

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/6451/P	Ricci de Freitas	16/01/2020 14:22:06	OBJ	<p>I wish to object to the proposal to change condition 5, below, attached to the historical planning consent 2015/0664/P to allow the previously forbidden items to be placed on the footway. This condition is no less relevant today than it was in 2015 and should remain in place.</p> <p>"5. No tables, chairs, litter bins or A-boards shall be placed on the public highway without the prior approval in writing of the local planning authority. Reason: To safeguard the appearance of the immediate area and enable free pedestrian movement in accordance with the requirements of policy CS14 of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policy DP17, DP24 and DP25 of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies."</p> <p>I also query whether the existing use and proposed variation is valid in terms of the condition 6, below, because there is no apparent link between the organisation granted "personal " consent in 2015 and the current applicant, suggesting that the original application has now lapsed.</p> <p>"6. This permission is personal to Thinking Outside the Box and shall endure for the period of their occupation only. On Thinking Outside the Box vacating the kiosk the use shall revert to the lawful use as a telephone box.</p> <p>Reason: In recognition of the special circumstances of the applicant/intended occupier and to accord with policy CS14 of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Core Strategy and policies DP24 and DP25 of the London Borough of Camden Local Development Framework Development Policies."</p> <p>I also have concerns about the prospect of unsecured paraphernalia (folded tables and chairs) being left between the kiosks and the grade II listed garden railings or in between the historic BT kiosks where they can be accessed by members of the public and be used to perpetrate anti-social behaviour when the shop is closed.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/6451/P	P Tropea	18/01/2020 17:39:54	OBJ	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>Ref: telephone kiosk Russell Square 2019/6445/L 2019/6451/P</p> <p>I object to this proposal as the representative of the Friends Group on the grounds that the telephone box is of heritage importance , and visually should not be impaired . The heritage should not be cluttered with tables, chairs and paraphernalia as it will lead to further litter and congestion on the pavement. The original planning rejection : 2015/0974/L and 2015/0664/P , to allow trading to be conducted from outside the telephone box should be upheld , and bearing in mind that nothing has changed from the original rejection. Russell Square is listed grade II square Please do not allow further congestion on the pavement , and visual dismemberment of the kiosk and surrounding area . If allowed it will inevitably create extra litter and congestion , and the possibility of vandalism after closure, of items attached to the railings and the kiosk itself .</p> <p>P Tropea Treasurer Friends of Russell Square</p>
2019/6451/P	Bob Osborne	16/01/2020 21:12:34	OBJ	<p>Vital that these restrictions remain in place and that the applicant is forced to adhere to them. At present he regularly and flagrantly goes beyond the licence, and stores a large amount of clutter behind the phone box which can be seen from within the square. This is a conservation area and all the work that local residents and commissioners are doing to maintain the quality of the public realm are being undermined. If any changes are made, they should be to revoke this licence rather than extend it. I speak as a local resident and commissioner of Russell Square.</p>