					Printed on:	16/12/2019	09:10:06
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:			
2019/5443/P	The Heath & Hampstead Society	11/12/2019 16:45:38	OBJ	COMMENTS AND OBJECTION. FROM: THE HEATH & HAMPSTEAD SOCIETY.			
				The refurbishment and improvement proposed for this this attractive listed hose his	excellent.		
				However we have concerns about the proposed basement and the side extension.			
				PROPOSED BASEMENT			
				The basement of the side extension will be on firm foundations very different from the potential differential movement could cause considerable damage to the existing how and side extension are separated structurally from the existing.)	•		
				THE SIDE EXTENSION.  The proposed side extension does not enhance the existing house as seen from the	front and Kea	ts Grove.	
				Totally glass on this very public face the extension will be subject to considerable so curtains, shutters, etc to control the light and heat gain. (and loss during winter).	lar gain and w	ill need	
				The volumetric organisation of the existing house is composed of a main symmetrical side single storey wings. The two wings -the entrance wing and the new eastern wine each other to form a considered, balanced whole. This could be achieved if the roof was less obvious, hidden, or visually separated from the existing house, and if the froof to and visually related to the existing entrance extension. (eg. more solid with reduced	g - should be r of the propose ont elevation v	related to ed extension was similar	
				Please refuse - unless side extension is amended. David Castle RIBA AA Dip DipTP.			