Application No: 2019/4404/P

Consultees Name: Received: Suresh A@Raj on behalf of Andrew

Senkow

16/12/2019 11:49:20 OBJ

Comment: Response:



Printed on: 18/12/2019 09:10:05

16 December 2019

Ref: Planning Application No: 2019/4404/P



Andrew Senkow is leaseholder resident at the basement flat of the property referred in the planning application.

He has requested that the following comments be considered with respect of the Planning Application.

- 1 The application drawings do not show the basement flat in any of the

- 1 The application drawings do not show the basement flat in any or the drawings
  2 The existing single brick walls of the besement and ground floor rear extensions, do not appear to be of sufficient strength to support the 2 additional floors proposed in the planning application
  3 It appears that the basement would have to be vacated for construction works to provide additional support for the proposed additional 2 floors
  4 The basement flat has minimal daylight/sunlight openings at the rear of the building and no openings at the front. Any increase of heights to existing rear walls will seriously impact the basement flat daylight levels
  5 None of the properties on either side of the referred part of the terrace have a rear extension all the way up to the eaves height of the building

In consideration of the above, the leaseholder objects to the proposed development.

Yours faithfully,

Page 1 of 14

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response:

Printed on: 18/12/2019 09:10:05

S. A¿Raj Architect Application No: 2019/4404/P

Consultees Name: Received: Suresh A@Raj on 16/12/2019 11:27:45 OBJ behalf of Andzej

Senkow

Comment: Response:



Printed on: 18/12/2019 09:10:05

16 December 2019

Ref: Planning Application No: 2019/4404/P

I write on behalf of: Andrew Senkov

Andrew Senkow is leaseholder resident at the basement flat of the property referred in the planning application.

He has requested that the following comments be considered with respect of the Planning Application.

- 1 The application drawings do not show the basement flat in any of the

- 1 The application drawings do not show the basement flat in any or the drawings
  2 The existing single brick walls of the besement and ground floor rear extensions, do not appear to be of sufficient strength to support the 2 additional floors proposed in the planning application
  3 It appears that the basement would have to be vacated for construction works to provide additional support for the proposed additional 2 floors
  4 The basement flat has minimal daylight/sunlight openings at the rear of the building and no openings at the front. Any increase of heights to existing rear walls will seriously impact the basement flat daylight levels
  5 None of the properties on either side of the referred part of the terrace have a rear extension all the way up to the eaves height of the building

In consideration of the above, the leaseholder objects to the proposed development.

Yours faithfully,

Page 3 of 14

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response:

Printed on: 18/12/2019 09:10:05

S. A¿Raj Architect Application No: 2019/4404/P

Consultees Name: Received: Suresh A@Raj on behalf of Andrew

Senkow

16/12/2019 11:49:37 OBJ

Comment: Response:



Printed on: 18/12/2019 09:10:05

16 December 2019

Ref: Planning Application No: 2019/4404/P

I write on behalf of: Andrew Senkow



Andrew Senkow is leaseholder resident at the basement flat of the property referred in the planning application.

He has requested that the following comments be considered with respect of the Planning Application.

- 1 The application drawings do not show the basement flat in any of the

- 1 The application drawings do not show the basement flat in any or the drawings
  2 The existing single brick walls of the besement and ground floor rear extensions, do not appear to be of sufficient strength to support the 2 additional floors proposed in the planning application
  3 It appears that the basement would have to be vacated for construction works to provide additional support for the proposed additional 2 floors
  4 The basement flat has minimal daylight/sunlight openings at the rear of the building and no openings at the front. Any increase of heights to existing rear walls will seriously impact the basement flat daylight levels
  5 None of the properties on either side of the referred part of the terrace have a rear extension all the way up to the eaves height of the building

In consideration of the above, the leaseholder objects to the proposed development.

Yours faithfully,

Page 5 of 14

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response:

Printed on: 18/12/2019 09:10:05

S. A¿Raj Architect