### 44 Ferncroft Avenue, NW3 7PE

**Basement Impact Assessment – Screening and** Scoping Report.

#### **Consulting Structural Engineers Consulting Civil Engineers**

1-5 Offord St London N1 1DH Telephone 020 7700 6666 020 7700 6686 Fax

design@conisbee.co.uk www.conisbee.co.uk

#### Directors

Alan Conisbee BA BAI CEng MIStructE Chris Boydell BSc CEng MIStructE MICE Tim Attwood BSc CEng MIStructE Bob Stagg BSc CEng FIStructE MICE Tom Beaven BEng (Hons) CEng MIStructE

#### Associates

Allan Dunsmore BEng CEng MIStructE MICE David Richards BEng (Hons) CEng MIStructE ACGI Gary Johns Richard Dobson MEng CEng MIStructE Paul Hartfree HNC (Civils) MCIHT FGS ACIOB Terry Girdler BSc (Hons) Eng MSc CEng FICE MIStructE Conservation accredited engineer (CARE)

Consultants

Martin Hargreaves MSc CEng MIStructE MICE

Ref: 110601/JF Date: 11 March 2013 Rev No: Planning







**Norwich Office** 9 - 10 Redwell Street Norwich NR2 4SN Telephone 01603 628 074

Conisbee is a trading name of Alan Conisbee and Associates Limited Registered in England No. 3958459

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 It is proposed to construct a new basement and associated lightwells and terraced landscaping under the existing ground floor apartment at 44 Ferncroft Avenue. Where the basement extends beyond the existing footprint of the building at the rear, the roof will become a new terrace area to the reception dining room and kitchen areas. The rear ground floor elevation is to be remodelled with an existing extension removed and the back wall realigned to create an open space with large openings to the new terrace. The sleeping accommodation will be moved into the new basement. The bedrooms to the front of the house will have new lightwells constructed to provide light, ventilation and emergency access/egress. Bedrooms those to the rear have direct access to a low level garden terrace with steps and terraced planting leading up into the garden.
- 1.2 This report is in response to The Camden Development Policy DP27, with reference to para. 27.3., where whilst the proposed development is outside the foot print of the existing dwelling, it is no greater area than the area of the footprint, and is only a single storey's depth, so may well be deemed to be relatively small given it's setting on the site and the much larger accommodations that surround it.
- 1.3 Following the format guidance in The Camden Policy Guidance PG4, the stages for a Basement Impact Assessment are:
  - Stage 1 Screening; •
  - Stage 2 Scoping; •
  - Stage 3 Site investigation and study; •
  - Stage 4 Impact assessment; and •
  - Stage 5 Review and decision making.

This report follows the Flow Charts and uses the Figurative information given in the Camden Geological, Hydro-geological and Hydrological Study to submit data with relevance to the small scale of this project to address stages 1 and 2.

- 1.4 The Flowcharts of the Appendix E to the Camden Geological, Hydro-geological and Hydrological Study are completed in table format in section 3 of this report and form the screening element of this report, including:
  - Surface Flow and Flooding Impact Identification
  - o Subterranean (groundwater) Flow Impact Identification
  - Slope Stability screening flowchart

- 44 Ferncroft Avenue is located with an arrow on the relevant Figures of the Camden Geological, Hydro-geological and Hydrological Study, appended to this report, Appendix A.
- 1.6 Again reflecting the size of the scheme, a brief scoping report is provided in section 4, to be commented upon by Camden. It is hoped this will satisfy the requirement of DP27 in terms of consideration to the Geological, Hydro-geological and Hydrological effects of the development.

