2019
Contact:	Will Roberts	Sampling Certificate No.: N/A
Site Name:	22 Holmes Road	Certificate of Sampling: N/A
Site Address:	Not Given	Sampled By: Client
Toot Booulton		

Test Results:

Lab Reference	PL6616-1-4
Location:	WS03
Sample	Stiff brown CLAY.
Description:	
•	

Laboratory	Temperature (°C):	22.0
- ·		


Variations from Standard:

Specimen Details	
Initial Height (mm):	129.0
Initial Diameter (mm):	74.0
Depth within Sample (m):	3.00 to
Orientation within Sample:	Vertica
Method of Preparation :	Undist

4.0 00 to 3.15 ertical ndisturbed (BS 1377:1990:Pt 1:8)

Sample Ref: Not Given Depth (m): 3.00 to 3.30

Latex Membrane Thickness (mm): 0.40 Applied Rate of Strain (%/min): 2.00 Initial Bulk Density (Mg/m³): 1.81 Initial Moisture Content (%): 32 Initial Dry Density (Mg/m³): 1.37



None

5
0.
17
5
8

Failure Sketch



50 kPa).7 kPa kPa 70 5.0 % 85 kPa Plastic

Comments: Poor sample - broken

Approved Signatory:

[x] M.Hartnup - Laboratory Manager [] L.Petch - Team Leader

Signed:

for and on behalf of **Ground Engineering Ltd**

Registered in England and Wales Reg Number 6929574 Reg Office: Ground Engineering Ltd Newark Rd Peterborough PE1 5UA


Date Reported: 20/03/2019

Page 1 of 1

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside of the scope of the UKAS Accreditation. This report may not be reproduced other than in full without the prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.

DRAWINGS

Existing Walls
Proposed Walls





Unit	GIA [m2]	GIA [ft2]	Туре
H01	79	850	2b3p
H02	89	958	2b4p
H03	87	936	2b4p
	255	2744	

P6 21/11/17

Updates for pre-app








A


es for pre-app resubmission revisions: and are to be verified on site. Do not scale.			21/11/17	P6	No 22 Entrance		
Purpose of issue:							
cation Advice	Pre-Appli	For I				(с) (в)	
Harland Works, Unit 7 70 John Street Sheffield S24 QU Tel. 0114 270 0014 Sheffield & London www.nortonmayfield.co.uk	rton yfield hitects	Nor May Arc				\checkmark \checkmark	
Client:							
lith Leeb ×	Jud						
Project Title:							
London 102-7 1W5 3AB -7-72		olmes	22 H				
-A-20101	01-DR	MA-XX-(1617-NA				
rst Floor	Fi						
Scale:	Rev:	Status:	Project No:	1			
1:50@A1 0 1:100@A3	P6	S2	1617				


Existing Walls Proposed Walls




	P6	2	21/11/17		·	ates for pre-app resubmission revisions:
				All dimensions and a	reas are approxir	Do not scale.
				For	Pre-App	Purpose of issue: Dication Advice
			\checkmark		rton Iyfield chitect	Harland Works, Unit 7 70 John Street Sheffield 52 4QU Tel. 0114 270 0014 Sheffield & London www.nortonmayfield.co.uk
						Client:
					Ju	dith Leeb
						××
	—					Project Title:
			22 H	olmes		1, London ¹⁰⁷ NW5 3AB ² -7
B		161	7-N/	A-XX-	B1-D	Drawing No:
		Projec	t No:	Status:	Rev:	Scale:
				S2		1:50@A1 0









P4 21/11/17

Updates for pre-app

resubmission revisions:

All dimensions and areas are approximate and are to be verified on site. Do not scale.

Purpose of issue:

For Pre-Application Advice




Client:

Judith Leeb

Project Title:


22 Holmes Road, London NW5 3AB

Drawing No: 1617-NMA-XX-R1-DR-A-20101 Drawing title: Roof Plan Option 2 Project No: Status: Rev: Scale: 1:50@A1 1617 S2 P4 1:100@A3







P3 21/11/17 Updates for pre-app resubmission revisions: All dime e and are to be verified on site. Do not scale. Purpose of issue For Pre-Application Advice Norton Harland Works, Unit 7 70 John Street Mayfield Sz 400 Tel. 0114 270 0014 Architects Sheffield & London Client: Judith Leeb Project Title: 22 Holmes Road, London NW5 3AB 1617-NMA-XX-ZZ-DR-A-20301 Section AA Scale: 1:50@A1 1:100@A3 Rev: Project No: Status: S2 P3 1617




Existing Walls

Proposed Walls








S2

1617

P3

41683

Ridge level



SE

	Real Road No.20 No.20 HOLMES ROAD No.20	01 First Issue WR 01/01/18 Rev. Revision Detail Drawn Date Bosed upon Ordnance Survey Mapping with permission of controller of HMSO. Croin reproduced without written consent from Key GeoSolutions Ltd. Date CLIENT: STANTEC UK PROJECT: 22 HOLMES ROAD TITLE: CROSS SECTIONS THROUGH PROPOSED BASEMENT Drawn: Checked: Feb '20 Scale: Original Sheet Size: Status: As Shown A2 DRAFT Drawing No. 19-088-D-001 01 Image: KEEY GSS Nova House Audley Avenue Newport Keey GeoSolutions Ltd Nova House Audley Avenue Newport Status: Drawi: infe@keys.com
ISTING SITE WITH P SEMENTS OVERLAIN 40.00mAOD	No.24-26 No.22 HOLMES ROAD	No.20
 35.00mA0D	Boundary Wall Regis Road GL c.36.250 FL 35.600 FL 35.600 OFL 34.870 0.250 FL 35.700 FL 34.760 Doff 34.460 Slob Thickness & Finishes Unknown (shown as 300mm)	Boundary Wall GL 35.440 CL 35.440 Eventson Detail Unknown Underpinning to boundary wall as required
- 30.00mA0D Section B - B'	Bored Pile FL 31.760 Slob Thickness & Fnishes Unknown	Bored Pile $ -$