

2019/6192/T

10/01/2020 15:13:45 COMMNT

I support the application to fell the 4 trees on Langbourne Avenue because your report says that they are showing signs of disease and are damaging the pavement and drains, and block out light all year from peoples flats, also the trees are already huge and are only going to get bigger, so the trees will have to be removed at some point anyway.

Also the estate is looking into on-street cycle parking so the space underneath could be used for that. Also all the other avenues on the estate have smaller, prettier, trees, like cherry blossom, so I think your proposal is more in keeping with the estate.

Printed on: 13/01/2020 09:10:06

Application No:

Consultees Name:

Received: 11/01/2020 23:21:21 OBJ

OBJECTION TO APPLICATION 2019/6192/T TO FELL FOUR TREES (T1, T2, T3 and T4) CURRENTLY UNDER THE TREE PRESERVATION ORDER C882 \pm 2009

I strongly oppose the proposed felling of Leylandii on Langbourne Mansions

Many birds utilise Leylandii for nesting as they offer good shelter for their nests

It's never a good time to cut back or fell Levlandii with active nests in! And it is widely believed there are also bats there!

The Leylandii on Langbourne do not block light -i this is a myth. The trees are located on the south side of the

street to the north of their adjacent blocks, so they never block the sunlight. The south-facing blocks on the other side of the road are placed higher, and the trees do not block their light either. It would be a criminal offence to cut the trees if it would damage or destroy active nests and the work should be postponed. It would be distressing for the birds and if a nest is destroyed and the local bird population

frightened away. Tree felling should be avoided between March and August, being peak breeding time for many birds. Any

cutting work to trees should be left until the end of the breeding season. Outside of these dates, there may also be early or late nesters. With the presence of many next in these trees, they could only legally be felled if there was irrefutable proof of no currently nesting birds or bats To supports my argument to preserve the trees I include a variety of perspectives and references:

Tree loss impacts on ecological connectivity

Removing 60% of roadside trees decreased the number of successful dispersers by up to 17%
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S157495411730211X

Nest sites as a key resource for population persistence: A case study modelling nest occupancy under forestry

practices
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6181357/

Tree removal has long-term impact on bird community composition https://wildlife.org/jwm-study-tree-removal-has-long-term-impact-on-bird-community-composition/

According to the report, at least 40% of bird species worldwide are in decline, with researchers blaming human activity for the losses https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/apr/23/one-in-eight-birds-is-threatened-with-extinction-global-study-finds

When trees are removed or destroyed en masse (i.e. 4 huge trees in one go), the species living in that area lose their natural habitats, and some are not able to survive the change. When animals or plants die as a result of deforestation, the biodiversity of that area decreases.

This judgement recognises the importance of urban trees and the social benefit that they bring. More

Page 28 of 46

Printed on: 13/01/2020 09:10:06 Application No: Consultees Name: Received:

importantly it clarifies what foreseeability actually means. This decision moves the perception away from the position that a tree on clay soil near a building equals a 'treasonably foreseeable' risk, as has previously been presumed

 $Read \ more \ at https://www.rspb.org.uk/birds-and-wildlife/bird-and-wildlife-guides/ask-an-expert/previous/leylandii.aspx#JDZ4 V0SwR5uGccQ0.99$

The decision is of considerable importance to local authorities and housing associations managing their tree stocks. It confirms that simple proximity of a tree to a building does not elevate the risk of damage from being a potential to ia real risk of damage. An assessment needs to be made as to whether there is a 'real risk.' Factors that should be considered include whether there have been previous claims in the vicinity and any other factor that might mean that a tree poses a real risk. The importance of frequent and severe pruning of trees identified as la real risk, iprior to damage occurring, is again highlighted. However, where the reasonably foreseeable risk of damage is small, it is reasonable to match a pruning regime to the risk, and in some possible scenarios, not to maintain at all. The social benefit of a treed environment was highlighted, in that it would not be reasonable to fell all trees that pose a risk (but not a real risk) to eliminate or minimise that risk. https://www.ltoa.org.uk/resources/legal-cases-involving-trees

New study shows how trees clean the air in London https://www.southampton.ac.uk/news/2011/10/trees-clean-the-air-in-london.page

Tree loss due to subsidence damage claims to low rise buildings is a contentious issue in the UK Undoubtedly tree removals can have a high negative impact on amenity and the environment at the local level. At the wider level, the impact is generally less than commonly thought.

https://www.localsurveyorsdirect.co.uk/tree-related-subsidence-damage

Where trees are subject to a TPO any tree works requires an application to the relevant planning authority detailing the proposed works and the reasons for that work. The council will require evidence substantiating the role of the relevant tree(s) as a causal factor in the damage. That evidence is obtained from site specific investigations and laboratory testing of samples (soils and roots). Where trees of the same species are implicated, a DNA test may be required.

