Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	11111000 0111	03/02/2020	0,11010,
2019/5835/P		01/02/2020 18:45:42	OBJ	I am writing on behalf of Mrs Janna Williams at 4b Hampstead Hill Gardens, who we development. My letter of 4 July 2019, about the previous application, still refers. This application is changed slightly from the previous one, but all the objections still. The density of development is too high; too much floorspace is proposed. 2. The architectural character is still over-assertive in relation to the more modest Now 3. The proposal (a) is at odds the character and appearance of the Conservation Appreserve or enhance it. 4. The height of the development is inappropriate and is detrimental to the amenity 5. The proposed excavation is ill-considered, in this neighbourhood of subsidence it emphasises that too much floorspace is proposed for this small site. 6. The basement construction process (though I recognise that efforts have been not the technical questions) is full of potential for water penetration, structural damage adjoining properties, and must be seen as un-neighbourly. 7. The demolition of the existing building and the construction of the new one is equivalent as a small, constricted site. 8. As all the party wall construction will need to be agreed with the adjoining owner involve them in negotiations over a development that is highly questionable in principle we would ak that the application be refused.	Il remain. No.4b. Tof No.4b.	es nothing to rater tables; s some of e to the ourly on	
2019/5835/P		02/02/2020 18:23:50	ОВЈ	As a resident of Hampstead Hill Gardens, I would like to object to the proposed details. The proposed building is far too large for the space it will inhabit. It is too high and the road. As such, it is not in keeping with the other properties in the road, where the need for buildings has been respected and would appear to be in conflict with the objectives HillConservation Area.	protrudes far to or some space a	around the	
2019/5835/P		02/02/2020 18:24:58	OBJ	I am a resident of Hampstead Hill Gardens and oppose the proposed development	i.		
				This is far too large a building to try to squeeze into such a small plot.			
				The building is too tall and is not sympathetic to adjacent properties, giving the imp	ression of a tow	ver.	
				Also, the development comes too near the pavement and road, again out of keepir properties and indeed the other properties on Hampstead Hill Gardens. A feeling of feature of Hampstead Hill Gardens and it is important that this should be respected.	f space is an im	portant	
				Hampstead Hill Gardens has many listed buildings and it is acknowledged that it me contribution to the Hampstead Hill Conservation Area. This overdevelopment is not the road and erodes the Conservation Area.	•		
				I oppose the application			
				Jack Turner Hampstead Hill Gardens			

Printed on: 03/02/2020

09:10:09

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	Timiou on.	33, 32, 2320	07.10.07
2019/5835/P		01/02/2020 18:45:19	OBJ	I am writing on behalf of Mrs Janna Williams at 4b Hampstead Hill Gardens, who we development. My letter of 4 July 2019, about the previous application, still refers. This application is changed slightly from the previous one, but all the objections still 1. The density of development is too high; too much floorspace is proposed. 2. The architectural character is still over-assertive in relation to the more modest N 3. The proposal (a) is at odds the character and appearance of the Conservation Arpreserve or enhance it. 4. The height of the development is inappropriate and is detrimental to the amenity 5. The proposed excavation is ill-considered, in this neighbourhood of subsidence at temphasises that too much floorspace is proposed for this small site. 6. The basement construction process (though I recognise that efforts have been me the technical questions) is full of potential for water penetration, structural damage and adjoining properties, and must be seen as un-neighbourly. 7. The demolition of the existing building and the construction of the new one is equivalent a small, constricted site. 8. As all the party wall construction will need to be agreed with the adjoining owners involve them in negotiations over a development that is highly questionable in principle would ak that the application be refused.	lo.4b. rea and (b) doe of No.4b. and changing w nade to address and subsidence ually un-neighbors, it is objections	s nothing to ater tables; some of to the burly on	
2019/5835/P		02/02/2020 18:25:16	OBJ				
				The building is too tall and is not sympathetic to adjacent properties, giving the impr	ression of a tow	ver.	
				Also, the development comes too near the pavement and road, again out of keeping properties and indeed the other properties on Hampstead Hill Gardens. A feeling of feature of Hampstead Hill Gardens and it is important that this should be respected	space is an im	portant	
				Hampstead Hill Gardens has many listed buildings and it is acknowledged that it may contribution to the Hampstead Hill Conservation Area. This overdevelopment is not the road and erodes the Conservation Area.			
				I oppose the application			
				Jack Turner Hampstead Hill Gardens			
2019/5835/P		02/02/2020 21:25:58	COMMNT	I'm a resident of Hampstead Hill Gardens and support the application. The existing new one is more aesthetically pleasing and I think the revised plans are more than the issue over noise but I think in the end we'll be left with a better looking corner to	reasonable. I a		

Printed on: 03/02/2020

09:10:09