

---

**From:** Fowler, David  
**Sent:** 07 February 2020 11:31  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: Branch Hill application - 2019/6354/P

Please upload objection.

Thanks,

D

David Fowler  
Principal Planner

Telephone: 0207 974 2123



---

**Sent:** 05 February 2020 21:15  
**To:** Fowler, David <[David.Fowler@camden.gov.uk](mailto:David.Fowler@camden.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Branch Hill application - 2019/6354/P

Dear David,

Can you please post the pre-application planning letter on the web site? If not, can you send it to me?

Can I also raise the following objection? Replacing the 1960s block with a building that would blend in with the main building in style, size and mass is a good idea. However the current proposal does not fit this description.

Specifically

- Key views 1, 3 and 4 in the BHH Design and Access Statement Part 2 show that even from outside or just inside the property the new buildings are far more prominent, and far bigger and taller than the 1960s block.
- Section 9 of the Heritage Statement claims that the impact on the conservation area would be negligible, but the key views contradict this when trees carry their full foliage, let alone in the winter
- In key view 7 the bulk and projecting position of the replacement building detract massively from the historic building. The drawings on pages 40-41 of the BHH DAS Part 3 show that the historical building will be a mere adjunct to the new building.
- Key view 2 shows a completely empty area where there is now vegetation.

Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]