In addition, monitoring data confirming a cyclical pattern of movement consistent with vegetation induced soil volume changes will normally be required, and in some cases 12 months of data is requested. Environmental benefits:

**absorbing airborne pollutants like ozone, carbon, sulphur and nitrogen dioxides and acting as carbon sinks (converting carbon dioxide into plant tissue)

- i filtering the air of dust, other particulates and noise
- producing oxygen
- reducing localized extremes in temperature (helping to gool the air in summer and warm the air in winter)

Page 29 of 46

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response: Printed on: 13/01/20	20 09:10:06		
				▼ reducing the effects of flash floods			
				providing habitats for a variety of wildlife Economic benefits: increasing property and land values (by 5-10% for residential or commercial properties and 27% for underveloped land (with mature trees present))			
				 providing a sustainable source of woodchip bio-fuel (as a by-product of tree works) and a source of compost (leaf matter) for use in parks 			
				 can provide employment through all aspects of the industry Social and health benefits; providing a more beautiful setting, especially in urban areas 			
				ν helps reduce stress levels throughout the borough			
				• softens and brings colour and character to built up environment			
				 releases scents and aromas that can promote a positive emotional state which contributes to better health and well being https://www.sutton.gov.uk/info/200453/parks_trees_and_open_spaces/1126/trees 			
				I trust these points will be fully considered and the trees on Langbourne Avenue may continue to give shelte to the birds and bats, as well as onions pleasure to those who live in the building most effected, such as myself			
2019/6192/T		11/01/2020 22:21:52	OB1	OBJECTION TO APPLICATION 2019/6192/T TO FELL FOUR TREES (T1, T2, T3 and T4) CURRENTLY UNDER THE TREE PRESERVATION ORDER C882 , 2009 I live in one of the buildings which face two of these trees, Tree 1 and 2 and strongly object to the proposed felling. The trees may be tall but they are not dangerous. The give us the delight of some amazing birdsong all day long. To take that constant source of delight throughout the year away from us would be a disaster! Yes, some of the birds have droppings , but that is natural no justification for removing them. That would be crime against nature. Someone mentioned flats being damp and with poor insulation , that has nothing to do with the trees! Havin lived in this building for many many years (decades), the trees not , block out all natural light, , and the foliag is nowhere near our windows, there are other reasons for a lack of light—it is not the trees. Someone else mentioned these tress are not major contributors to native wildlife habitat , yes they are!! If anything has to go, take only Tree no. 4, furthest east, closes to Swain, s Lane, and at the very least please spare Tree no1!!	3		

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	Printed on:	13/01/2020	09:10:06
2019/6192/T		11/01/2020 23:45:56	OBJ	There have been sighting of bats nesting there, aren't we supposed to be protecting	them?		
				The trees do not block the light - but the sun is on the south side, so no light is block	ed.		
				(A little birdpoo isn't so bad if if it means we can keeps the birds)			
				It would take years and years to recover the lost of birdsong if all four trees and felled at the same time			
				the birds would disappear overnight			
				I am distraught at the thought			

Printed on: 13/01/2020 09:10:06

Application No:

Consultees Name

Develved

11/01/2020 21:11:56 OBJ

OBJECTION TO APPLICATION 2019/6192/T TO FELL FOUR TREES (T1, T2, T3 and T4) CURRENTLY UNDER THE TREE PRESERVATION ORDER C882 \pm 2009

Langbourne Mansions Langbourne Avenue (East), the Holly Lodge Estate, London N6 6PU Langbourne Mansions Langbourne Avenue (East), the Holly Lodge Estate, London N6 8PU
I have lived within the Holly Lodge estate since the early 1980s and in Langbourne Mansions since 1987. My
flat is north facing and directly looks out at two of the group of four trees. I am one of the residents with the
longest history here, and I have watched the trees grow and mature in that time, with the greatest sense of
enjoyment and pleasure. These conifer trees are actually an historic feature of the avenue. I also particularly
value the privacy they afford my flat (from a particular neighbours prying eyes, aided by their binoculars, a
problem that was a very unpleasant problem before the trees became a bit bigger!

