

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/6036/P		03/02/2020 08:41:18	OBJ	<p>On 10th March 2015, approval was granted for this property for the 'Erection of a part single storey, part two storey rear extension to flats 1 and 2, installation of rooflights in the main roof to flat 3, and works to front and rear lightwells (class C3).' LPA Ref. 2015/0398/P.</p> <p>In reality, the majority of neighbours to this property subsequently informed the Council that the extent of works being undertaken was in their view far in excess, not least on the basis of the enormous extent of excavation material being removed. This was described as underpinning work, but in reality was the excavation of a complete basement, not previously present in the property. A further example was the eventual reconstruction of the roof, resulting in a higher ridge line than the adjacent semi detached property. I believe enforcement action was considered by the Council at the time, however the works were eventually finished for what was thought to be four flats together with a new lightwell serving the recently excavated new basement. At some point, during the completion process, the property was actually fitted out to provide a large number of Bedsits, or '19 flats', according to the applicants Design & Access Statement. I have searched on the planning portal but have been unable to locate the Planning Permission for the transition to the nineteen units.</p> <p>My objections to this application are:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The property is already over occupied and over developed. Any addition that allows that overcrowding to be eased, or more fundamentally adds further useable floor space, should not be granted permission. • The proposed Garden Room takes no account of the adjacent residential development of 156 West End Lane. The approved A2 Dominion development has windows looking onto the rear of Lymington Road properties. The provision of windows to the rear of the Garden Room appears inappropriate given this development. • The property lies within the Conservation Area. Large Garden Rooms at the end of gardens does not fit within the conservation principles and would create a dangerous precedent. <p>A large amount of work to this property appears to have previously circumvented the planning process. No further development should be allowed at this property unless it is to reduce the occupancy level.</p>
2019/6036/P		02/02/2020 10:43:04	OBJ	<p>I Dear Nicola and Ben,</p> <p>I strongly objection to this work being carried out. If the work goes ahead there will be no back garden at No 10, it will block out the natural light into No 8 and overlook the garden thus impacting on the privacy of No 8.</p> <p>The house was originally occupied by three family over three floors. Then it was split into a number of flats. When it was redeveloped recently there was an extension put on the back of the house. The developers did not inform Camden Council that they were converting the property into 19 flats. When Camden found out they took no action against the owners. This new application will increase the number flats again.</p> <p>This application is just greed on the part of the owners of the property to cram in as many people into their property as possible.</p>