#### 2.0 SITE INFORMATION

- 2.1 44 Ferncroft Avenue is a 3 storey residential building converted into five apartments, with a limited cellar space belonging to the ground floor apartment. The existing construction is traditional load bearing brick walls on spread corbelled footings with loadbearing internal walls supporting timber floors and roof. Investigations will confirm all necessary existing conditions prior to more detailed design.
- 2.2 Ferncroft Avenue is on a hill sloping from its peak down to Platts lane in the Northwest to Heath Drive at its Southern end. The hill also rises from west to east across the site. The ground level to the front of the house is lower than the rear where the garden is terraced from the ground floor level to the natural ground level.
- 2.3 Ferncroft Avenue was affected by the 1975 floods, but not by the more recent flooding in
  2002 (Camden Report : Floods in Camden report of Flood Scrutiny Panel Appendix D)
- 2.4 Geological maps of the area highlight the strata as being London Clay Formation though near the edge of the Claygate member strata. Geological Society Borehole records show both London Clay and Claygate member layers in boreholes taken in the local area. (Appendix E)
- 2.5 There is some history of subsidence to the property. Repairs to brickwork have previously been carried out using helifix type bars. The driveway of the property, rear paved terrace and garden walls of the property show signs of movement, presumably due to nearby trees and possibly, for the drive and terrace paving, due to inadequate sub-base being laid at the time. The scheme proposes underpinning the whole property which would strengthen its foundations and where party walls are underpinned also offer additional support to the adjacent properties.
- 2.6 The property shares a single party wall with its neighbour where the single storey side extensions meet.

- 2.7 There are two mature London Plane trees in the street to the front of the house. These are a minimum distance of 6.1m from the new excavations. There is a large conifer to the rear of the house which will be removed. There is a large shrub (Deciduous Magnolia) in the garden a distance of 1.85m from the extent of the new excavations. This may be affected by the works, however the position of the flight of stairs means that the earth in this area may be sloped down to the terrace rather than cut vertically minimising damage to the root system. A mature tree in the adjacent garden is a distance of 7.95m from the excavation and therefore impacts are considered to be minimal. (Appendix C)
- 2.8 It is considered that a piled wall is the most appropriate method of basement construction outside of the building footprint and a raft with local thickenings be used below the existing structure with traditional underpinning of the external walls and a reinforced concrete liner wall to transfer vertical loads from the ground floor and lateral loads from soil and water pressures. The basement should be fully waterproofed.

Reference to the Environment Agency maps, as well as the maps appended, locate the site away from the ground source protection zones, however on the edge of a secondary aquifer as seen on the Environment Agency Map, below and Figure 8, appended. However this is within the bedrock strata, and as such some 100m + below our site. See Figs 1 & 2 (extracts from Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study: Camden Surface Water Features) below.



FIG 1. GROUND SOURCE PROTECTION ZONES



FIG 2. AQUIFER MAP BEDROCK DESIGNATION - PINK IS SECONDARY 'A'

2.9 A Structural Scheme for the basement is appended to this report, Appendix B.

### 3.0 RESPONSE TO BIA SCREENING FLOWCHARTS

Appendix E: Camden geological, hydrological and hydrology study: Guidance for subterranean development.

3.1 Surface Flow and Flooding Impact Identification		
3.1.1	Is the site within the catchment of the pond chains on Hampstead	No, refer to Figures 14 & 15 appended.
	Heath?	
3.1.2	As part of the site drainage, will	Not significantly. The hard landscaping
	surface water flows (e.g. rainfall	approximately equivalent to the existing. As
	and run-off) be materially changed	the surrounding soil type is largely clay,
	from the existing one?	soak-aways are of little use, therefore run off
		from paved areas will be into the drainage
		system.
3.1.3	Will the proposed basement	No. The area hard landscaping
	development result in a change in	approximately equivalent to the existing
	the proportion of hard surface /	hard finishes
	paved external areas?	

3.1.4	Will the proposed basement	No Change
	development result in changes to	
	the profile of the inflows	
	(instantaneous and long-term) of	
	surface water being received by	
	adjacent properties or downstream	
	watercourses?	
3.1.5	watercourses? Will the proposed basement	No significant change in water quality is
3.1.5	watercourses? Will the proposed basement development result in a change to	No significant change in water quality is expected.
3.1.5	watercourses? Will the proposed basement development result in a change to the quality of surface water being	No significant change in water quality is expected.
3.1.5	watercourses? Will the proposed basement development result in a change to the quality of surface water being received by adjacent properties or	No significant change in water quality is expected.
3.1.5	watercourses? Will the proposed basement development result in a change to the quality of surface water being received by adjacent properties or downstream watercourses?	No significant change in water quality is expected.

