CONSULTATION SUMMARY

Case reference numb	per(s)				
2019/4656/P					
Case Officer:			Application Addre	ss:	
			96 Canfield Gardens		
Ben Farrant			London	London	
			NW6 3EE	NW6 3EE	
Proposal(s)					
roposal(s)					
Erection of rear dormer	roof extension; installation of 1	x front fac	ing roof light (Use Class C	3).	
	roof extension; installation of 1 No. of responses	x front fac	ting roof light (Use Class C No. of objections	3).	
Representations					
			No. of objections	1	
Representations		1 ed on beha	No. of objections No of comments No of support alf of the owners/occupie	1 0 0	
Representations Consultations: Summary of	No. of responses An objection was receive 98 and 100 Canfield Gar	1 ed on beha rdens on t	No. of objections No of comments No of support alf of the owners/occupie the following grounds:	1 0 0 ers of the flats at	
Representations Consultations: Summary of	No. of responses An objection was receive 98 and 100 Canfield Gar 1. Negative impact of properties and of	1 ed on beha rdens on t on privacy the prope rmer will a	No. of objections No of comments No of support alf of the owners/occupie the following grounds:	1 0 0 ers of the flats at the adjacent	

	4. Dormer will interrupt an unbroken run of roofs
	5. Windows are not aligned with the windows of the floors below and are disproportionate
	<u>Officers Response:</u>
	• Given the siting, scale and design of the proposed alterations, the proposal is considered not to result in undue harm to neighbouring amenities. Whilst an additional level of overlooking may be achievable to the sides/rear of the site, given the existing arrangement and that any views towards no.98 Canfield Gardens would be at an oblique angle, this would not constitute undue harm and refusal is not warranted on this basis.
	• This is part of a short run of roofs which currently have roof lights, and are in a different style to the surrounding area, the alteration would not constitute undue harm to this run of roof slopes.
	• The fenestration arrangement would not constitute undue harm to the design of the addition to this modern property and refusal would not be warranted on this basis.
Recommendation:-	
Grant planning permiss	sion