The Estate is covered by a Conservation Area designation which the defines as areas of special architectural
or historical interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance. (Planning
(Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990)

Considering the horrific loss of natural habitat for so much wildlife by the recent bushfers in Australia, it
desent make sense to wiltfully destroy the habit of so much wildlife and birds who live and rely on theses trees

doesn't make sense to wilfully destroy the habit of so much wildlife and birds who live and rely on theses trees The following points articulate the valid reasons I oppose the application to fell, and the rationale to preserve

The Mansions block in which my (solely north-facing) flat is situated fronts onto Langbourne avenue. The The Mansions block in which my (solely north-facing) flat is situated fronts onto Langbourne avenue. The Mansions themselves therefore cast shade as they block the suns path which lies to the southern elevation. The suns path alters by some degree over the seasons rising in the northeast and setting in the northwest with a high arch during summer and in winter rising in the south east and setting in the southwest with a low arch. Early morning sunshine and late evening sunshine penetrate the avenue in summertime. So the tree themselves do not cast shade between themselves and the mansions block. Flats which face north are in the shade due to the orientation of the building which is north north northwest whereas flats to the southern elevation gain direct sunlight. The suggestion in the supporting document that the trees cause a decrease in natural light level in winter is inaccurate and misleading. This is clearly a seasonal effect due the axis of the planets as it notates around the sun.

2. Re: low Amenity Value
Amenity is not leasily defined. In the covernments guidence on TPO trees it is usually taken to include the

Amenity is not legally defined. In the governments guidance on TPO trees it is usually taken to include the

- Pollowing criteriaPublic enjoyment and visibility,
 Size,
 Form and,

- longevity expressed as future potential as an amenity. Additional attributes may be taken into account including

- ranty, cultural or historic value; contribution to, and relationship with, the landscape, and
- contribution to the character or appearance of a conservation area

There is no set prescribed methodology for assessing amenity value. The field of arboriculture has seen a number of methodologies emerge since the 50's they include Helliwell System for tree evaluation, TEMPO (Tree Evaluation Method for Tree Preservation Orders), latterly CAVAT (Capital Asset Valuation of Amenity Trees) developed by the London Tree Officers Association (LTOA). CAVAT does not just evaluate amenity but its holistic value looking at the ecosystem services benefits and monetised capital asset value including the replacement cost equivalent. CAVAT is used by many local authorities especially when utilities apply to

Printed on: 13/01/2020 09:10:06 Application No: Consultees Name: Received:

remove trees to aid their asset management. When presented with the bill for the cost of removal in terms of CAVAT value to be repaid, the utilities usually adjust their proposal to retain the trees and repair without damaging tree roots. The replacement value of our trees could run into thousands of pound, if not tens of

camaging tree roots. The replacement value or our trees could run into mostands or pound, it not tens or thousands. I urge the Council Camden and its Tree Officer to carry out a CAVAT evaluation. Other factors which can assessed include importance to nature conservation or response to climate change including ecosystem services. (See below for benefits) The trees are mature Leyland Cypress and provide high amenity by virtue of their aesthetic form and appearance, being well foliated and are iconic and as well as locally historic cultural features for the Holly

Lodge Estate. It is my opinion, that the trees provide a high amenity value and have a useful life expectancy of

a further 20+ years.

3. Re: diseases
There are no signs of disease in the retained cypresses evidenced in the application. It should not be enough to mention a diseased tree which was removed as an argument to remove them all. In arboriculture, the presence of a fungal species is not an automatic reason to remove a tree as the presence alone does not

presence of a tungal species is not an automatic reason to remove a tree as the presence alone does not render a tree dangerous. The tree should be retained and monitored.

4. Re: damage to estate Infrastructure

If trees in city environments were to be felled because of presence of utilities and roads we would have hardly any trees. Indeed TDAG (Tree Design and Advisory Group) undertook a visualisation exercise a few years ago illustrating existing key iconic sites which have trees and compared to photoshopped versions showing how denuded streets and squares would be without trees. Road and path surfaces can be ameliorated and redesigned to mitigate any root heave of the surfaces. This is often done across many local authorities, such as most disastrously like Sheffield! CAVAT evaluation of the trees is essential so that everyone can see and understand the true value of the trees.