\_\_\_\_

\_

3.2 S	ubterranean (groundwater) Flow Im	pact Identification
3.2.1	Is the site located directly above an	No - The site is over the unproductive strata.
	aquifer?	It is, however, near the edge of the
		Secondary A Aquifer, within the bedrock
		designation which covers the north parts of
		Camden, which lies under London Clay
		member. It is not over a source protection
		zone. Refer to Figure 8.
0	Will the proposed basement extend	No. The water table in that area is at
	beneath the water table surface?	approximately 90m. However the basement
		is over London clay, so perched water lying
		over the London clay layer maybe
		encountered. As such pumping out in wet
		weather during construction may be needed
		and the design is to take into account the
		effects of perched water.
3.2.2	Is the site within 100m of a watercourse, well (used/disused) or potential spring line?	Yes, refer to Figure 11, appended
3.2.3	Is the site within the catchment of the pond chains on Hampstead Heath?	No, refer to Figures 14 & 15 appended

3.2.4	Will the proposed basement development result in a change in the proportion of hard surface / paved areas?	No. The area hard landscaping approximately equivalent to the existing hard finishes
3.2.5	As part of the site drainage, will more surface water ((e.g. rainfall and run-off) than present be discharged to the ground? (e.g. via soak-aways and/or SUDS)	No, run off from the hard surfaces will be into the sewer system as per the patio run- off presently.

\_

\_

3.3 Slope Stability screening flowchart		
3.3.1	Does the existing site include	No. Variations in levels in the garden are
	slopes, natural or manmade,	made with terraces and retaining walls rather
	greater than 7 degrees (approx. 1	than slopes. This will be the case when the
	in 8)?	basement is created with terraced planters
		and steps leading from garden level to the
		lower terrace and retaining walls to each
		side.
3.3.2	Will the proposed re-profiling of	No, the slopes at the site boundaries are to
	landscaping at site change slopes	remain the same.
	at the property boundary to more	
	than 7 degrees (approx. 1 in 8)?	
3.3.3	Does the development neighbour	No, refer to slope angle map Figure 16
	land, including railway cutting and	appended.
	the like, with a slope greater than 7	
	degrees (approx. 1 in 8)?	
3.3.4	Is the site within a wider hill setting	The general Hampstead Hill area is sloped,
	in which the general slope is	however at its very steepest it has a gradient
	greater than 7 degrees (approx. 1	of max 1 in 11 and the street in question has
	in 8)?	a gentler slope.
3.3.5	Is the London Clay the shallowest	According to the geological long section,
	strata at the site?	viewed in relation to topographical
		information from an OS Map, it is likely that
		some 100m of London Clay overlies the
		thinner Lambeth group. The site is on the
		very edge of a layer of Claygate member

