

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/5927/P	ABRAHAM	17/01/2020 11:22:34	COMMNT	<p>My wife and I are freehold owners of the adjoining property 39 Platts Lane and have been resident there since 1974.</p> <p>I have noted the various applications relating to the rear extension at 37 over the years and in particular application 2019/1110/P to which I objected at the time.</p> <p>I was surprised and disappointed by the approval of that application and the amended application 2019/5927/P strengthens rather than diminishes my objection.</p> <p>In particular the brick structure now proposed creates a dominant and overbearing intrusion when viewed from our side and rear garden area and from the facing side window of our ground floor sitting room, and diminishes the light into that room even more than the previously approved expanded and fully glazed structure.</p> <p>I therefore continue to object strongly to this application as being prejudicial to the wellbeing and enjoyment of our neighbouring property and to those of the other residents of 37 with whom good relations are maintained. I also note that no warning notices have been placed outside the property to enable other parties to express their views in the normal way.</p>
2019/5927/P	ABRAHAM	17/01/2020 11:23:07	OBJ	<p>My wife and I are freehold owners of the adjoining property 39 Platts Lane and have been resident there since 1974.</p> <p>I have noted the various applications relating to the rear extension at 37 over the years and in particular application 2019/1110/P to which I objected at the time.</p> <p>I was surprised and disappointed by the approval of that application and the amended application 2019/5927/P strengthens rather than diminishes my objection.</p> <p>In particular the brick structure now proposed creates a dominant and overbearing intrusion when viewed from our side and rear garden area and from the facing side window of our ground floor sitting room, and diminishes the light into that room even more than the previously approved expanded and fully glazed structure.</p> <p>I therefore continue to object strongly to this application as being prejudicial to the wellbeing and enjoyment of our neighbouring property and to those of the other residents of 37 with whom good relations are maintained. I also note that no warning notices have been placed outside the property to enable other parties to express their views in the normal way.</p>