Infrastructure can be repaired and the utility runs provide with proprietary root deflection materials. NJUG provides guidance on working in and around roots and utility apparatus and the British standard for tree in relation to design demolition and construction (BS 5837:2012) also provides guidance for utility installation in and around roots without damaging roots.

It is claimed that the trees cannot be pruned at all. In fact, they could be slightly crown-raised by 500mm to

900mm, maybe more to provide more vertical clearance above roads and cars. It would also be possible to do minor lateral trimming to provide a hedging or topiary effect providing this is only done to within the green foliage zone.

Specific Benefits of the existing trees

Mitigating Particulate air pollution

Levland Cypress have been shown in research at the Universities of Sussex and Southhampton to perform Leyland Cypress have been shown in research at the Universities of Sussex and Southhampton to perform very well at filtering out polition including particulates from diesel engines due to the dense nature of their foliage and the fact that they are evergreen. Planted near busy roads it can significantly reduce particulate pollution entering houses. In our case, the trees are adjacent to a busy Swains Lane. 2. Carbon-Oxygen cycle I refer to Camden's Clean Air Action Plan 2019-2022, which outlines that trees reduce climate change impacts

by keeping urban areas cool and reducing flood risk; they help mitigate adverse aspects of climate change through carbon storage. Their evergreen foliage also produce oxygen, much needed to improve the air quality

They outperform deciduous tree for the provision of nesting and forage for small song birds such as blackbird and thrush finches tits and wren thus improving biodiversity and ecological resilience through habitat creation.

Page 33 of 46

Printed on: 13/01/2020 09:10:06 Consultees Name: Received:

> One of the joys of living opposite two of the trees is waking up to the dawn chorus and the melodious quality of bird song throughout the day. It would take many, many decades for this anything like the current population of birds to return, should these trees be felled. The loss of this birdsong would be deeply and profoundly felt... The trees also support a large population of invertebrate which act as food source for many of the bird and also for bats. Bats are frequently seen at dusk and are believed to be nesting in the trees. The bats are known to use mature trees as navigations routes between feeding and roost areas. These trees are effectively ibat highways. Removal of the trees will adversely impact local bird population, robbing them of scare nesting opportunities and lead to local population decline
> 4. Slope stability

4. Slope stability
According to Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study, published in November 2010, sources of four large river systems are located in the Hampstead Heath area. The course of one of them, the River Fleet and a number of its tributaries runs from Hampstead Heath south south-east and lies beneath the Highgate, including the Holly Lodge Estate (HLE), which is located on one of the steepest slopes in North London, along Swains Lane and West Hill. The Study points out (paragraph 121) that it is these areas (over 7 degrees steep) that are potentially most prone to becoming unstable if the land topography is adversely disturbed. Further, in paragraph 210, the Study concludes that Iremoval of vegetation (including tree felling) results in less water extracted from a slope by plants and more water arriving on the slope because of reduced interception of rainfall, which may initiate ground movement through adverse changes in the pressure of water within the soil pores? within the soil poresy.

These mature trees consume a fair amount of underground water flow, protecting the area where households occasionally experience problems in basements and gardens. Disruption of the established pattern may result in unintended adverse consequences and expense.

Noise abatement

The trees act as sound dampening screen by absorbing noise such as traffic etc. (At the same time, the trees are perceived by many to act as cathedrals of birdsong, throughout the day. The effect of the loss of this would be profound. It would take many, many decades for this anything like the current population of birds to return, should these trees be felled).

Visual screening

The cypress trees provide excellent and much needed visual screening from the mansions to the north, I do not have a garden of my own, however this green outlook onto the trees is my garden, giving me deep joy.

Without prejudice in the event of consent In the event of the valid neighbour objections are disregarded, I would ask that the specific conditions below are applied.

- Replacement of cypress ideally with coniferous species (as conifers are historically characteristic to the avenue). Suitable species some of which are deciduous include Dawn Redwood Metasequoia glyptostrobus European larch Larix decidua, Western Red Cedar Thuja Plicata, Maidenhair tree Gingko biloba, or Incense
- Cedar Calocedrus decurrans.
- Replacement with advanced nursery or Semi mature stock.
 Specialist retrofitting of root deflection systems close to utilit Specialist retrofitting of root deflection systems close to utility runs. Slope stabilisation and if necessary provision of suitable replacements.
- nent soil and better edge restraint along
- 5. Resurfacing of the road with specialist systems (Greenfix Geoweb or similar) to provide root volume capacity to support growth and maturation of trees

