From:
 Rachel Thrower

 Sent:
 22 January 2020 06:33

To: Planning

Subject: Re: Comments on 2019/5449/P have been received by the council.

My objection does not appear on the website. Please advise.

On Mon, 13 Jan 2020 at 17:43, planning@camden.gov.uk wrote:

The submitted and validated application is so lacking in adequate supporting information and detail that it should be refused on this basis alone. 31 Grove Place is located within the historic village core within the Hampstead Conservation Area and therefore any proposal requires full supporting information allowing a proper assessment of its impact.

We object to the planning application on the following grounds:

- 1.Inadequate supporting information: the application does not include a Design and Access Statement (together with photographs and an architect's impression of the proposal in its setting) and adequate, clear and properly scaled layout and elevation plans.
- 2.Provision of Parking space is contrary to Policy T2 which seeks to promote sustainable transport and requires all new developments in the borough to be car free. Furthermore, this space would be accessed by reversing into it from Grove Place or driving into it by going the wrong way down the one way road. The recent closure of part of New End at peak times has already seen increased traffic flow on Grove Place and any access to/from Grove Place will only serve to increase safety concerns.
- 3.Design there is no justification provided for a wall of increased height which could be detrimental to the Conservation Area and contrary to Policy DH1 and DH2. No details/images of the design or materials to be used have been provided. Additionally, there are no details provided for the shed and it's proposed location. The hand drawn plans are inadequate.
- 4. Policy A3 states that trees and vegetation are integral to the amenity and character of the street scene and seeks retention of trees. Additionally, Grove Place experiences poor surface water drainage with areas of pooling. Policy states that replacing garden areas with hard standing for purposes of providing on site parking will be resisted.

For the reasons above we consider that this application should be refused.

Comments made by Rachel	Thrower of 30 Grove Place
Phone	
EMail	

Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Objection