From: RT Sent: 21 January 2020 15:35 Planning To: Re: Comments on 2019/6023/P have been received by the council. Subject: Good afternoon - I have notified my fellow neighbours of the planning application and they were flabbergasted as we have never been notified. I believe we should have received a letter from Camden and a planning consultant which has never arrived. The lessee in question has also not engaged us. We are even more put out by the fact that the surveyor undertaking the planning application is also undertaking communal works for our building and he has not even notified us. It should be noted that the lessee in question has been liaising with all of us (as we are share of freeholders) throughout the extensive renovation of his flat however he seems to have 'conveniently' decided to not notify us of this planning application. This all leads us to believe that the wool is intentionally being pulled over our eyes. Regards, Richard D. Taube ACA On Fri, 17 Jan 2020 at 12:28, R T wrote: Works for me - thanks. Regards, Richard D. Taube ACA On Fri, 17 Jan 2020 at 12:21, Planning < Planning@camden.gov.uk > wrote: Dear Richard Thank you for confirming that. Your response will appears as below. Are you okay with that?

I have been a resident of NW3 for many years and as such make the below comments in the interest of maintaining the area's character.

In line with similar backland 'out-houses' in the area this should be set to the back boundary of the garden and not pushed as far forward as it currently is. As a LL of a neighbouring property it is clearly visible that all 'out-houses' have been set back accordingly. In addition the modern 'out-house' came about as a way to replace garden sheds - this proposal allows for a shed + out-house which should be deemed as over-development.

My wife and I therefore consider this proposal as an opportunist attempt at over developing a beautiful green part of the plot.

Comments made by Mike Daniels

Kind regards

Tony

Tony Young Planning Technician

Telephone: 020 7974 4444



From: R T

Sent: 17 January 2020 11:51

To: Planning < Planning@camden.gov.uk >

Subject: Re: Comments on 2019/6023/P have been received by the council.

Thanks Tony. Please can I state that the name given is my legal name and not the one I go by however I would want the name provided to be showed as I do not want the lessee to contact me. Please also note that I live in 17 Belsize Park Gardens and not 15 as stated. Again I don't want to notify the lessee of this as I live in the same block and hence it would be obvious who I am.

Please can you confirm you are happy with this.

Regards,

Richard D. Taube ACA

On Fri, 17 Jan 2020 at 11:46, Planning < Planning@camden.gov.uk > wrote:

Dear Richard

Thank you for your email received today.

No personal details will be shown (these will be redacted) except for your name.

To provide you with the best possible service, incoming emails are handled by the team as a whole: please help us maintain standards by sending all responses to this email to planning@camden.gov.uk

Regards

Tony

Tony Young - Planning Technician

Regeneration and Planning

Culture and Environment Directorate London Borough of Camden Town Hall, 2nd Floor, 5 Pancras Square London N1C 4NG

Tel.: 0207 974 4444 Fax: 0207 974 1680

Visit https://protect-eu.mimecast.com/s/7mR4C71ZPuVmJzoi8jzCl?domain=camden.gov.uk for the latest council information and news. Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail



From: RT

Sent: 17 January 2020 11:41

To: Planning < Planning@camden.gov.uk >

Subject: Re: Comments on 2019/6023/P have been received by the council.

Good morning - please can you advise what details will be made public as I am reticent for my details to be online.

Regards,

Richard D. Taube ACA

On Fri, 17 Jan 2020 at 11:35, splanning@camden.gov.uk wrote:

I have been a resident of NW3 for many years and as such make the below comments in the interest of maintaining the area's character.

In line with similar backland 'out-houses' in the area this should be set to the back boundary of the garden and not pushed as far forward as it currently is. As a LL of a neighbouring property it is clearly visible that all 'out-houses' have been set back accordingly. In addition the modern 'out-house' came about as a way to replace garden sheds - this proposal allows for a shed + out-house which should be deemed as over-development.

My wife and I therefore consider this proposal as an opportunist attempt at over developing a beautiful green part of the plot.

Comments made by Mike Daniels of 15 Belsize Park Gardens

Phone

EMail

Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Interested Party

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice here which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice here which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.