Page 34 of 46

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	Printed on:	13/01/2020	09:10:06	
				Our lovely green outlook, not overshadowed by the higher building opposite. The trees do NOT block the light, but provide a wonderful green view. Any lack of light is due to the clouds! (resident of 32 years!)				
				The green view from living room				
				The sun shines on the top of the trees, from the opposite south side of our building				
				Though the sun never shines on this flat, due to its orientation $\vec{\nu}$ not the trees! We can enjoy the lightlight ON the trees.				
				Two of the trees face our building				
				Treetop sunlight, beautiful birdsong and green views, which we treasure. Please let us keep this!				
				From 73 Langbourne Mansions, Langbourne Avenue, N6				
2019/6192/T		11/01/2020 22:49:07	ОВЈ	OBJECTION TO APPLICATION 2019/6192/T TO FELL FOUR TREES (T1, T2, T3 ϵ UNDER THE TREE PRESERVATION ORDER C882 $\rm \&$ 2009	nd T4) CURR	ENTLY		
				I live in one of the buildings which face two of these trees, Tree 1 and 2 and strongly object to the proposed felling.				
				Further to my earlier comments I would like to respond to some comments I have just are supporting the felling of 4 trees:	t read from so	me who		
				ξThe windows of these dwellings are now almost completely obscured by the foliage closer ξ Totally absurd and inaccurate! I claim this as someone whose flat faces two controls are the controls of the control of the co		ng ever		
				¿It is completely wrong to suggest that this makes a welcome screen¿ I strongly disa other side are higher (they also have both south and north facings. My flat is ONLY n WELCOME this green screen. Privacy is an issue, and I don¿t want to have to have	orth facing) ar			
				¿It will be a great relief to the residents if this problem is removed and they can enjoy once again ¿. Wrongi ¿ I have lived here for many decades, I don ¿ t have a south-fac We DO have daylight, though not direct sunlight ¿ that is not because of the trees I would welcome any tree officer to come and see the view from my flat for themselves.	ng view.	eir homes		

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response: Printed on: 13/01/2020 09:10:06

2019/6192/T 11/01/2020 16:09:25 OBJ

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response: Printed on: 13/01/2020 09:10:06

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response: Response: Printed on: 13/01/2020 09:10:06

 Application No:
 Consultees Name:
 Received:
 Comment:
 Response:

 2019/6192/T
 11/01/2020 23:23:15
 OBJ
 SB

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response: Printed on: 13/01/2020 09:10:06

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Commune: Response:

Response: Res

Printed on: 13/01/2020 09:10:06

2019/6192/T

Develved 11/01/2020 00:23:51 OBJ

Langbourne Mansions Langbourne Avenue (East), the Holly Lodge Estate, London N6 6PU Wy family have had a residence in the area for several decades. The flat is north facing and directly looks out at two of the group. We love the trees and especially value the privacy screening they afford the flat. The area is to within the Conservation Area which the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990) defines as areas of special architectural or historical interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance.

desirable to preserve or enhance.

Rebuttal of reasons given on the form for felling:

1. Excessive shade.

The trees lie to the north of the northern elevation of the Mansions. The Mansions themselves therefore cast shade as they block the suns path. So the tree themselves do not cast shade between them and the mansions. Flats which face north are in the shade due to the orientation of the building whereas flats to the southern elevation gain direct sunlight. The suggestion in the supporting document that the trees cause a southern elevation gain times sample. The suggestion in the supporting occurrent that the nees cause a decrease in natural light tevel in winter is frankly ridiculous. This is clearly a seasonal effect due the axis of the planets rotation around the sun.

2. Low Amenity Value

2. Low Amenity Value Amenity is not defined in law however it is usually taken to include the following criteria- public enjoyment and visibility, size, form and longevity expressed as future potential as an amenity. It can also include rantly, cultural or historic value; contribution to, and relationship with, the landscape; and contribution to the character or appearance of a conservation area. While there is no set prescribed format for assessing this various methodologies include Helliwell and TEMPO, with CAVAT (LTOA) being able to ascribe a monetised value to trees in the public domain. CAVAT is used by many local authorities especially when utilities apply to remove tree to aid their asset management. When presented with the bill for the cost of removal in terms of CAVAT. value to be repaid the utilities usually adjust their proposal to retain the trees. The values of these tree likely runs into tens of thousands of pounds. I urge the Council Camden Tree Officer to carry out or commission a CAVAT evaluation.