		which may be present.
3.3.6	Will any tree/s be felled as part of	One tree (conifer) is proposed to be felled as
0.010	the proposed development and/or	part of the proposal. A large deciduous
	any works proposed within any tree	magnolia (shrub) may be affected by the
	protection zones where trees are to	landscaping changes. It is expected that
	be retained?	some 10% of the outer root perimeter of the
	be retained.	affected shrub will be subject to a 'trim' due
		to the anticipated terracing. This is a
		concrally accortable amount that should not
		generally acceptable amount that should not
		London plane trees on the public percent
		which are a minimum distance of 6 1m from
		which are a minimum distance of 6.1m from
		the excavation. As such some roots may be
		trimmed but should not suiter long term
0.07		narm.
3.3.7	Is there a history of seasonal	London clay has high shrinkage potential,
	shrink-swell subsidence in the local	Whilst there are no obvious signs of
	area, and/or evidence of such	movement of the existing property, nor to its
	effects on site?	neighbours, the adjacent driveway, rear
		paved terrace and garden walls of the
		property show signs of movement,
		presumably due to nearby trees and
		possibly, for the drive and terrace paving,
		due to inadequate sub-base being laid at the
		time. The rear terrace paving would be
		removed as part of the relandscaping of the
		back elevation on appropriate base.
3.3.8	Is the site within 100m of a	Yes, refer to Figure 11.
	watercourse or potential spring	
	line?	
3.3.9	Is the site within an area of	The site is a basement below a house
	previously worked ground?	therefore the ground has been worked to
		create the footings etc for the existing
		building.
3.3.10	Is the site within an aquifer? If so,	No - The site is over the unproductive strata.
	will the proposed basement extend	It is, however, near the edge of the
	beneath the water table such that	Secondary A Aquifer, within the bedrock
	dewatering may be required during	designation which covers the north parts of

\_

\_\_\_\_

	construction?	Camden, which lies under London Clay
		member. It is not over a source protection
		zone. Refer to Figure 8.
3.3.11	Is the site within 50m of Hampstead	No, as indicated on most of the appended
	Heath?	maps.
3.3.12	Is the site within 5m of a Highway	The lightwell to the front of the property is
	or pedestrian right of way?	approximately 4.5m from the property
		boundary with a 2.5-3m public pavement
		between the boundary masonry wall and
		road surface.
3.3.13	Will the proposed basement	Yes. The basement is directly adjacent to its
	significantly increase the differential	neighbour along the edge of the single
	depth of foundations relative to	storey side extension only and is several
	neighbouring properties?	meters from the main three storey buildings
		on each side. However there is no indication
		that a full storey height basement exists in
		either neighbour.
3.3.14	Is the site over (or within the	No. The Northern Line runs from Golders
	exclusion zone of) any tunnels, e.g.	Green to Hampstead Station but the route
	railways lines?	approximately follows North End Road

\_

\_

#### 4.0 SCOPING

- 4.1 The screening undertaken as observations in reply to the flowcharts above highlights only a need for clarification of the geological properties of the site, lying as it does on the very edge of the claygate member and aquifer.
- 4.2 A flood risk assessement has also been carried out and has not identified potential flood risks for the site that cannot be managed. Recommendations for managing the flood risk include
- 4.2.1 Provision of permanent waterproofing measures
- 4.2.2 Dewatering during construction
- 4.2.3 Implementation of surface water drainage to provide a robust and sustainable drainage regime
- 4.3 The flood risk assessment concludes that the development of the site will not increase flood risk elsewhere
- 4.4 In conclusion, it is considered that there are no negative impacts anticipated in this basement proposal on the hydro-geological and hydrological conditions of the local environment that cannot be suitably addressed in the detailed design of this proposal It is however recommended that a full SI be undertaken to establish more precisely the soil types and water table.

J. Foster MEng CEng MIStructE

APPENDIX A

\_

\_\_\_\_

-OS MAPS 1866 & 1894

- FIGURES FROM THE CAMDEN GEOLOGICAL, HYDROGEOLOGICAL AND HYDROLOGICAL STUDY WITH 44 FERNCROFT AVE LOCATED.



Part OS Historical Map No 27 - Hampstead 1866



Part OS Historical Map No 27 - Hampstead 1894



FIGURE







Source - British Geological Society, 1:50,000 Series England and Wales Sheet 256 – North London

Mudstone, siltstone,

sandstone and limestone (not to scale. 509 m proved in the Willesden No.1

Borehole, TQ 2086 8477)

 $\sim$ 

UDv

UPPER DEVONIAN

DEVONIAN

FRASI

- NNINN



### Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study Geological Long Section (NW – SE)

7 FIGURE





Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study Conceptual Ground Model



213923



213923

FIGURE





Camden Geological, Hydrogeological and Hydrological Study Watercourses



213923