| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Printed on: 22/01/2020 09:10:12 Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2019/5817/P | Elaine Wright | 11/01/2020 23:49:07 | SUPPRT | I've lived in Hampstead for over 25 years and regularly walk down Pilgrim's Lane. I own and am very interested in the restoration and upkeep of historical buildings and having spotted this application, I was interested to see the plans. My view is that the height, design and choice of material appear modest and sympathetic to the original house. I am surprised by some of the neighbour's objections that it spoils the symmetry of the house when it does not appear from either of the historical reports that the house was designed to be symmetrical even before the previous changes to no.7 Pilgrims Lane. It can be disruptive when neighbours embark on restoration and construction work, but this shouldn't prevent investment in a house which appears to need renovation and some readapting to suit modern lifestyles. As an owner of a listed property myself, my view is that the best way to preserve older houses and ensure that they are taken care of and don't fall into disrepair, is to balance conservation concerns with an awareness that houses can adapt, as they always have, to changes in family lifestyles. I can see why the present layout of the kitchen and living space is not ideal for the way that people now live and these plans seem to be to have been thought out sensitively. |
| 2019/5817/P | M. Horlock | 10/01/2020 09:21:05 | SUPPRT | I write in support of the application. It appears to be a thoughtful and practical update of the existing house, without interfering with its historic charm and character, nor imposing or intruding on the sight lines of surrounding properties. |
| 2019/5817/P | Graham Dunn | 12/01/2020 21:15:45 | ОВЈ | When the Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan was drawn up, one of its clear objectives was to protect historic properties from being butchered through opportunistic development such as that which is proposed here. 9 Pilgrim¿s Lane is recorded as making a positive contribution to the Conservation Area. The Neighbourhood Forum¿s own policy clearly states that ¿Development proposals must seek to protect and/or enhance buildings (or other elements) which make a positive contribution to the Conservation Area, as identified in the relevant conservation area appraisals and Management Strategies¿. This proposed extension neither protects or enhances the existing building. Furthermore, section 28 of the Hampstead Conservation Area Statement clearly lays out that ¿rear extensions would not be acceptable where they would spoil a uniform rear elevation¿. There is clearly nothing uniform about the proposed extension. I strongly object to this application and trust that the Council shall ensure that permission for this scheme, or similar in the future, is denied. |