

From: Valentina Alfano [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 December 2019 22:39
To: Planning [REDACTED]
Subject: 2019/2263/P Objection - 17 Frognal

Dear Ms Henry

I am writing with reference to the above revised drawing of the proposed dwelling that have been lodged. Due to illness I was unable to submit my objection to the original plans on time, however I want to express my continued objection also for the revised plans for the reasons below:

1. The plan does not mention the access for construction materials, utilities and waste. How is the applicant planning in getting the utilities and construction materials across? The right of way via the garden of 17 Frognal from the street is a very narrow and long path. It is difficult to think how this path could be used to carry construction materials during the construction process without causing any damage to the property, the garden and disturbance to the residents of 17 Frognal
2. The plan confirms the removal of 9 trees, keeping only 4. Though new trees will be planted, the removal of mature trees means that the screening that the current trees make, both with regards to filtering noise (especially coming from Finchley Rd) and reducing pollution, will be lost as small trees will not be able to provide the same level of screening. This would also disrupt any existing wildlife, especially bats which have been previously spotted in this land. It seems that no consideration of pollution or wildlife is taken into account. Also, I would like to highlight that the applicant has already removed one of the 9 mentioned trees and has failed to plant a replacement for this as it had been previously directed by Camden Council. The deadline for this has already passed as it was March 2019. Please see ref. 2018/4091/T.

I look forward to your response on the above points.

Yours sincerely,

Valentina Alfano