Other factors which LPAs can add to the assessment include importance to nature conservation or response

to climate change including ecosystem services. (See below for benefits)

to cumate change including ecosystem services, (see below to benefits). The trees are mature Leyland Cypress and provide high amenity by virtue of their aesthetic form and appearance, being well foliated and are iconic and locally historic cultural features for the Holly Lodge Estate. It is my opinion, that the trees provide a high amenity value and have a useful life expectancy of 20+ years.

There are no signs of disease in the retained cypress evidenced.

Damage to estate Infrastructure

4. Damage to estate infrastructure if trees in city environments were to be felled because of presence of utilities and roads we would have hardly any trees. Indeed TDAG (Tree Design and Advisory Group) undertook a visualisation exercise a few years ago illustrating existing key sites with trees and compared to iphotoshopped versions showing how denuded streets and square would be without trees. Road and path surfaces can be ameliorated and redesigned to mitigate any root heave of the surfaces. This is often done across many local authorities. Don't be like Sheffield!! CAVAT evaluation of the trees is essential so that everyone can see and understand the true value of the trees.

of the trees.

Infrastructure can be repaired and the utility runs provide with proprietary root deflection materials. NUUG provides guidance on working in and around roots and utility apparatus and the British standard for tree in relation to design demolition and construction BS 5837:2012 also provides guidance for utility installation in

Page 42 of 46

and around roots without damaging roots.
It is claimed that the trees cannot be pruned at all. In fact they could be slightly crown raised by 500mm to 900mm maybe more to provide more vertical clearance above roads and cars.

It would also be possible to do minor lateral trimming to provide a hedging or topiary effect providing this is

only done to the other green foliage zone.

Specific Benefits of the existing trees

1. Mitigating Particulate air pollution

Leyland Cypress have been shown in research at the Universities of Sussex and southhampton to perform Leyland Cypress have been shown in research at the Universities of Sussex and soutmampton to perform very well at filtering out polition including particulates from diesel engines due to the dense nature of their foliage and the fact that they are evergreen. Planted near busy roads it can significantly reduce particulate pollution entering houses. In our case, the trees are adjacent to a busy Swains Lane. 2. Carbon - Oxygen cycle I refer to Camden's Clean Air Action Plan 2019-2022, which outlines that trees reduce climate change impacts

by keeping urban areas cool and reducing flood risk; they help mitigate adverse aspects of climate change through carbon storage. Their evergreen foliage also produce oxygen, much needed to improve the air quality

3. Biodiversity
They outperform deciduous tree for the provision of nesting and forage for small song birds such as blackbird
and thrush finches tits and wren thus improving biodiversity and ecological resilience through habitat creation.
One of the joys of living /staying opposite two of the trees is waking up to the dawn chorus and the melodious
quality of bird song throughout the day. They also support a large population of invertebrate which act as food
source for many of the bird and also for bats. Bats are frequently seen at dusk and are known to use matures
tree as navigations route between feeding and roost areas. These trees are effectively ibat highways). Removal of the tree will adversely impact local bird population robbing them of scare nesting opportunities and lead to local population decline.

lead to local population decline.

4. Slope stability

According to Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study, published in November 2010,
sources of four large river systems are located in the Hampstead Heath area. The course of one of them, the
River Fleet and a number of its tributaries runs from Hampstead Heath south south-east and lies beneath the River I-leet and a number of its tributaries runs from Hampstead Heath south south-east and lies beneath the Highgate, including the Holly Lodge Estate (HLEs, which is located on one of the steepest slopes in North London, along Swains Lane and West Hill. The Study points out (paragraph 121) that it is these areas (over 7 degrees steep) with a rae potentially most prone to becoming unstable if the land topography is adversely disturbed. Further, in paragraph 210, the Study concludes that themoval of vegetation (including tree felling) results in less water extracted from a slope by plants and more water arriving on the slope because of reduced interception of rainfall, which may initiate ground movement through adverse changes in the pressure of water

within the soil pores!

These mature trees consume a fair amount of underground water flow, protecting the area where households occasionally experience problems in basements and gardens. Disruption of the established pattern may result in unintended adverse consequences and expense.

Noise abatement
 The trees act as sound dampening screen by absorbing noise.

Visual screening
 The cypress trees provide excellent visual screening from the mansions to the north.